

HUOM! Tämä on alkuperäisen artikkelin rinnakkaistallenne. Rinnakkaistallenne saattaa erota alkuperäisestä sivutuksestaan ja painoasultaan.

PLEASE NOTE! This is an electronic self-archived version of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Käytä viittauksessa alkuperäistä lähdettä:

Please cite the original version:

Sthapit, E. and Björk, P. (2022), "Sources of negative memorable experiences: Finnish Airbnb guest perspectives", *Consumer Behavior in Tourism and Hospitality*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CBTH-02-2022-0045>

Publisher: Emerald Publishing Limited  
Copyright © 2022, Erose Sthapit and Peter Björk.

*This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>*

## Sources of negative memorable experiences: Finnish Airbnb guest perspectives

### Abstract

**Purpose** – This study aims to bridge the gaps in the extant memorable tourism experience and Airbnb literature by exploring the sources of negative memorable Airbnb experiences among Finnish guests.

**Design/method/approach** – This study employed a qualitative approach and used data collected through semi-structured interviews. The sampling criteria for selecting participants were that they be Finnish nationals who had booked and stayed in an Airbnb accommodation within the past 36 months. Participants were identified using a criteria-based snowball sampling technique. A qualitative empirical study was conducted using self-structured interviews completed by 18 Finnish tourists who had stayed at Airbnb in the past three years.

**Findings** – Three main sources make up the conceptual framework of a negative memorable Airbnb experience: unclean accommodations, unpleasant host behaviour and poor customer service.

**Practical implications** – Airbnb management should make efforts to reduce guests' negative experiences. This goal can be achieved by developing service quality management policies and strategies. These policies and strategies pertaining to service provision are standardised, clear and universally applicable to all hosts. In addition, Airbnb management should recruit qualified customer service personnel and equip them with service recovery skills through training and control mechanisms. Such training should focus on upgrading their skills for handling complaints.

**Originality** – This study responds to the need to examine negative experiences as part of memorable tourism experiences and the sources of negative memories. The originality of this study includes the extension of the existing literature on memorable tourism experiences and Airbnb by proposing a conceptual framework of negative memorable Airbnb experiences that comprises three main components: unclean rooms, unpleasant host behaviour and poor customer service.

**Keywords:** Sharing economy, Airbnb, negative memorable Airbnb experience, memorable tourism experience, Finnish tourist

### Introduction

'Sharing economy' is an umbrella term for the sharing of consumption facilitated by online platforms (Hamari *et al.*, 2016). Airbnb is the most important and successful peer-based platform for accommodation sharing (Dann *et al.*, 2019). On the supply side, Airbnb enables rental hosts to act entrepreneurially by listing their available accommodation for rental on Airbnb and profiting from it. Usually, these listings have cheaper rates than comparable hotels, which leads to savings for travellers (Varma *et al.*, 2016). On the demand side, Airbnb fulfils travellers' needs by providing accommodations at low prices as well as opportunities to interact with the local community (Guttentag, 2015). The number of Airbnb listings is growing rapidly in many countries, including Finland (Adamiak, 2020; Jokela and Minoia, 2020). Airbnb has continued to gain ground in Helsinki since its first listing in 2010 (Jokela and Minoia, 2020). At the time

of this study, there are 1,533 active Airbnb listings in Helsinki, according to <https://www.airdna.co>. Few studies have focussed on Finnish tourists' experiences with Airbnb, which is the focus of this study.

A common bias in the tourism literature is a preoccupation with examining tourists' positive experiences (Hosany and Witham, 2010). A similar trend can be observed in studies of Airbnb experiences (Sthapit and Jiménez-Barreto, 2018), which have predominantly examined customers' positive experiences with Airbnb (Li *et al.*, 2019; Sthapit and Jiménez-Barreto, 2018). However, negative aspects of Airbnb have been identified, such as the lack of site-wide hospitality standards (Sthapit and Björk, 2019), poor service recovery and compensation (Huang *et al.*, 2020), untrained hospitality professionals (Birinci *et al.*, 2018) and issues of trustworthiness (Cheng *et al.*, 2019). These factors, among others, may result in unpleasant incidents (Sthapit and Björk, 2019) that could contribute to overall negative memorable Airbnb experiences. An example of a negative experience involved the sexual assault of a 19-year-old boy by his Airbnb host while staying in Madrid (Lieber, 2015). Some studies indicate that there is a positivity bias in Airbnb user comments (Cheng and Jin, 2019; Sthapit and Björk, 2019) and that negative experiences are underreported (Dann *et al.*, 2019). To date, few studies have explored guests' negative memorable Airbnb experiences (Sthapit *et al.*, 2021).

Memorable tourism experience (MTE) research, introduced by Kim, Ritchie and McCormick (2012), is an emerging field (Sthapit and Jiménez-Barreto, 2018). Kim *et al.* (2012) conceptualise MTE as focusing on positively recalled experiences. However, memory researchers believe that both positive and negative memories can have similar impacts (Jorgenson *et al.*, 2019) but that negative valence leads to the creation of stronger memories than does positive valence (Kensinger and Schacter, 2006). They also believe that travellers can have both positive and negative experiences during their stay at a destination (Kim *et al.*, 2021) and that the impact of negative experiences on future behaviour is much greater than that of positive experiences (Kim and Chen, 2019). Recent studies have thus argued that negative experiences are a critical component of MTEs (Sthapit *et al.*, 2020). Extending the conception of MTEs to consider negative sources of memories will provide researchers with a more comprehensive understanding of the essence of MTEs (Sthapit *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, some studies argue that Kim *et al.*'s (2012) findings based on the general tourism context cannot be generalised to other settings, such as Airbnb, and that the MTE scale may not adequately explain what makes tourism memorable (Sthapit and Coudounaris, 2018; Stone *et al.*, 2018).

This study aims to bridge the gaps in the extant literature by exploring the sources of negative memorable Airbnb experiences among Finnish guests. The present study sought to answer the following research question: What are the sources of negative memorable Airbnb experiences from the perspective of Finnish guests? In this study, a negative experience refers to a tourist's recollection of an in-situ experience while staying in an Airbnb rental property and does not include the pre-booking experience with the platform. This study was conducted using semi-structured interviews with 18 Finnish nationals who had booked and stayed in an Airbnb accommodation within the past 36 months. Interviews were conducted in English via Zoom between March and June 2021.

## Literature Review

### ***Sharing economy, Airbnb and customer experiences with Airbnb***

The sharing economy was born as a new business model based on offering multiple users (i.e., individuals or companies) temporary asset ownership of underutilised resources via digital platforms (Klarin & Suseno, 2021). Existing studies have identified four main characteristics of sharing economy organisations, first, they are digital platforms that enable offline transactions between users (Gerwe & Silva, 2020). Second, they enable transactions that involve temporary, rather than permanent access to or ownership of assets (Eckhardt et al., 2019; Gerwe and Silva, 2020). Third, this access is either on a quid pro quo exchange or the exchange is mediated through market mechanisms, such as payment and with this, fourth, the platform enhances the role of customers (Eckhardt et al., 2019). It is reported that the global sharing economy would be one of the leading consumption areas, which is expected to grow to US\$335 billion by 2025 (Kauffman & Naldi, 2020).

In recent years, Airbnb, an accommodation sharing economy system, has become quite popular among travellers (Jiang *et al.*, 2019). It is a collection of private rooms, apartments and homes, each owned by an individual owner, located in different places and managed independently (Dogru et al., 2020). Airbnb offers its customers the opportunity to experience unique accommodations such as cabins, farmhouses, boats, yurts and treehouses, among others; accommodation that is typically outside the purview of the traditional hotel industry (Mody *et al.*, 2022). The process of looking for and booking the accommodation is similar to other platforms (e.g. Booking.com, Expedia) (Vassilikopoulou *et al.*, 2022). Although a number of companies have entered the peer-to-peer accommodation market as booking facilitators, the most successful of these is Airbnb, which recorded more than 500 million guest arrivals in 2019 (Benitez-Aurioles, 2021). A host is a person or group of people who rent out real property on the Airbnb.com platform, whereas an Airbnb guest is a person staying overnight at the listed property (Airbnb, 2022)

According to Li *et al.* (2019), customer experiences with Airbnb include four underlying factors: home amenities, personalised services, authenticity and social connection. Home amenities are linked the physical utility of an Airbnb rental property (Guttentag, 2015), for example a kitchen, a washing machine and a dryer. Some studies have found that home amenities are an important aspect of the Airbnb customer experience (Guttentag, 2015; Lyu *et al.*, 2019). Personalised services mean that customers gain access to local knowledge and culture through Airbnb hosts (Li *et al.*, 2019). This is often considered a highlight of their stay (Lyu *et al.*, 2019). Authenticity refers to a sense of uniqueness originating from the local culture (Sharpley, 1994). Some studies have indicated that it is a critical dimension of the Airbnb customer experience (Lyu *et al.*, 2019). Social connection refers to interactions between customers and hosts and among customers (Lyu *et al.*, 2019). In the context of Airbnb, interactions between guests and hosts are important in shaping the customer experience (Guttentag, 2015) and have been shown to contribute to a pleasant overall experience (Lyu *et al.*, 2019).

### ***Memories, negative experiences and sources of a positive memorable Airbnb experience***

According to Oh et al. (2007), memory is an active, constructive process that links an experience to a tourist activity's emotive and perceptual outcomes. In fact, an individual's memory consists of an ongoing process that can incorporate the allocation of meaning to the past (Holtzman, 2006) and derived from these onsite experiences (Tung & Ritchie, 2011). Tourism experiences involve complex psychological processes with a special focus on memory (Larsen, 2007). After the completion of a journey, the perception of the tourist experience is influenced by memory (Erll,

2011). The tourist experience is routinely positioned as a generator of memories and collecting memories is widely understood as the very purpose of holidays (Edensor, 1998). Accordingly, the memory of a trip experience is an undelying dimension of the self, as it “holds a certain attraction and intrinsic reward that materialises in the moments of storytelling” (Neumann, 1992, p. 179). Episodic memory, which includes individuals’ long-term storage of factual memories concerning personal experiences, is considered the most relevant type of long-term memory in the study of tourist experience (Larsen, 2007), considering that ‘lived experiences gather significance as we reflect on and give memory to them’ (Curtin, 2005, p. 3). These memories focus on events and permit tourists to ‘travel back in time’ to subjectively re-experience previous events (Matlin, 2005).

It is interesting to note that when experiences are described and defined, researchers generally imply positive or pleasant events or feelings (Pine & Gilmore 1998). However, tourist experiences range from positive experiences to unpleasant negative experiences (Kim *et al.*, 2021). For example, Anastasopoulos’s (1992) study found that Greek tourists had a negative travel experience to Turkey which significantly impacted their attitude. A negative tourism experience is one which results from disappointment or dissatisfaction and which has a negative influence on tourists’ lives (Jackson *et al.*, 1996). In addition, although the term memorable experience has been used to describe an experience with positive connotation that is emotionally remembered (Piqueras-Fiszman and Jaeger, 2015), some studies suggest that negative valence leads to the creation of a stronger memory than positive valence does (Kensinger & Schacter, 2006; Kensinger *et al.*, 2007). In addition, memorable is associated with unforgettable or extraordinary (Caru & Cova, 2003).

Sthapit and Jiménez-Barreto’s (2018) study identified social interactions with the host, the attitude of the host and the location of the accommodation as the three main sources of a memorable Airbnb experience. The host’s behaviour determines guests’ overall experience and intentions to return (Wang and Nicolau, 2017). Location is a factor commonly accepted to affect Airbnb listing prices. It can be represented in terms of distance from the city centre, highways or local attractions (Lei *et al.*, 2011). In another recent study, Sthapit *et al.* (2021) proposed a new conceptual framework of memorable Airbnb experiences comprising several sources: socialising and bonding with friends and family members, location, the host’s hospitality, a homey feeling, home amenities and negative experiences (e.g., property conditions, poor or dishonest hosts). Some studies have indicated that pleasurable social experiences are connected, especially with regard to engaging with friends and family (Dube and LeBel, 2003), and this finding seems to apply to Airbnb experiences as well. Some studies also recognise the significance of hospitality in terms of the host’s behaviour (Lalicic and Weismayer, 2018) and a feeling of being at home while staying at Airbnb (Sthapit *et al.*, 2021). Feeling at home is linked to home amenities, including the physical environment, physical amenities and physical utility of Airbnb (So *et al.*, 2018), and these attributes all enhance the customer experience (Guttentag and Smith, 2017). Similarly, some studies have indicated that poorly maintained rooms and dishonest hosts negatively impact the Airbnb accommodation experience (Sthapit *et al.*, 2020).

## **Method**

### ***Research design and sample selection***

This study employed a qualitative research approach and used data collected through semi-structured interviews. Given that storytelling is critical to understanding tourism experiences and that the richest accounts tend to centre on episodic memories (for example, personally experienced events; Bosangit *et al.*, 2015), theorisations of autobiographical narratives, also

called personal narratives, will be the focus of this study (Bruner, 2004). Self-reporting is considered a popular and valid method of evaluating experiences related to tourism consumption (Li *et al.*, 2014). The sampling criteria for selecting participants were that they be Finnish nationals who had booked and stayed in an Airbnb accommodation within the past 36 months. Participants were identified using a criteria-based snowball sampling technique. Snowball sampling is a common sampling method in qualitative research where the researcher does not directly recruit participants but contacts others who then connect them to the research participants (Parker *et al.*, 2019). The first interviewees were recruited using a personal contact who fulfilled the sampling criteria. Once interviewed, the participants were asked whether they knew anyone else who met the required characteristics.

### ***Interviews and data analysis***

For the initial stage, four semi-structured pilot interviews were conducted in February 2021. The main participant selection criterion for these interviews was that each individual had to have had one or more negative Airbnb experiences. The pilot interviews lasted 30–45 minutes and were aimed at identifying key themes and issues related to the participants' choice to book an Airbnb rental property that contributed to their negative memorable Airbnb experience.

The semi-structured interviews consisted of open-ended questions divided into two sections. The first section of the interview focussed on demographics (e.g. gender, age, marital status, nationality and occupation) and trip characteristics (e.g. destination visited, first-time or repeat visit, travel companion(s), number of people in the travel party and purpose of the trip). The second focussed on interviewees' negative memorable Airbnb experience(s) (e.g. "What made this negative experience the most memorable?")

A grounded theory research design was used to analyse the collected data. The grounded theory approach has been defined as a 'theoretical rendition of reality' (Strauss and Corbin, 1990, p. 22). It is based on the assumption that social science theory can be built from data collected systematically in a social setting (Glaser and Strauss, 1967) and is well suited to studies using an inductive, qualitative approach.

All interviews were conducted in English via Zoom between March and June 2021 and lasted 45–50 minutes. The authors acknowledge that using semi-structured interviews over Zoom limited their efficacy. Diversification of research methods might help overcome this research limitation. In addition to taking notes during the interviews, the text of which was used in the data analysis, all the conversations were recorded. The concept of theoretical sensitivity was applied to the research process; this involves entering the field with an awareness of the topic but without any fixed notions about what might be discovered (Charmaz, 2006). Data analysis was ongoing throughout the period in which these interviews were conducted, and the data gathered were examined according to an iterative process that served to inform the interviewers and establish concepts for subsequent analysis (Kim *et al.*, 2009). Based on continuous iteration between data collection and analysis, theoretical saturation was achieved during the 18<sup>th</sup> participant's interview. A three-stage procedure of open coding, axial coding and selective coding was adopted to analyse the interview data (Strauss and Corbin, 1990).

Open coding involves the 'breaking down of data into distinct units of meaning' (Goulding, 1999, p. 9). Charmaz (2006) suggests selecting the most useful analytical codes. During this stage, every line of each interview transcript was carefully analysed to extract specific information and interviewees' views (Nunkoo and Ramiksoon, 2016). For example, '... The apartment was dirty when we arrived. There was garbage all over the place ...' was coded as 'apartment was dirty'. Table 1 shows how coding was done in practice. This process of data

analysis led to axial coding (Strauss and Corbin, 1998), which involves making connections between codes to form sub-themes (Matteucci and Gnoth, 2017). The axial coding process reduced the database to a small set of sub-themes that characterised the process under study. The selective coding process involved integrating the themes derived from open and axial coding to form a conceptual framework (Creswell, 2007).

**Table 1**

## **Results**

### ***Overall profile of the interviewees***

Among the 18 Finnish interviewees, 15 were female. Their ages ranged from 22 to 41 years and the majority were single (9). The interviewees held diverse occupations. In response to the question of what destination (city) they visited on their trip, the responses ranged from Rome to New York. The stays took place between March 2018 and February 2021. Most of the trips were in international locations (16). Many interviewees were repeat visitors to their destinations (12). The duration of the trips ranged from 4–28 days. In terms of travel companions, the most common answer was friends (8). The number of people in the travel parties ranged from 1–6, with most travelling in groups of more than two (12). Seventeen interviewees travelled for leisure. In response to the question of whether they stayed in the same Airbnb rental property, most said no (16). Most had booked multiple rooms in a single Airbnb rental property (12). In response to the question of when they made the Airbnb rental property booking, seven interviewees reported 2–7 days before the trip, followed by 2–3 weeks before the trip (6). All interviewees reported having booked the Airbnb rental property on their own (Table 2).

**Table 2**

## **Sources of Negative Memorable Airbnb Experiences**

### ***Source 1: Unclean rooms***

When describing what made their negative Airbnb experience the most memorable, many interviewees mentioned unclean rooms. Interpretive codes such as ‘apartment was dirty’, ‘floor had old food’, ‘stains on the carpet’, ‘garbage all over the place’, ‘uncleanliness’, ‘not clean’, ‘dirty room’, ‘not neat and clean’, ‘a lot of waste in the premises’, ‘apartment is horrible’, ‘dead baby mice’ and ‘host did not clean’ are all indicative of the significance of unclean rooms in guests’ Airbnb experiences. This is further highlighted by the responses given by four interviewees.

One said, ‘I had a very bad experience with Airbnb ... my partner and I had visited New York for our vacation for two weeks. This was our first Airbnb experience ... We got into the property with a code and had no problem. It was not clean. There was dust everywhere ... stains on the mattress and bugs near the bed. Bathtub was dirty and the smell was very bad. There were no facilities that were shown on the website and the property looked neglected... We informed the host, but she never turned up. We spent our time mostly outside and was disappointing ... Such a very bad experience with Airbnb and was not worth the money we paid. We felt cheated and will not use Airbnb again ...’ (IN2, male, 28, visiting New York).

Another said, ‘... The apartment was dirty when we arrived. There was garbage all over the place. The host did not clean after the last people were there. The beds were unmade, and the bathrooms were dirty and covered with used towels. We called the host immediately and were told that she would come by after work, which in this case was over six hours away. I complained

and told the host that the place was dirty and that she needed to take care of it now. She said she could not do it, as she was busy at work and that if we were unhappy we could just leave and she would refund us—which we did in the end. We were able to find a good hotel at the last minute and the trip was good, but this Airbnb was the dirtiest we have stayed in. The host was bad ...’ (IN5, female, 30, visiting Umea).

A third said, ‘The negative experience that made Airbnb memorable was the dirty room. The room was unbearable and there had not been a thorough cleaning before our arrival. The marks on the carpet also made it clear that the cleaning had not been done properly. The doors had stains on them ... Not a positive Airbnb experience. The room was so dirty and not very clean. I could not relax and it was uncomfortable to sleep in. The host was not on site to tell about my concerns. They did not have fans, air conditioners, or anything, and it was just terrible ... I wished the accommodation had been as it was listed because it was a nice place and we would have enjoyed it very much’ (IN9, female, 38, visiting Tartu).

Another said, ‘... I will not be back. Terrible experience. Unbelievable. Floors, walls, counters, microwave and fridge had old food. The sink was blocked; there was no water available. The apartment smelt like smoke even though it was non-smoking. Towels and bathroom were definitely not clean. The apartment wall was eroding. Not even worth the 60 euros we spent per night. Everything about this apartment was horrible ... neighbours yelling at each other late at night. Our stay consisted of flooded toilets, filthy balconies, dead baby mice and paper-thin walls with zero isolation. It was a real shame and we felt cheated’ (IN12, female, 22, visiting Porto).

As evidenced by our findings, the condition of the rental property (namely, the cleanliness of the room) is not standardised; hence, service quality is dependent upon hosts’ hospitality and capabilities. Thus, Airbnb guests are likelier than hotel guests to experience unpredictable service quality (Sthapit, 2019). Some studies have indicated that a poorly maintained room has a negative impact on other service quality attributes, such as facility conditions (Ert *et al.*, 2016) and the Airbnb accommodation experience (Sthapit *et al.*, 2020). As the main product in an overnight stay, the accommodation provided by the host is considered a key service quality dimension of Airbnb (Ju *et al.*, 2019), and hygiene and cleanliness are significant concerns among Airbnb customers (Cheng and Zhang, 2019).

These findings support studies indicating that the physical environment—in this context, the room conditions (Cheng and Jin, 2019)—is considered crucial for guests staying in Airbnb rental properties. These findings contradict studies indicating that Airbnb significantly outperforms all three hotel classes (hotels/motels, upscale hotels and mid-range hotels) in traditional hotel attributes, especially cleanliness (Guttentag and Smith, 2017). One reason for the poor service quality (poor condition of the room) that many interviewees experienced may be that Airbnb hosts are not trained service providers (Birinci *et al.*, 2018). Other reasons may include transaction partners on Airbnb (the host and guest) being unable to inspect and evaluate the service before purchase and use and Airbnb listings being unregulated (Ert *et al.*, 2016).

### **Source 2: Poor customer service**

The second source that contributed to interviewees’ negative memorable Airbnb experiences is poor customer service, which is highlighted by the following interpretive codes: ‘poor customer service’ and ‘customer care is very poor’. This is further highlighted by the response given by two interviewees.

‘The poor customer service experience.... It was so hard to speak with the customer service on the phone because many times when we called, the number was not connecting, which made



us very worried. It was difficult to start communication when we needed help.... Customer service was not good and did not solve our problem. They did not communicate very well' (IN13, female, 32, visiting London).

'The customer care is very poor, and they offered me the worst customer experience. The apartment was dirty and not accurate in their description. We moved out immediately from the apartment and spent hours on the mobile to report this, but customer care agent was not able to help me. Customer care made me feel very bad and did not care about the wellbeing of their customers ... I spent a lot of time trying to get back my money. They did not give me any refund and hung up on me while talking. I lost all the booking money ... I will stay away from Airbnb and use booking.com ... (IN17, female 26, visiting Tallinn).

According to Ju *et al.* (2019), Airbnb's value proposition includes 24/7 customer service to help guests resolve problems. Despite this claim, two interviewees reported that Airbnb's customer service division did not seem to perform its intended function adequately to remedy their negative Airbnb experience. They found Airbnb customer service lacking and failed to resolve their problems properly or promptly, for example, offering compensation during a service failure. In addition, these interviewees mentioned feeling helpless because of the lack of responsiveness and empathy from the customer service personnel at Airbnb. Moreover, one of the interviewees planned to switch from Airbnb to online travel agency (booking.com) because of the disappointment with the service provided by Airbnb customer service personnel. Further, an vital feature of customer service is communicating with customers, however, lack of communication of the Airbnb customer service personnel led to the customer (guest) feeling diminished. This finding is in line with studies identifying Airbnb's poor customer service as one of the inherent flaws or weakest features of the sharing economy phenomenon and one of the most common complaints against the company (Sthapit and Björk, 2021).

### ***Source 3: Unpleasant host behaviour***

Some interviewees also mentioned unpleasant host behaviour as a source of negative memorable experiences with Airbnb. Interpretive codes, such as 'different than in pictures', 'poor communication', 'very bad communication with customers', 'host was bad' and 'host argued with us' are all indicative of the importance of unpleasant host behaviour in guests' Airbnb experiences. Unpleasant host behaviour is further emphasised by the statements of the following three interviewees.

'The apartment was quite different than in pictures. It did not match the pictures.... It was shocking to realise that the property had been misrepresented.... There was no internet connection. The tram station was 30 minutes' walking.... The address was not the one reported on the website. There was no elevator.... Most importantly, the rooms were not properly equipped for us. The host argued with us about a broken window that had already been damaged. He asked us for 200 euros as compensation.... Host was very bad and did not behave well with us. It was not up to my expectations. We left the house after one day and we went into a hotel' (IN1 female, 31, visiting Alicante).

I had booked an apartment in Manchester. Duing the booking, the communication went well with the host and we went through all the details. When I arrived there, the host's mobile was switched off and he was out of reach. I tried to reach him through email but he did not reply. I waited for a couple of hours outside the building but he did not arrive ... During the evening, I received a message saying that he tried to call me many times, which is a total lie ... In the end, I did not get to stay in the apartment. I lost money and a lot of time. I went to the nearest hotel ... I will never book again with Airbnb. (IN16, female, 24, visiting Manchester).

‘In my opinion the host was very bad. The communication was very weak and the service quality was very poor. The premises were not neat or clean. There was a lot of waste on the premises. He also behaved very rudely and did not respond to our calls during the stay ... The whole experience was very negative ...’ (IN18, male, 37, visiting San Francisco).

Hosts play an important role in the Airbnb service experience; consumers value experiences with hosts who are friendly, conscientious and responsive (Lyu *et al.*, 2019). As indicated in previous studies, individual hosts’ actions are not homogenous (Sthapit and Björk, 2021). According to Ariffin and Maghzi (2012), the quality of a host’s behaviour not only contributes to the development of a strong bond between the tourist and the accommodation but also enhances the emotional value of the tourist’s experience. Some studies have demonstrated the significant role played by hosts in tourists’ choice of Airbnb accommodations over other types of accommodation (Guttentag and Smith, 2017). Sthapit and Björk’s (2019) study highlights the significance of the host’s hospitality in the Airbnb context from the guest’s perspective, given that the sharing economy challenges the experiences offered by the hotel industry. Positive service attributes in P2P hosts include being understanding and caring (Lalicic and Weismayer, 2018). Hosts without these attributes are seen as behaving badly and providing poor service quality (Sthapit *et al.*, 2021) and can be categorised as dysfunctional (Grönroos, 1982). This finding strengthens previous studies’ findings that guest dissatisfaction with home-sharing businesses such as Airbnb is largely due to the hosts (Shuqair *et al.*, 2019), and it contradicts other studies that indicated that Airbnb guests enjoyed a more personalised service quality (Mao and Lyu, 2017) and experienced remarkable customer satisfaction levels (Ert *et al.*, 2016).

### **Conclusion and theoretical implications**

This study adds to the existing literature on MTE and Airbnb by proposing a conceptual framework of negative memorable Airbnb experiences that comprises the following components: unclean rooms, unpleasant host behaviour and poor customer service. Although the term ‘memorable’ has a positive connotation, this finding suggests that travellers also recall less positive Airbnb stay experiences.

From a micro-level perspective, guests reported negative memorable Airbnb experiences due to poor room conditions and unpleasant host behaviour. Room cleanliness can be linked to the broader concept of property readiness. At the micro level, poor customer service incurred by Airbnb customers (consumers) also resulted in negative memorable Airbnb experiences. Dirty rooms are linked to Airbnb’s facility service quality, while unpleasant host behaviour is related to host service quality (Ju *et al.*, 2019). Poor customer service can be linked to poor communication by customer service agents. Some studies indicate that poor communication leads to service failure in the Airbnb context, which subsequently makes guests feel devalued as customers (Sthapit and Jiménez-Barreto, 2018). This was evidenced in the current study. The findings of this study, in conjunction with much of the extant literature, support the conclusion that Airbnb consumers cannot determine service quality until they experience it, which results in negative guest experiences (Wu *et al.*, 2017).

Our study responds to the recent calls to explore negative experiences as part of MTEs and the negative sources of memories (Sthapit *et al.*, 2020; Sthapit *et al.*, 2021). The theoretical contributions of this study include the extension of the existing literature on MTEs and Airbnb (Kim *et al.*, 2012; Sthapit *et al.*, 2021) by proposing a conceptual framework of negative memorable Airbnb experiences that comprise three main components: unclean rooms, unpleasant host behaviour and poor customer service.

### **Managerial implications, limitations and future research**

The results of the current study offer important implications for Airbnb hosts and management. The main motives driving tourists to book Airbnb rental properties include price and location. Therefore, Airbnb hosts should provide more affordable prices than traditional accommodation providers for the same locations, accurate pictures of their rental properties and clear descriptions of their accommodations' proximity to tourist attractions.

The results of this study have several managerial implications for Airbnb and its hosts. First, Airbnb management should make efforts to reduce guests' negative experiences. This goal can be achieved by developing service quality management policies and strategies. These policies and strategies pertaining to service provision are standardised, clear and universally applicable to all hosts. In addition, Airbnb's management should provide hosts with training to maintain service quality and a checklist to ensure adherence to quality standards across listings. Hosts must also be monitored to ensure that they maintain service quality standards. Hosts must also be held accountable for negative situations for which they are responsible. For example, hosts should be liable when there are substantiated complaints of poor conditions in an Airbnb rental unit.

Second, Airbnb management should recruit qualified customer service personnel and equip them with service recovery skills through training and control mechanisms. Such training should focus on upgrading their skills for handling complaints. Airbnb management should also direct customer service personnel to promptly address customer complaints with apologies. Airbnb should invest more resources into setting up live chat functions on the website to facilitate immediate communication with customer service representatives and into providing diverse contact methods (through phone communication, emails and the website).

Third, Airbnb management should hold hosts accountable if they are frequently reported as behaving unpleasantly towards guests. Airbnb's management should define hosts' responsibilities and train them in hospitableness to enact behaviours that are considered respectful to guest requirements. While hosting in Airbnb, hosts should be required to remain well-mannered when welcoming guests to their properties. Hosts should be caring to help mitigate guests' negative experiences. Hosts should treat guests in a friendly manner, including settling any problems they face related to accommodation. In addition, Airbnb management should incentivise hosts to write accurate and credible information of their listings, for example, his or her profile and accommodation description and pictures. At a minimum, the advertised service by a host must be provided with 100% uniformity.

This study has some limitations. The data was collected post-visit and data collection relied on varying periods of memory among the respondents (up to thirty-six months after travel). The current study acknowledges that there may be incongruence between remembered experiences and on-site experiences; thus, future studies should interview tourists soon after their Airbnb experiences. Another limitation is the use of snowball sampling, given that researchers may obtain biased information when they rely on participants from this sampling method.

### **References**

- Adamiak, C. (2020), "Peer-to-peer accommodation in destination life cycle: The case of Nordic countries", *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 212-226.
- Airbnb (2022), "Resource center", available at: [www.airbnb.com/resources/hosting-homes/g/discovering-the-world-of-hosting-2](http://www.airbnb.com/resources/hosting-homes/g/discovering-the-world-of-hosting-2) (accessed 2 August 2022).
- Anastasopoulos, P.G. (1992), "Tourism and attitude change: Greek tourists visiting Turkey", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 19, pp. 629-642.

- Ariffin, A.A.M. and Maghzi, A. (2012), "A preliminary study on customer expectations of hotel hospitality: Influences of personal and hotel factors", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 191-198.
- Benitez-Aurioles, B. (2021), "Recent trends in the peer-to-peer market for tourist accommodation", *eReview of Tourism Research*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 478-494.
- Bosangit, C., Hibbert, S. and McCabe, S. (2015), "If I was going to die I should at least be having fun: Travel blogs, meaning and tourist experience", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 55, pp. 1-14.
- Bruner, J. (2004), "Life as narrative", *Social Research*, Vol. 71, No. 3, pp. 691-710.
- Caru, A. and Cova, B. (2003), "Revisiting consumption experience: A more humble but complete view of the concept", *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 267-286.
- Charmaz, K. (2006), *Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis*, Sage, Thousand Oaks.
- Cheng, M. and Jin, X. (2019), "What do Airbnb users care about? An analysis of online review comments", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 76, pp. 58-70.
- Cheng, M. and Zhang, G. (2019), "When Western hosts meet Eastern guests: Airbnb hosts' experience with Chinese outbound tourists", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 75, pp. 288-303.
- Creswell, J.W. (2007), *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*, Sage, London.
- Curtin, S. (2005), "Nature, wild animals and tourism: An experiential view", *Journal of Ecotourism*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 1-15.
- Dann, D., Teubner, T. and Weinhardt, C. (2019), "Poster child and guinea pig – insights from a structured literature review on Airbnb", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 31, pp. 427-473.
- Dogru, T., Hanks, L., Mody, M., Suess, C. and Sirakaya-Turk, E. (2020), "The effects of Airbnb on hotel performance: Evidence from cities beyond the United States", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 79, 104090.
- Dube, L. and LeBel, J. (2003), "The content and structure of laypeople's concept of pleasure", *Cognition & Emotion*, Vol. 17, pp. 263-295.
- Eckhardt, G. M., Houston, M. B., Jiang, B., Lambertson, C., Rindfleisch, A. and Zervas, G. (2019), "Marketing in the sharing economy", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 83(5), pp. 5-27.
- Edensor, T. (2001), "Performing tourism, staging tourism: (re)producing tourist space and practice", *Tourist Studies*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 59-81.
- Erlil, A. (2011), *Memory in Culture*, Palgrave, London.
- Ert, E., Fleischer, A. and Magen, N. (2016), "Trust and reputation in the sharing economy: The role of personal photos on Airbnb", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 55, pp. 62-73.
- Gerwe, O. and Silva, R. (2020), "Clarifying the sharing economy: Conceptualization, typology, antecedents, and effects", *Academy of Management Perspectives*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 65-96.
- Glaser, B. and Strauss, A. (1967), *The Discovery of Grounded Theory*, Aldine, Chicago.
- Grönroos, C. (1982), *Strategic Management and Marketing in Service Sector*, Marketing Science Institute, Cambridge, MA.
- Guttentag, D. (2015), "Airbnb: Disruptive innovation and the rise of an informal tourism accommodation sector", *Current Issues in Tourism*, Vol. 18, No. 12, pp. 1192-1217.
- Guttentag, D. and Smith, S. (2017), "Assessing Airbnb as a disruptive innovation relative to hotels: substitution and comparative performance expectations", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 64, pp. 1-10.

- Hamari, J., Sjöklint, M. and Ukkonen, A. (2016), "The sharing economy: Why people participate in collaborative consumption", *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 67, No. 9, pp. 2047-2059.
- Holtzman, J. D. (2006), "Food and memory", *Annual Review of Anthropology*, Vol. 35, pp. 361-378
- Hosany, S. and Witham, M. (2010), "Dimensions of cruisers' experiences, satisfaction, and intention to recommend", *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 49, pp. 351-364.
- Huang, D., Coghlan, A. and Jin, X. (2020), "Understanding the drivers of Airbnb discontinuance", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 80, pp. 102-798.
- Jackson, M.S., White, G. N. and Schmierer, C. L. (1996), "Tourism experiences within an attributional framework", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 798-810.
- Jokela, S., and Minoia, P. (2020), "Nordic home-sharing utopia: A critical analysis of Airbnb in Helsinki", *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 227-245.
- Jorgenson, J., Nickerson, N., Dalenberg, D., Angle, J., Metcalf, E. and Freimun, W. (2019), "Measuring Visitor Experiences: Creating and Testing the Tourism Autobiographical Memory Scale", *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 58 No. 4, pp. 566-578.
- Jiang, Y., Balaji, M. S. and Jha, S. (2019), "Together we tango: Value facilitation and customer participation in Airbnb", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 82, pp. 169-180.
- Ju, Y., Back, K-J., Choi, Y. and Lee, J-S. (2019), "Exploring Airbnb service quality attributes and their asymmetric effects on customer satisfaction", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 77, pp. 342-352.
- Kauffman, R. J. and Naldi, M. (2020), "Research directions for sharing economy issues", *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, Vol. 43, 100973.
- Kensinger, E. A., Garoff-Eaton, R. J. and Schacter, D. L. (2007), "How negative emotion enhances the visual specificity of a memory", *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, Vol. 19 No. 11, pp. 1872-1887.
- Kensinger, E.A. and Schacter, D.L. (2006), "When the red sox shocked the Yankees: comparing negative and positive memories", *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, Vol. 13 No. 5, pp. 757-763.
- Kim, H. and Chen, J.S. (2019), "The Memorable Travel Experience and Its Reminiscence Functions", *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 58 No. 4, pp. 637-649.
- Kim, J.-H., Ritchie, J.R.B. and McCormick, B. (2012), "Development of a scale to measure memorable tourism experiences", *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 51, pp. 12-25.
- Kim, J.-H., Wang, Y. and Song, H. (2021), "Understanding the causes of negative tourism experiences", *Current Issues in Tourism*, Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 304-320.
- Klarin, A. and Suseno, Y. (2021), "A state-of-the-art review of the sharing economy: Scientometric mapping of the scholarship", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 126, pp. 250-262.
- Lalicic, L. and Weismayer, C. (2018), "A model of tourists' loyalty: The case of Airbnb", *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 78-90.
- Larsen, S. (2007), "Aspects of a psychology of the tourist experience", *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 7-18.
- Lei, Z.H., Jie, Z., Jing, L.S., Wen, C.S. and He, Z.J. (2011), "Modeling hotel room price with geographically weighted regression", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 1036-1043.

- Li, S., Scott, N. and Walters, G. (2014), "Current and potential methods for measuring emotion in tourism experiences: A review", *Current Issues in Tourism*, Vol. 5, No. 11, pp. 805-827.
- Li, J., Hudson, S. and So, K.K.F. (2019), "Exploring the customer experience with Airbnb", *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 410-429.
- Lyu, J., Li, M. and Law, R. (2019), "Experiencing P2P accommodations: Anecdotes from Chinese customers", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 77, pp. 323-332.
- Mao, Z. and Lyu, J. (2017), "Why travelers use Airbnb again?" *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 29, No. 9, pp. 2464-2482.
- Matlin, M.W. (2005), *Cognition*, John Wiley & Sons, Crawfordsville.
- Matteucci, X., & Gnoth, J. (2017), "Elaborating on grounded theory in tourism research", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 65, pp. 49-59.
- Mody, M.A., Jung, S., Dogru, T. and Suess, C. (2022), "How do consumers select between hotels and Airbnb? A hierarchy of importance in accommodation choice", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management (ahead-of-print)*.
- Neumann, M. (1992), *On the rim: Looking for the Grand Canyon*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Nunkoo, R. and Ramiksoon, H. (2016), "Stakeholders' views of enclave tourism: A grounded theory approach", *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, Vol. 40, No. 5, pp. 557-588.
- Oh, H., Fiore, A. M., & Jeoung, M. (2007), "Measuring experience economy concepts: Tourism applications", *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 46 No. 2, pp. 119-132.
- Parker, C., Scott, S. and Geddes, A. (2019), *Snowball sampling. Sage research methods foundations*, Sage Publications, London.
- Pine, B. J. and Gilmore, J.H. (1998), "Welcome to the experience economy", *Harvard Business Review*, 76, pp. 97-105.
- Piqueras-Fiszman, B. and Jaeger, S.R. (2015), "Emotions associated to mealtimes: memorable meals and typical evening meals", *Food Research International*, Vol. 76 No. 2, pp. 243-252.
- Shuqair, S., Pinto, D.C. and Mattila, A.S. (2019), "Benefits of authenticity: Post-failure loyalty in the sharing economy", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 78, pp. 02741.
- So, K.K.F., Oh, H. and Min, S. (2018), "Motivations and constraints of Airbnb consumers: findings from a mixed-methods approach", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 67, pp. 224-236.
- Sthapit, E. (2019), "My bad for wanting to try something unique: Sources of value co-destruction in the Airbnb context", *Current Issues in Tourism*, Vol. 22, No. 20, pp. 2462-2465.
- Sthapit, E. and Björk, P. (2019), "Sources of distrust: Airbnb guests' perspectives", *Tourism Management Perspectives*, Vol. 31, pp. 245-253.
- Sthapit, E. and Björk, P. (2021), "Interactive value formation: Drivers and outcomes from Airbnb guests' perspectives", *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 129-147.
- Sthapit, E., Björk, P., Coudounaris, D.N. and Stone, M.J. (2021), "A new conceptual framework for memorable Airbnb experiences: Guests' perspectives", *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research (ahead-of-print)*.
- Sthapit, E., Björk, P. and Jiménez-Barreto, J. (2021), "Negative memorable experience: North American and British Airbnb guests' perspectives", *Tourism Review*, Vol. 76, No. 3, pp. 639-653.
- Sthapit, E. and Coudounaris, D. (2018), "Memorable tourism experiences: Antecedents and outcomes", *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 72-94.

- Sthapit, E., Björk, P., Jiménez-Barreto, J. and Stone, M.J. (2020), “Spillover effect, positive emotions and savouring processes: Airbnb guests’ perspective”, *Anatolia (ahead-of-print)*.
- Sthapit, E. and Jiménez-Barreto, J. (2018), “Exploring tourists’ memorable hospitality experiences: An Airbnb perspective”, *Tourism Management Perspectives*, Vol. 28, pp. 83-92.
- Stone, M.J., Soulard, J., Migacz, S. and Wolf, E. (2018), “Elements of memorable food, drink, and culinary tourism experiences”, *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 57, No. 8, pp. 1121-1132.
- Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1990), *Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques*, Sage Publications, Newbury Park.
- Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1998), *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory*, Sage, Thousand Oaks.
- Tung, V. W. S. and Ritchie, J. (2011), “Exploring the essence of memorable tourism experiences”, *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 38, pp. 1367-1386.
- Vassilikopoulou, A., Kamenidou, I. and Priporas, C.-V. (2022), “Negative Airbnb reviews: an aspect-based sentiment analysis approach”, *EuroMed Journal of Business (ahead-of-print)*.
- Varma, A., Jukic, N., Pestek, A., Shultz, C. J. and Nestorov, S. (2016), “Airbnb: Exciting innovation or passing fad?” *Tourism Management Perspectives*, Vol. 20, pp. 223-228.
- Wang, D. and Nicolau, J.L. (2017), “Price determinants of sharing economy-based accommodation rental: A study of listings from 33 cities on Airbnb.com”, *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 62, pp. 120-131.
- Wu, J., Ma, P. and Xie, K.L. (2017), “In sharing economy we trust: The effects of host attributes on short-term rental purchases”, *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 29, No. 11, pp. 2962-2976.

**Table 1. Coding structure**

Open coding extracted from participants' quotes (Line-by-Line Coding)	Sub-themes (Axial Coding)	Main Themes (Selective Themes)
'Apartment was dirty', 'floor had old food', 'stains on the carpet', 'garbage all over the place', 'uncleanliness', 'not clean', 'dirty room', 'not neat and clean', 'lot of waste in the premises', 'apartment is horrible', 'dead baby mice', 'host did not clean'	Dirty room, unclean accommodations	Unclean accommodations, unpleasant host behaviour and poor customer service contributed to guests' negative memorable Airbnb experiences
'different than in pictures', 'poor communication', 'very bad communication with customers', 'host was bad', 'host argued with us'	Bad/unpleasant host behaviour, inadequate communication	
'poor customer service', 'customer care is very poor'	Poor customer service	

**Table 2. Profile of interviewees**

Interviewee code	Gender	Age	Marital status	Nationality	Occupation
IN1	Female	31	Co-habiting	Finnish	Teacher
IN2	Male	28	Single	Finnish	Research Assistant
IN3	Female	22	Single	Finnish	Student
IN4	Female	24	Single	Finnish	Student
IN5	Female	30	Co-habiting	Finnish	Sales Assistant
IN6	Female	25	Single	Finnish	Student
IN7	Female	31	Co-habiting	Finnish	Nurse
IN8	Female	25	Single	Finnish	Student
IN9	Female	38	Married	Finnish	Real Estate Agent
IN10	Female	41	Married	Finnish	Sales Manager
IN11	Female	28	Single	Finnish	Caregiver
IN12	Female	22	Single	Finnish	Student
IN13	Female	32	Married	Finnish	Nurse
IN14	Female	29	Co-habiting	Finnish	Kindergarten Teacher
IN15	Male	35	Co-habiting	Finnish	Researcher
IN16	Female	24	Single	Finnish	Sales Assistant
IN17	Female	26	Single	Finnish	Sales Assistant
IN18	Male	37	Co-habiting	Finnish	IT Specialist