



University of Applied Sciences

# **Automation in Structural Engineering**

**Master Thesis** 

International Master of Science in Construction and Real Estate Management

Joint Study Programme of Metropolia UAS and HTW Berlin

from

**Udham Singh** 

S0572561

Berlin, 26.07.2021

1<sup>st</sup> Supervisor: Prof. Sunil Suwal 2<sup>nd</sup> Supervisor: Dr. Paula Naukkarinen

## Acknowledgement

Following people, institutions and researchers have tremendously contributed to the successful completion of this thesis.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Paula Naukkarinen and professor Sunil Suwal for their continuous guidance and support throughout my thesis. Without their thought-provoking feedback, it would not have been possible to improve my work and take it to a higher level. Also, I thank you for your invaluable insights in helping me to formulate my research questions.

In addition, I would like to thank my parents, colleagues and my girlfriend to keep me motivated and providing emotional support through hard times during my thesis. Without their love, help and support, it would not have been possible to get through the difficult situation that Covid-19 has brought.

I would like to extend my sincere thanks to prof. Dr.-Ing. Nicole Riediger and to the universities for regular guidance and understanding in dealing with the Covid-19 situation and making the studies as smooth as possible.

In the end, I would like to thank all the researchers who have presented such a tremendous amount of great work in the field of automation making it possible for me to understand the topic inside out and moving in the right direction.

## **Conceptual Formulation**





### International Master of Science in Construction and Real Estate Management Joint Study Programme of Metropolia Helsinki and HTW Berlin

Date: 06.02.2020

Conceptual Formulation Master Thesis for: <u>Mr Udham Singh</u> Student number: <u>1913005</u> Topic: <u>Automation in Structural Engineering</u>

#### Background:

The initial planning and designing stages of a project are very crucial for deciding the most efficient and effective design solution from various available options. Therefore, every possible solution should be analysed and calculated to decide the best suited for the requirements of the client and achieving sustainability. The design process can include a lot of repetitive calculations for finding the most optimised and feasible solution. Therefore, automation of the design process saves time which also saves money in construction and real estate industry. The one such method of automation is optimising using genetic algorithm. Also, it has been shown by previous optimisation studies that the use of metaheuristic methods have proved to give most optimised results. Therefore, to better analyse the structure in the preliminary phase, the automation can be a very reliable, precise, time-saving and cost-efficient solution which will lead to a best-optimised design of a structure and contributing to sustainability. The optimisation can be done with one of the many possible meta-heuristic optimizing methods available according to our convenience and competence. The whole process can be organised with the help of BIM (Building Information Modelling) with a well-developed framework to efficiently include BIM model with Tekla or Revit, FEM Structural analysis software (such as RFEM, StadPro or RSAP) and the optimization process using MATLAB. The best possible optimised solutions can then be utilised to answer different possible research questions.

#### **Research Question:**

- 1) How to extract and utilise the data from Building information modelling (BIM) and Finite element method software (RFEM) to the optimisation process?
- 2) What is the difference in results of the optimisation process and the initial results of the BIM and RFEM software in terms of cost, carbon footprint and steel reinforcement?
- 3) What is the relationship between different structural element sizes on the cost and carbon footprint of the structure?
- 4) What is the relationship between cost-optimised, carbon optimised, and steel reinforcement optimised structural models and how to choose the final model which can be efficient in all the three optimisations?

### Methodology:

The thesis will be more of a practical work which will involve designing a simple model in AutoCAD, Revit and RFEM to understand the geometry and structural calculations for safety. The data from a BIM model is then systematically taken from Revit which is used as an algorithm to find the best possible solutions for the model in terms of steel reinforcement, cost and carbon footprint. The analysis will be done on how the optimisation helps attain a sustainable solution and if it makes a big difference in achieving the sustainability goals. The





methodology for optimisation is still open but for now, MATLAB seems a most appropriate option.

### **Time Scale:**

Task	Start	End	Outcome
Research on the topic	3/1/2020	5/1/2020	Clarity on the thesis topic
Develop BIM Framework	5/1/2020	6/1/2020	Organising the tasks to be performed and connecting them
Learn MATLAB and computer programming	6/1/2020	8/1/2020	Familiar with MATLAB and genetic algorithm
Develop the AutoCAD model with preliminary calculations	8/1/2020	9/1/2020	Achieving the final model
Export the model in Revit and RFEM for Structural Analysis	9/1/2020	11/1/2020	Final data for optimizing process and comparison
Mathematical Objective functions to be optimised	11/1/2020	1/1/2021	Final equations which must be used for Genetic algorithm
Computing in MATLAB/Computer language	1/1/2021	3/1/2021	Final optimised solutions should be available
Analysing the Results	3/1/2021	6/1/2021	All questions must be answered with all the comparisons
Writing Report and preparing presentation	6/1/2021	8/1/2021	Everything should be ready for submission

#### **Resources:**

Metropolia University of Applied Sciences library: <u>https://metropolia.finna.fi/?lng=en-gb</u> HTW Berlin library: <u>https://bibliothek.htw-berlin.de/en/</u> Academia website: <u>https://www.academia.edu/</u> Google Scholar: <u>https://scholar.google.com/</u> Research gate: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/</u>

### **References:**

Adeli, H., & Sarma, K. (2006). Cost Optimization of Structures: fuzzy logic, genetic algorithm and parallel computing. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Eleftheriadis, S., Duffour, P., Greening, P., James, J., & Mumovic, D. (2017). Multilevel Computational Model for Cost and Carbon Optimisation of Reinforced Concrete Floor Systems. *Automation and Robotics in Construction*.

Goldberg, D. E. (1989). *Genetic Algorithm in Search, Optimization and Machine Learning.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.

Melanie, M. (1999). An Introduction to Genetic Algorithm. Massachusetts: A Bradford Book.

Signature of the Supervisor

### Abstract

The initial planning and designing stage of a project is very crucial for deciding the most efficient and effective design solution from various available options that directly impact sustainability. The optimal solution for reinforced concrete structures can include a lot of repetitive calculations for finding the most optimized and feasible solution, which is impractical manually and semi-automatically using structural analysis software. Therefore, the aim of the research is the automation of the design process which may well be the answer for solving repetitive problems efficiently and reliably by providing optimal solutions in terms of time, cost and embodied carbon emissions leading to an optimized design of a structure and contributing to sustainability in construction and real estate industry. One such method of automation is optimizing using a genetic algorithm in MATLAB which is a metaheuristic method and has proved to provide optimal and robust results in past research. To understand the results of the genetic algorithm, it is compared with the manual calculations for various elements such as beam, column, slabs and building frame. The building information modelling tools such as Revit and Tekla are used for visualizing the results. The automation of the design process by incorporating Eurocode principles and constraints indicate that the cost saving is in the range of 7-40% and embodied carbon emission saving is between 5-52% depending on the elements. The use of a genetic algorithm indicates the saving of costs and embodied carbon emissions in all the analysed elements and frames demonstrating it to be a robust, cost-effective and timeefficient solution to achieve optimization of structural designing in the early design phase.

Keywords: automation, design process, genetic algorithm, optimization

# **Table of Contents**

Ackn	owledgement	ii
Conc	eptual Formulation	iii
Abstr	ract	v
Table	e of Contents	vi
List c	of Figures	viii
List c	of Tables	x
List c	of Abbreviations	xi
List c	of Symbols	xiii
1	Introduction	1
1.1	Problem Formulation	3
1.2	Problem Identification	5
1.3	Problem Statement and Objectives	6
2	Literature Survey and Review	7
2.1	Literature Collection and Segregation	8
2.2	Critical Review of Literature	35
2.3	Research Gap	35
3	Methodology	36
4	Automation Concept	37
4.1	Genetic Algorithm	
4.2	BIM (Building Information Modelling)	
4.3	MATLAB, RFEM and REVIT	42
5	Modelling and Calculations	43
5.1	Beam Design	
5.2	Column Design	53
5.3	Slab Design	64
5.3.1	One Way Slab	
5.3.2	I wo Way Slab	
5.3.3 5.2.1	KIDDEO SIAD	15 דד
J.J.4	Pasian of DCC Frame	
ว.4		82

6	Results and Analysis	88
6.1	Reinforced Concrete Beam	89
6.2	Reinforced Concrete Column	90
6.3	Reinforced Concrete Slabs	91
6.4	RCC Frames	93
7	Conclusion	96
7.1	Limitations	98
7.2	Future Work	98
Statu	tory Declaration	99
Conse	ent of Publishing the Master's Thesis1	00
Bibliography 10		01
Appendix1		09

# List of Figures

Figure 1: Embodied carbon emission savings (Gibbons & Orr, 2020)	2
Figure 2: Steel usage (World Steel Association, 2019)	3
Figure 3: GA flowchart (left) and GA pseudocode (right)	38
Figure 4: Generalized BIM-based framework	40
Figure 5: Flowchart for the optimization process	41
Figure 6: Flexure design flowchart	43
Figure 7: Shear design flowchart	44
Figure 8: Beam line diagram	45
Figure 9: Cost single objective GA results (Mathworks, 1984)	48
Figure 10: Revit detailing for manually calculated solution	49
Figure 11: Revit detailing for cost optimized solution	50
Figure 12: Carbon single objective GA results (Mathworks, 1984)	51
Figure 13: Revit detailing for carbon optimized solution	52
Figure 14: Isolated column member (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)	53
Figure 15: Effective length of column (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)	53
Figure 16: Column section (Mosley, et al., 2012)	54
Figure 17: Design bending moment diagram (Bond, et al., 2006)	54
Figure 18: Generalized column design	55
Figure 19: Stress block for unsymmetrical reinforcement	55
Figure 20: Braced non-slender/short column design	56
Figure 21: Braced slender column Design	57
Figure 22: Unbraced column design (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)	57
Figure 23: Column section	58
Figure 24: MATLAB cost optimization graphs (Mathworks, 1984)	60
Figure 25: MATLAB cost optimization graphs (Mathworks, 1984)	61
Figure 26: MATLAB carbon optimization graphs (Mathworks, 1984)	62
Figure 27: MATLAB carbon optimization graphs (Mathworks, 1984)	63
Figure 28: Types of slabs (Darwin, et al., 2016)	65
Figure 29: Rectangular stress block (www.concretecenter.com)	65

Figure 30: Slab flexure and shear design (European Commissio	on, 2004) . 66
Figure 31: Deflection check for slab (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)	) 67
Figure 32: Ribbed slab design (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)	68
Figure 33: Flat slab design (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)	69
Figure 34: Punching shear design (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004).	70
Figure 35:MATLAB cost optimization result (Mathworks, 1984).	73
Figure 36: MATLAB carbon optimization result (Mathworks, 198	34) 73
Figure 37: MATLAB cost optimization results (Mathworks, 1984	) 81
Figure 38: MATLAB carbon optimization results (Mathworks, 19	84) 81
Figure 39: Frame analysis according to Eurocodes	83
Figure 40: Plan for manual calculations (Trimble, 2021)	85
Figure 41: 3d view for manual calculation (Trimble, 2021)	85
Figure 42: Plan for carbon optimization solution (Trimble, 2021)	86
Figure 43: 3d view for carbon optimization solution (Trimble, 20	21) 86
Figure 44: Plan for cost optimization solution (Trimble, 2021)	87
Figure 45: 3d view for cost optimization solution (Trimble, 2021)	) 87
Figure 46: Total percentage saving using GA	88
Figure 47: Beam optimization result comparison	89
Figure 48: Column optimization result comparison	90
Figure 49: One-way slab optimization result comparison	91
Figure 50: Two-way slab optimization result comparison	92
Figure 51: Ribbed slab optimization result comparison	92
Figure 52: Flat slab optimization result comparison	
Figure 53: Elements cost comparison	94
Figure 54: Elements embodied carbon comparison	94
Figure 55: Total frame cost and embodied carbon	95

# List of Tables

Table 1: Cost of materials	. 47
Table 2: Embodied carbon emissions of materials	. 47
Table 3: Cost single objective beam results (Mathworks, 1984)	. 49
Table 4: Carbon single objective beam results (Mathworks, 1984)	. 51
Table 5: Additional variable data	. 52
Table 6: MATLAB cost optimization result (Mathworks, 1984)	. 61
Table 7: Additional variable data	. 62
Table 8: MATLAB carbon optimized result	. 63
Table 9: Result comparison for one way slab	. 74
Table 10: Result comparison for two-way slab	. 75
Table 11: Result comparison for ribbed slab	. 77
Table 12: Result comparison for flat slab	. 80
Table 13: Element geometric data comparison	. 82
Table 14: Frame manual calculation results	. 84
Table 15: Frame carbon objective results	. 84
Table 16: Frame cost objective results	. 84

## List of Abbreviations

- GA Genetic Algorithm
- **MATLAB –** Matrix Laboratory
- RFEM Dlubal Structural Analysis Software using Finite Element Method
- **RSAP –** Robot Structural Analysis Product
- STAAD PRO Structural Analysis and Designing Program
- SQP Sequential quadratic programming
- **BIM** Building Information Modelling
- FEM Finite Element Method
- FRP Fibre Reinforced Polymer
- CO2 Carbon Dioxide
- **BS** British Standards
- RCC Reinforced Cement Concrete
- ACI American Concrete Institute
- ULS Ultimate Limit State
- **COA** Cuckoo Optimization Algorithm
- **API** Application Programming Interface
- **ACO** Ant Colony Optimization
- SA Simulated Annealing
- **ANN** Artificial Neural Network
- **LP** Linear Programming
- **GRP** Generalized Reduced Gradient
- **HS** Harmony Search
- **TLBO** Teaching Learning Based Optimization
- **BA** Bat Algorithm
- **BS** British Standard

- **CSI** Computers and Structures Inc.
- ETABS Extended Three-Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems
- **EA** Evolutionary Algorithms
- **NSGA** Nondominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm
- FORTRAN Formula Translation
- **LMM** Lagrangian Multipliers Method
- **UDL** Uniformly Distributed Load
- PL Point Load
- **DRB** Doubly Reinforced Beam
- **GRG** Generalized Reduced Gradient
- **IP** Interior point
- **DSSPF** Decision Support System for Precast Floors
- MGA1 Messy Genetic Algorithm
- **PLOOTO** Parametric Layout Organization Generator
- **LCCF** Life Cycle Carbon Footprint
- LCC Life Cycle Cost
- MCDM Multi-Criteria Decision Making
- LCEI Life Cycle Environmental Impact
- **MOO** Multi-Objective Optimization
- GWO Gray Wolf Optimization
- **SUMT** Sequential Unconstrained Minimization Technique
- **NLPP** Non-Linear Programming problem
- GHG Green House Gases

## **List of Symbols**

- $\ensuremath{\varnothing}$  The diameter of the steel bar
- $\theta$  Angle
- $\rho$  Ratio of steel reinforcement to concrete
- $\delta$  Moment redistribution ratio
- $\alpha_{sx}$  Moment redistribution factor in the x-direction
- $\alpha_{sy}$  Moment redistribution factor in the y-direction
- $\rho_o$  Reference reinforcement percentage
- $\rho$  Required compression reinforcement percentage
- $u_i$  Reference reinforcement percentage

### 1 Introduction

The construction industry is one of the consistent growing industries in the world contributing significantly to the total carbon dioxide emissions, energy usage for material manufacturing such as concrete, steel, timber and glass. The United Nations have laid out various sustainability goals which are aimed to be achieved in different industries to improve sustainability which also includes the building and construction sector. Also, the Paris Agreement in 2015 has indicated that the building industry must reduce its carbon emission and energy usage to achieve the global goal of keeping the global warming temperature below 2°C as its contribution is significant. Therefore, research on the integration of growing technology with current construction practices has been identified as a progressive way to move towards better decision making which can ultimately lead to sustainability. To achieve the goals set for 2050, energy usage and carbon emission must be reduced globally by at least 60%. As per reports by United Nations, the goal achievement requires huge investment for energy-efficient building construction which on the contrary saw a reduction of about 2% from 2017 to 2018. Therefore, a decline in investment towards energy-efficient buildings is taking us further away from the goals of 2050. (United Nations Environment Programme, 2020)

The building and construction sector currently use 36% of total global energy usage and emits around 39% of global GHG (Green House Gas) emissions which is around 9.7 GtCO<sub>2</sub>. The current future projections indicate that the population will increase by around 2.5 billion by the year 2050 which will ultimately increase the demand for the building and construction sector industry. Keeping this projection trend in mind, the stocks for the building sector will increase by 90% and its contribution to GHG and energy usage will climb as well. The projections have made the task even harder for the building and construction sectors. Therefore, future projected contributions have challenged the professionals in the construction industry to come up with ideas to reduce this contribution over a certain period systematically. (GlobalABC , 2019)

The focus of the industry at the moment is only on the energy-efficient materials and techniques used during the life cycle of the building and completely ignoring the fact that concrete and steel are producing significant carbon emissions that can be tackled at an early stage of designing. In the building and construction sector, the initial design phase should be optimal so that the material consumption, cost, embodied carbon emission and energy usage can be reduced.

The most used materials in structural design are concrete and steel. Concrete is the second most used material for various purposes after water which in itself is momentous information. Current statistics show that steel consumption is growing every year and touched approximately 1808 million metric tons in 2018 which indicates a consistent increment every year. Also, every ton of steel produced emits 1.83 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emission. It accounts for 7-9 % of the total global direct emissions from fossil fuels production. (World Steel Association, 2019)

The knowledge of these materials contributing the most to the construction industry's carbon emissions makes it even more important to incorporate the design process which makes it possible to optimize it. Therefore, there has been significant research on optimizing the design process through various methods that will be explored in the coming sections and then the most suitable option will be further researched to optimize the various building elements and the structural frame. The optimal design will have a significant reduction in carbon emissions and cost which in turn will have a huge impact on the industry. To have a perspective of what this reduction would mean we can have a look at this picture below to realise the responsibility of structural engineers and how much they can contribute.





## 1.1 **Problem Formulation**

The industry has faced a grand question of how to optimize the cost and energy used in construction. The building can be divided broadly into the construction phase and the life cycle phase. Both heavily plays a role in how efficient the building will be over its life cycle. Therefore, the optimization of both the design phase and the maintenance and operation phase is extremely essential.

The optimization of the design phase seems to have a significant effect on the embodied carbon emissions and energy efficiency of a building. Therefore, optimization in designing the structures is a practical and effective way to contribute. Now, widely, and essentially used materials in the building and construction sector are concrete and steel. Therefore, various techniques of sustainable consumption of these materials need to be implemented for achieving sustainability. The distribution of steel usage in the industry is shown below:



Figure 2: Steel usage (World Steel Association, 2019)

The initial planning and designing stages of a project are very crucial for deciding the most efficient and effective design solution from various available options. Therefore, every possible solution should be analysed and calculated to decide the best suited for the requirements of the client and achieving sustainability. The design process can include a lot of repetitive calculations for finding the most optimized and feasible solution for a given building. Therefore, efficient analyses of the structure in the preliminary phase should be achieved. The automation technique can be a very reliable, precise, time-saving and cost-efficient solution that will lead to a best-optimized design of a structure and contributing to sustainability at the same time.

We must figure out the strategy on how we can implement the optimizing strategy in the industry. we know that the safety of any structure cannot be compromised in any scenario otherwise, it loses the basic motive of construction in the first place. So, there is a need for the industry to come up with a system where the safety of a structure is intact and, we can increase the efficiency of the concrete and steel used satisfying the minimum requirement given by the codes.

The optimization of materials used such as steel reinforcement and concrete is essential. Also, the embodied carbon emission at the design phase can be achieved by optimization. Therefore, optimization should be done for embodied carbon emission and the cost of the structure.

### 1.2 **Problem Identification**

The construction industry has developed and accepted technological advancement to an extent where it has become easy to develop the design with the help of various tools. Nowadays, it is possible to put your mind out and develop the most innovative, sustainable, and fancy design for a structure. Additionally, we have reached a point where we have selfishly consumed a huge number of natural resources without giving a thought to future generations and we have failed to plan a world where we could conserve most of our resources and use them efficiently and effectively. Therefore, it is our social, moral and professional responsibility to give our best to achieve the required level of sustainability for our resources. The current way of analyzing the structure for cost and carbon emission gives an insight into the issues we are facing in the current market and some of them are listed below:

- Hand calculations become harder and time inefficient as the size and complexity of the structure increases.
- The complexity and professional competence requirements in implementing automation techniques have made the building and construction industry reluctant to use them for the design phase.
- The time required for analyzing big structures manually is huge which adds to an increase in project cost significantly.
- There is not enough repetitive designing for various combinations that are available to structural engineers because it is a tedious and ineffective strategy. Therefore, there is a high possibility to miss out on the most optimum design solution. Also, human beings tend to incline towards more safety and use extra resources than required which possibly impacts the material consumption for steel and concrete etc.
- Designers and clients do not widely focus on the GRG (Green House Gas) aspect at the early stages of the construction because the sustainable options are usually higher in cost.

### 1.3 Problem Statement and Objectives

The above-mentioned issues in subsection 1.2 are improved by implementing the automation concept and it has also been extensively studied by various researchers. Therefore, the current study will implement optimization in the design phase and compare it with the present design results. The main research questions which will be answered in the further chapters are as follows:

- How to develop an interaction between various tools such as Building information modelling (BIM), MATLAB and Finite element method software (RFEM) to extract and utilise the data for the optimization process?
- 2) What are the impacts of different structural element dimensions on the cost and embodied carbon emissions of the structure?
- 3) What are the advantages of the optimization process over the manual designing methodology in terms of cost, embodied carbon emissions and steel reinforcement?
- 4) What is the relationship between cost-optimized and carbon optimized structural models and how does it provide a different perspective for decision making in the design phase?

In the process of answering the above-mentioned research questions, some new concepts are also investigated. The concepts are as follows:

- Use of BIM in developing automation framework.
- Optimizing Steel reinforcement design, cost and carbon emission in the structure considering various parameters.
- Comparing the best optimum models and structural elements which provide the best solution for cost, carbon emission and steel reinforcement.
- Efficiency and effectiveness of modelling using genetic algorithm function in MATLAB optimization toolbox.
- Sustainability achievements with automation.

## 2 Literature Survey and Review

The most important aspect of any progressive academic research is to have a thorough insight into what has already been done in the past. Therefore, to achieve this objective, surveying and collecting the available research is a must. This will also help the researcher define his/her contribution to the research topic broadly. We must collect and survey all the literature available on the relevant topic as much as possible. By reviewing the past literature, we can conclude what we can research so that it gives additional knowledge for anyone wanting to read about a similar topic. The literature survey is possible through a wide range of possibilities such as collecting journals, articles, books etc from various academic platforms and online websites which gives all the research papers for free. The literature survey for the current thesis has been developed in such a way that it provides all the possible knowledge about optimization of steel reinforcement for different structural members and structures, optimization of cost and carbon of a structure or structural members, the various techniques and tools already identified and implemented by the researchers.

The literature surveyed and collected has been reviewed for a deeper understanding of the topic. The review also suggested the precise area which needs academic contribution which will have a tremendous effect on the current research. The current literature review gives deep knowledge of the implemented automation techniques in the construction and building sector at various levels. Depending on the past research developments there will be a plan made for further contribution to the current research topic.

### 2.1 Literature Collection and Segregation

The research journal has used fibre reinforced concrete instead of normal concrete to test the seismic retrofitting of a framed structure. The fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) has shown good strength and ductility to improve the typical design against the seismic effect. The model has been calculated with the finite element method (FEM) for internal forces. The multi-objective genetic algorithm (GA) approach has been used to maximize the ductility of the frame and minimize the volume of the frame to achieve a cost-efficient retrofitting model. The case study has been done on a framed structure for further optimization in a practical way. The results have shown the improved and easy optimization achievement for FRP jacketing by using multiple objective functions and different variables. (Chisari & Chiara, 2016)

The optimization of RCC (Reinforced Cement Concrete) flat slab has been studied satisfying the British standards (BS8110). The main aim of the optimization process is to minimize the slab thickness and find out the perfect percentage of steel reinforcement which will give the least cost of the slab keeping the safety criteria intact. The method used for this purpose is the genetic algorithm (GA) which is an inbuilt function of MATLAB. The GA is used with the help of objective functions which needs to be minimized. The objective functions have certain variables which can be tried in the algorithm combinations. The most cost-efficient slab thickness in the middle strip and the column strip has been achieved with the best possible percentage of steel reinforcement. (Olawale, et al., 2019)

The cost optimization of the flat slab according to British standards has been carried out. The cost objective function includes the cost of foundations, columns and floors, with each of them covering the cost of concrete, labour cost for reinforcement, material cost and formwork cost. The structural analysis is done using the equivalent frame method and optimization is done in three steps with column layout optimization, column dimensions and slab thickness optimization, number and sizes of the reinforcement for reinforced concrete members. Finally, the examples of three flat slabs have been taken for optimization and results are compared to each other. The results indicate the cost-saving by optimization as compared to the conventional designing. The cost saving is directly proportional

to the number of structural elements, which means the bigger the amount of RCC the more is the saving (Sahab, et al., 2005).

The very detailed paper gives the design of flat one way and two-way slabs using the ACI (American Concrete Institute) codes. A very described method of constructing various objective functions for both types of slabs with all the equations is explained very clearly. The ACI codes defining the required resistance conditions to clear ULS (Ultimate limit state) and SLS (Serviceability limit state) criteria have been satisfied in the examples. The cost optimization objective function which has to be minimised include the cost of concrete and reinforcement steel. The metaheuristic method of the cuckoo optimization algorithm is used to minimize the cost function. The results obtained from the COA (Cuckoo optimization algorithm) has been compared to the other optimization algorithms for the same slab example to give an insight into the efficiency of COA. (Ghandi, et al., 2017)

The author has worked on optimizing the design for cost and carbon. The structural analysis is done using the finite element method which has been provided with constraints through genetic analysis. The building information modelling is also used in the whole optimization process. The software used for BIM and structural analysis were Autodesk Revit and Autodesk robots respectively. Also, the computer language used was C# and developed a .NET framework for extracting data from the robot application programming interface (API). The key areas which were chosen to be optimized are the grid layout of the building, floor slab thickness, column sizes and steel reinforcement. The objective function was developed in an expression that included all the variables targeted to be optimized. Multilevel optimization includes three different levels. Firstly, the structural grid layout has been given many variations possible. Secondly, the column sizes and slab thickness were optimized at this level. Thirdly, reinforcement data for column and slab. The total area of the structure is 15m\*16m with a core area of 4m\*5m. The results indicated different models which are best in terms of cost and carbon optimization. The best possible solution for saving cost has three per cent less compared to the carbon optimized solution but it also has greater carbon emission of seven per cent. As expected, the total

contribution of the slab is 75 per cent of the total cost and 90 per cent of the total carbon emission of the structure. (Eleftheriadis, et al., 2017)

The author (Paya-Zaforteza, et al., 2009) has used Spanish building codes for the optimization process which includes reducing the carbon do oxide emission and cost of the frame to its minimum. The frame chosen for the study was of different heights which sat at 2,4,6 and 8 m height. The frame with 8m height had the biggest number of variables which were 156 and the total combinations for them were 10<sup>232</sup>. The optimization was carried out used the metaheuristic method called simulated annealing (SA). The result of the study indicated that a CO<sub>2</sub> optimized solution is more expensive than a cost-optimized solution but the difference between them is of utmost 2.77 per cent which is not very huge in a practical scenario and could be accommodated in the construction industry. Also, the cost-optimized solution gives an increment of 3.8 per cent for the CO<sub>2</sub> emission. (Paya-Zaforteza, et al., 2009)

The paper deals with the optimization of the cost function for a 3D frame structure. The cost function is heuristic with the use of the Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) algorithm. The criteria followed for the safety are that the resistance axial force, shear force and moment of members are greater than the ones coming onto the members through loading. Also, the serviceability limit state is satisfied by controlling the maximum deflection less than deflection by serviceable load case. The author has concluded that by using this technique there is a further cost saving of around 4.8 per cent compared to the paper provided by (Sahab, et al., 2005). In a nutshell, two examples are considered for applying this technique of Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) algorithm and both of them shows very efficient and effective cost optimization results. (Hadi, et al., 2012)

The paper opts for a genetic algorithm (GA) technique for optimization of the 2D frame structure satisfying the American Concrete Institute (ACI) building code. The cost objective function is the total sum of the cost of concrete, steel and formwork plus labor cost used for the construction. The penalty function is introduced to introduce the constrained part of the problem into GA which is originally apt for unconstrained problem-solving. The selection of the population in the GA is done using tournament selection and repairing operator is also used for better convergence in beams and columns. These changes make the algorithm better as compared to the traditional GA according to the authors. The optimization design has been supported with a 2D frame example and it has clearly shown costeffectiveness. Also, the time required for the design process was short and effective as compared to the other research done on the same frame. (Niaki, et al., 2016)

The author has attempted to minimize the cost of the frame structure which included the cost of concrete and steel used in the structural members. The Neuroshell-2 software program is adapted to provide the channel for the Artificial Neural Network (ANN) computational model for optimization. The ACI-318-08 code is referred for safety and serviceability purposes. The various constraints for beams and columns are geometric constraints, capacity constraint, minimum steel area requirement constraint, maximum steel area limit constraint, flexural capacity constraint, shear strength requirement constraints, maximum beam width constraint and crack width constraint. The frame chosen for the designing had various variables which create 50 different kind of models which needs to be analyzed for cost optimization. The author concludes that the modelling with the Neuroshell-2 program is efficient and gives out decent results for multiple story frames. (Aga & Adam, 2015)

The study has been performed for optimization of the concrete beam complying with Brazilian standard ABNT NBR 6118:2014. The technique used for this purpose is the analytic solver program with the help of an excel spreadsheet. Also, upon running the option for analyzing the problem and check which solver would fit best for the solving process among LP Simplex, nonlinear GRG and Evolutionary, it was found that the most appropriate for the used problem would be Evolutionary solver because the problem is non-smooth and nonconvex. The considered variables that were suspected to impact the optimization were the span length of the beam, concrete compressive strength and loading. It was seen that the solver was able to provide more cost-efficient solutions as compared to the conventional design. (Correia, et al., 2019)

The study is done to optimize the reinforced cement concrete beam to save the cost of the structural element. The cost objective function is the total sum of concrete, steel reinforcement and formwork used in the beam. The main idea of the optimization is to find out the best grade of concrete and steel which would give

the most optimal solution. The optimization is done using an SQP (Sequential Quadratic Programming) algorithm which was carried out using MATLAB. The optimization has given an economical solution to the problem as compared to the conventional method of calculations. (Thomas & Arulraj.G, 2017)

The reinforced cement concrete continuous beam is designed optimally accordioning to the Indian Standards satisfying the ULS (Ultimate Limit State), SLS (Serviceability Limit State), ductility and durability. The GA (Genetic algorithm) has opted for the optimization process and the variable is chosen for this study is the cross-section of the beam. The results of the study have been compared to the literature available in the public space. The author has concluded that the GA (Genetic algorithm) algorithm is cost-efficient, time-saving and mathematically convenient to carry out. (Govindaraj & Ramasamy, 2005)

The paper gives an insight into the RCC (Reinforced cement concrete) beam optimization. Fact that there can be a various number of combinations between beam dimensions and the steel reinforcement ratios to give out a similar resistance against the internal forces, the conventional method is not effective as there are so many iterations with changing variables, and it would consume a lot of time in the design phase. Therefore, to tackle this issue GA (Genetic algorithm) is adopted to search for the best solution. The author has concluded that the GA (Genetic algorithm) approach has shown some magnificent results in terms of reducing the cost of the RCC rectangular beam. (Coello Coello , et al., 1997)

The author has worked on optimizing a singly reinforced concrete beam using a nonlinear mathematical expression. The cost and weight of the structural element are adopted for minimizing as an objective function. The ACI 318S-13 (American Concrete Institute) building code is used for designing structural concrete members. The optimization technique is supported with a design example and then comparing it to the current conventional designing methodology. The problem was successfully drafted by a nonlinear programming methodology. The designing and optimization are done complying with all the ULS (Ultimate Limit State) and SLS (Serviceability Limit State) conditions required to be satisfied for the structural member. The objective functions are minimized for various four different examples with different variables and were analyzed for the best suitable solution. The author also highlighted the change in optimal steel ratios in different

examples and how the optimal ratio is different as compared to the ratio taken for conventional designing. (Rojas, 2016)

The study is performed for minimizing the construction cost and material used in the designing of simply supported beams, columns, and multi-storey frame structures. The heuristic method used is the GA (Genetic Algorithm). The building codes used are from ACI (American Concrete Institute) for strength and serviceability requirements. The constraints according to the ACI building codes are applied as a penalty function to the fittest solution so that the result is analyzed realistically. The examples worked in the study indicates that the GA (Genetic Algorithm) is an effective and efficient technique for optimization and it also minimizes the cost objective function. (Camp, et al., 2003)

This study is done on optimizing an RCC (Reinforced Cement Concrete) beam and besides the effect of slab thickness on the designing of the beam is studied. The design procedure follows the standards set by ACI318 (American Concrete Institute). The iterative optimization process is formulated by using a metaheuristic method called HS (Harmony Search) which is a music-inspired algorithm search technique. The objective function used is the cost of the beam considering variables such as dimensions and steel reinforcement rebars required for the flexural design. The four different scenarios have been considered with different slab thicknesses. It has been concluded that the slab thickness does not have any significant effect on the most optimal design, but the beam must be considered a T section. (Nigdeli & Bekdas, 2019)

The study has used the new metaheuristic method called TLBO (Teaching Learning Based Optimization) for the optimization of an RC beam. The study is done to check the efficiency of this method as compared to other optimization methods such as HS (Harmony Search) and BA (Bat algorithm). The design procedure complies with ACI 318-05 (American Concrete Institute) building design codes. The optimization process is done for ten different flexural moments. It has been found that the TLBO optimization technique is very robust and efficient for optimization as compared to HS (Harmony Search) and BA (Bat Algorithm). (Bekdaş & Niğdeli, 2016)

The study illustrates the optimization of an existing building in terms of energy efficiency for the best retrofitting of the structure. The study uses GA (Genetic Algorithm) and energy plus for the energy simulations for nineteen different countries in Europe which have different climatic conditions from each other. The energy evaluation is done using the existing residential building as a benchmark. The various optimization variables are considered for the study such as greenhouse gas reduction, annual energy operating cost reduction, installation and construction cost reduction and annual specific energy demand reduction. The optimized results are hugely dependent on the climatic condition, energy cost and carbon emission as per the country of analysis. (Salataa, et al., 2020)

The study emphasizes the importance of automation on the steel reinforcement calculation for the building structural frame. The BIM (Building Information Modelling) framework have been developed by the author to systematically manage the information for the structure coming from various platforms providing geometric information, structural analysis data and finally the optimization process. The hybrid GA (Genetic Algorithm) has been implemented in three different levels for optimization which are optimizing longitudinal tensile steel reinforcement, optimizing longitudinal compressive steel reinforcement and optimizing the shear steel reinforcement. The building codes used are BS8110 (British Standard) for the structural analysis of the structure. The optimization is done on two examples which are a three-storey building frame and RC beam. The GA (Genetic Algorithm) optimization has shown efficient results as compared to calculated by Autodesk RSA, CSI ETABS and Manual Calculations. The best results by hybrid GA (Genetic Algorithm) for percentage difference between the required minimum required steel reinforcement and the provides steel reinforcement is just 0.004% which is an amazing result as compared to the other methodology. (Mangal & Cheng, 2018)

The study analyzes the hybrid GA (Genetic Algorithm) and then compares it to the conventional GA (Genetic Algorithm). The process of GA works in two different stages which are global search from the search space using the hybrid GA (Genetic Algorithm) and local search using Hooks and Jeeves method (Hooke & JEEVES, 1961) by implementing GA (Genetic Algorithm). The hybrid GA (Genetic Algorithm) is further analyzed by working on a truss problem and to a flat slab problem. The results of the truss problem show that the hybrid GA (Genetic Algorithm) with some more functions are more efficient as compared to the

normal GA (Genetic Algorithm). Also, in the optimization of the flat slab, the hybrid GA (Genetic Algorithm) takes more time and evaluated more functions but the result is more optimized as compared to the normal GA (Genetic Algorithm). (Sahab, et al., 2004)

The author implements the new metaheuristic method called CS (Cuckoo Search) which was developed in 2009. The study analyzes the thirteen different examples of optimization and then compares them to the other studies which used different search algorithms. The authors strongly conclude that the CS (Cuckoo Search) algorithm is easy to implement as compared to other algorithms such as GA (Genetic Algorithm) and shows more efficient results for the examples worked in the study. Also, the CS (Cuckoo Search) has comparatively a smaller number of essential parameters which are population size n and Pa. (Gandomi, et al., 2013)

The study indicates the issues with multi-objective evolutionary algorithms (EA) which are its complexity, non-elitism and sharing parameters specification. The authors have come up with a nondominated sorting genetic algorithm (NSGA-II) which eliminates the above-mentioned issues for EA (Evolutionary Algorithms). The methodology is implemented on different problems, and it has been concluded that it reduces the complexity to a good amount but have faced similar issues with epistatic problems as compared to the EA (Evolutionary Algorithm). (Deb, et al., 2002)

The most important part of designing, in the beginning, is to figure out the best topology of the structure. The topology changes the dimensions of all the structural elements and therefore it hugely affects the cost, material consumption and displacements in the structure. The authors have worked on finding the best topology with the use of GA (Genetic Algorithm) for iterative hit and trial options and then the material alterations to minimize the cost function. The cost function includes concrete, steel reinforcement, column placements and the displacements of the structure. The structural analysis is performed using the Autodesk RSAP (Robot Structural Analysis Program). The precise algorithm used for the study is NSGA-II (Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm) for five different examples with different data. The conclusion was that the optimization certainly helps the structural designer to find the best possible solution in terms of column

placement for the given topology, but it also indicates the complexity of the optimization and the similar results for all five examples. (Oliveira & Miranda, 2020)

The author has broadly analyzed the effectiveness of GA (Genetic Algorithm) for optimization as compared to the traditional methodologies. All the characteristics involved in the optimization using GA (Genetic Algorithm) is studied in the current study. The clear algorithm with all its operators and function is explained by the author Also, the explanation is supported by an example of optimizing an equation for maximum and minimum values. (Premalatha, 2015)

The study presents the optimization of the structural frame and beam using GA (Genetic Algorithm). The beam satisfies all the criteria set by the building codes such that flexure, shear, axial and torsion. The internal forces of the beam are done by computing it in STAAD Pro software which gives the result of shear forces, moments and axial forces. The structural analysis is done according to the ACI code (American Concrete Institute) for all the structural members. The analysis is done semi-automatic with the use of STAAD Pro to provide the initial dimensions for columns and beams. This initial data is fed to the GA (Genetic Algorithm) for further optimization and the structure is reanalyzed with the optimized data to check the building code design procedure. (Shariati, et al., 2019)

The study presents optimization of steel reinforcement for an RC (Reinforced Cement) flat slab. The BIM (Building Information Modelling) is used to extract data related to the geometry of the slab and the structural analysis is done using FEM (Finite element method). The optimization is carried out in two steps. Firstly, the steel reinforcement is decided based on the three-dimensional aspect of the FEM (Finite Element Method). Secondly, the realistic approach of providing the steel reinforcement was adopted where a constructability constraint was developed which controlled the bars in each zone and the spacing between them. An example with six different simulations with different constraints but the same geometric data as per conventional design is illustrated for practical application. The first two simulation does not include the constructability constraints at all but the simulations 3 and 4 include only zoning constraint function and simulation 5 and 6 are done with both zoning and spacing constraints. Each pair takes steel reinforcement and spacing from two different databases D1 which has diameters 8mm to 32 mm and spacing from 175 mm to 250 mm with an increment of 25 mm whereas D2 has diameters 8mm to 32 mm and spacing can be from 50 mm to 250 mm with increments of 10 mm. This provides database D2 with many more options for selecting optimized steel weight. The results of automation were compared to the conventional design procedure to get an insight into how the results of providing steel reinforcement weight differ. The authors concluded that the optimization technique studied in first and second simulation has shown a reduction in slab reinforcement by 21 per cent and 23 per cent respectively, simulation 3 and 4 shows a reduction of around 12 per cent, simulation 5 and 6 shows a reduction of 3 per cent and 5 per cent as compared to the conventional design. Therefore, the constraints help achieve a more realistic design that is easier to install on the construction site. (Eleftheriadis, et al., 2018)

The study optimizes the one-way slab for total cost including the cost of concrete and steel reinforcement with satisfying all the design criteria according to the American standards ACI 318-M08 (American Concrete Institute). The heuristic method names PSO (particle Swarm Optimization) is used with a penalty function to satisfy constraints. The technique is illustrated with four different examples having different slab lengths ranging from two meters to five meters and different support conditions. The authors have very clearly identified the equations for flexural constraint, shear constraint, serviceability constraint, deflection constraint and constraint normalization. After studying the four examples the authors concluded that the cost of the slab is directly proportional to the span length. Also, the steel reinforcement is different for each example but for most commonly used spans (7-10 feet) it is between 0.43 per cent to 0.47 per cent. The proposed algorithm can be applied to any location having a different cost for concrete and steel reinforcement. (Ahmadi-Nedushan & Varaee, 2011)

The study optimizes a rectangular RC beam according to the cost function rather than weight minimization. All the constraints have been applied in the optimization process for minimizing cost and it is done using the LMM (Lagrangian Multipliers Method). The minimum cost has been achieved without any iterations. The example of singly and the doubly reinforced beam is illustrated using the ACI building code. After finding some variables such as steel reinforcement ratio in the beam using LMM method, the achieved data is used further for finding out the optimum depth and the steel reinforcement to be provided for best costefficient results using the ANN (Artificial Neural Network). The ANN is an inbuilt optimization function of MATLAB and can be easily modelled with algorithm and data input. (Yousif, et al., 2010)

The longitudinal reinforcement design is optimized using MATLAB coding. The author has compared design examples using ACI 318 and EC2 to illustrate the differences in methodology for each building code designing. The reinforcement and neutral axis depth are calculated to satisfy the flexure and axial loading with minimum and maximum limitations according to the codes. A total of four different examples was analyzed by computing for minimizing the cost. The authors concluded that the use of computers and MATLAB has become a fairly easy process for experts in various fields. The time taken for computing was found to be one-tenth of a second is extremely less compared to the manual calculations. (Tomás & Alarcón, 2012)

The optimization of an RCC cantilever beam is carried out using the GA (Genetic Algorithm). The beam is designed using IS 459-2000 (Indian Standards) for strength and serviceability. The objective function for optimization includes the cost of concrete and steel reinforcement. The GA has been applied on a simple beam and it has been found that the beam optimization result using GA gives fairly efficient results compared to the manually calculated. (Alex & Kottalil, 2015)

The study presents optimization of structural RCC beam with different support conditions such as cantilever beam, simply supported beam and continuous beam and subjected to UDL (Uniformly Distributed Load) and PL (Point Load). The design follows the standards provided by the IS 456-2000 (Indian Standards). The design examples have shown effective and efficient results. (Kumar & Shanthi, 2018)

The study performs the optimum design of a one-way slab according to the IS456-2000 (Indian Standard). The optimization technique used in the study is GA (Genetic Algorithm) and MATLAB. The object function is defined for cost minimization which includes the cost of concrete, cost of steel reinforcement and cost of formwork for the given structural element. The cost function with all the constraints is applied to various examples of a slab having different span lengths and loading values. The design example is divided into four main divisions such as available parameters, design variables, building code satisfying criteria and the constraints. After running the GA (Genetic Algorithm), it has been concluded that the ratio of the length of the slab to that of the thickness of the slab should be around 29-30 for achieving the most optimized solution. Also, the authors indicated that the use of higher-grade materials does not always comply with the most optimized design. (Singh, et al., 2014)

The study is done for optimizing a DRB (Doubly Reinforced Beam) complying with strength and serviceability design conditions given in IS 456-2000. The object function for optimization is minimizing the cost of the beam which includes the cost of concrete, steel and formwork. The authors have used three different approaches such as GA using MATLAB, GRG (Generalized Reduced Gradient) method in excel and IP (Interior point) method for problem-solving. The results obtained from each method have been compared to study the best result options. The conclusion was that the GA gave the most optimum results compared to the other two approaches with excellent and smart searching of the space. (Bhalchandra & Adsul, 2012)

The study has been done in the multi-storey frames to optimize the structural members such as beams and columns using ACI 318-05 2005 building codes. The optimization method of sequential quadratic programming which is one of the tools in MATLAB has been used for the study. The objective cost function includes the cost of formwork, material cost for concrete and steel, concrete placing cost, vibrating cost, equipment cost and labour cost. The three examples have been illustrated with a different number of bays and stories in each example. The results from various design examples have concluded that the optimization technique can save up to 23 per cent of the cost as compared to the traditional calculation methodology. (Guerra & Kiousis, 2006)

The study reflects on energy saving in the initial phases of the design process. Energy is an important aspect when it comes to the environment, and it has always been minimized in a building through techniques and methods. The authors in this study have tried to optimize the building design for minimizing embodied energy. The embodied energy includes the total energy consumption during construction and the entire material life cycle. The example of a rectangular beam is taken for cost optimization and analyzed for embodied energy. The objective function used is the cost function and the embodied energy function for minimizing. The building code used for the RCC beam is ACI 318-08M. The results indicate that concrete contributes to much larger embodied energy as compared to steel, but steel is much costlier than concrete. Therefore, the embodied energy-optimized beam solution has more amount of steel as it possesses less embodied energy but on the other hand the cost is also increased as it is expensive than concrete. According to the author's conclusion, the energy-optimized solution is more expensive. The cost increases to around five per cent compared to if we neglect the embodied energy optimization model. Also, the cost-optimized solution is slightly more ductile than the embodied energy-optimized solution. The results have a significant difference when the R which is the cost ratio of steel to that of the concrete changes. (Yeo & Gabbai, 2011)

The focus of the study is on the effect of structural analysis on the life cycle cost of the structure. The efficient design can have a huge reduction in overall energy used for structures over their entire life span. Also, the embodied energy is kept in balance with the right choice of materials and design leading to better sustainability. The authors have used the BIM framework to plan and execute the whole process of optimization. The approach is also tested on a realized structure which is a multistory RC (Reinforced Concrete) building. The contribution of slabs in a building for carbon emission is highest compared to the other structural members. Therefore, optimized and comprehensive design can reduce it to a greater extent. The BIM framework is developed for the optimization process and the life cycle of carbon emission. The quantity of the materials used is directly taken from BIM software which is Autodesk Revit 2017. The focus of the study has been on the optimization of embodied carbon emission of the superstructure such as slabs, columns and walls. It was obvious that the slab contributes a bigger amount to the cost and carbon compared to the columns. The slab design has shown a reduction of 50 per cent of steel reinforcement after optimization as compared to the manual calculations. The authors have analyzed the current structural system of the building and found that 78 per cent of the total life cycle carbon emissions are from the structural system which means optimization at the initial phase is crucial to minimize the carbon emission. The eight different structural systems have been tested and optimized for the same building and it has been concluded that the structural elements contribute 90 per cent of the embodied carbon emissions and architectural elements contribute 10 per cent of the remaining embodied carbon emissions. The optimized results for new structural systems indicate a reduction of 16-19 per cent of the embodied carbon emission as compared to the original building design. (Eleftheriadis, et al., 2018)

The study has been performed on precast concrete floor design using the tool DSSPF (Decision Support System for Precast Floors) and GA (Genetic Algorithm). The integrated design phases such as conceptual design, embodiment design and detailed design are all considered to achieve the highest level of optimization. Also, it considers all the construction phases which include manufacturing of materials/structural elements, transportation to the sites and the erection cost. There are many alternatives for finding the optimized solution such as layout design, the design of dimensions for structural elements, the reinforcement design and concrete strength considerations for cast in place and precast. To accommodate and consider all these alternatives, the cost objective function is introduced so that all these factors can be analyzed, and the overall cost can be minimized. The building code used for satisfying strength and serviceability criteria is ACI (American Concrete Institute). The cost function includes the cost of manufacturing, indirect cost, cast in place concrete, transportation, assembly and connection. The MGA1 (Messy Genetic Algorithm) is used with the rank concept where the first two best ranked is retained using the concept of elitism for further generations. A total of eleven design variables were used for formulating the GA algorithm. The technique is implemented on the realized building called commercial Carvalho which is situated in Brazil. Three design variations are studied for the current building and then it has been compared to the original design of the realized building. The concept of DSSPF has shown a time reduction for the initial structural layout designing phase for structural engineers and this time could be spent on detailing the elements and the design verification phase. (de Albuquerque, et al., 2012)

The study performs integrated optimization for cost and carbon emission in a building. The approach uses three-level analysis which broadly implies optimization of structure layout, generation of architectural layout as well as internal spacing and optimizing various building components. The strength and serviceability are satisfied according to the EC2 (Eurocodes2) for a reinforced concrete structure. The various levels use different techniques for getting the best possible

solutions. The first level uses a multilevel and multi-objective purpose optimization approach and to implement it NSGA II (Non-Sorting Genetic Algorithm) is used. The first level finds out the grid layout for columns, dimensions of the column and thickness of the slab and finally the steel reinforcement details of both column and slab. Level two uses PLOOTO (Parametric Layout Organization Generator) tool for generating spatial configuration. The file format achieved from the PLOOTO tool is further used for energy analysis with a software called Energy Plus. Level three involves defining various design properties for the building components, window orientation and the ratio of window to the wall. Furthermore, this data is used to find out the LCCF (Life Cycle Carbon Footprint) and LCC (Life Cycle Cost). The optimization technique is implemented on a building of 22\*22 m plot dimension and the cutout area of 7.5\*7 m. The nine different variations were analyzed for cost and carbon emissions. The slab seems to contribute 80-85% of the cost and carbon to the total values of a building. Also, concrete is the highest contributor to the carbon emission in the building which was above 60% and formwork had a significant contribution to the total cost. The cost optimization model is found to be inversely proportional to the carbon emission optimized model which indicated that the most cost-efficient design solution does not exhibit the least carbon emission. (Eleftheriadis, et al., 2018)

The paper reviews the state of art decision making in civil engineering regarding sustainability. The review is done with consideration of various literary publications and the existing MCDM (Multi-Criteria Decision Making) approach. The analysis is done on journal articles from 2015-2017. The MCDM decision-making approach seems to be developing and growing at a significant pace over the years and pick up in research articles have been observed since 2010. The various research indicates that the MSDM approach is very robust and flexible in the assessment of various possible alternatives available in terms of sustainability and selecting the most rational option by considering all the possible tradeoffs. Also, the review indicated that the various decision-making approach for concrete problems. Therefore, the authors conclude that there is a need for more comparative studies between various available decision-making methods so that its possible to relate their advantages and disadvantages with each other and the most

efficient and effective approach for concrete problems can be implemented in the future giving the best results for sustainability. (Zavadskas, et al., 2018)

The paper optimizes the life cycle cost of a single-family house in Polish climatic conditions. The influence of various choices selection is studies that can have an impact on the life cycle cost of the house. Some of these variations are ceiling to the unheated attic, ceiling to the ground floor, the orientation of the building, external wall insulations, different types and sizes of windows. The tools used for analysis and programming in MATLAB and Energy Plus. The authors have optimized the same problem with three different metaheuristic methods namely PSO (Particle Swarm Optimization), GA (Genetic Algorithm) and Optimization based on teaching and learning concepts which are TLBO (Teaching Learning Based Optimization). All the results obtained from these different search algorithms are compared and analyzed for optimum solutions. The optimization approach for the house is comprehensive and it is performed individually with different parameters for each room separately depending on what would be best for that particle room. The energy details and cost of all the analyzed materials are available. The objective function for optimization is cost function and it is the summation of present investment value, building and services operating cost, replacement and maintenance cost over the life span of the house. The results depending on the simulation were best achieved by TBLO for this house which saves about 32% of the energy. The GA has provided the least optimized results as the problem is of local search and a smaller number of simulations were used. (Grygierek & Ferdyn-Grygierek, 2019)

The author has implemented the GA (Genetic Algorithm) for a singly reinforced concrete beam for finding the most optimized solution. The cost is taken as an objective function that needs to be minimized and it includes the cost of steel reinforcement, cost of concrete and cost of formwork. The constraints are provided for the beam in the algorithm so that it satisfies all the strength and service-ability criteria according to the IS 456 (Indian Standards) building codes. Some of these constraints are deflection limitations, amount of maximum and minimum reinforcement that can be sued in the singly reinforced beam, the resistance bending moment of the beam section should be less than the factored bending moment due to the loading, designed shear strength should counteract the shear
forces due to loading, limitations for maximum and minimum dimensions of the beam and finally the stirrups spacing limitations. There is a total of five design variables taken for optimization out of which four are continuous such as breadth, the effective depth of the beam, area of steel, stirrups spacing, and one is a discrete set of variables which is the strength of concrete. The constant parameters are the cost of concrete, steel, formwork, effective cover and area of stirrups. All these set of a variable defines the beam section as a nonlinearly constrained problem with mixed variables. The beam was set up in the variations of span length and loading for optimization. The various relationships and usage of materials were observed from the optimized results. The author has concluded that the results vary depending on the size and loading. Therefore, the design can choose the best suited for their needs and also the GA (Genetic Algorithm) can easily be modified depending on the site conditions and requirements. (Ajmal , 2017)

The authors presented a cost minimization of the concrete box frames which are being used in the construction of roads. The problem of the concrete box frame is formulated with a higher number of variables which are 50. To solve this high variable problem the authors have used two metaheuristic methods such as SA (Simulated Annealing) and threshold accepting. Also, two heuristic methods have been used which are random walk and descent local search. All the results obtained from all these methods have been compared with each other to analyse which of them suits the best for optimizing the design example of the box frame. The box frame has a span length of 13 meters. The economic function includes the cost of concrete, steel and formwork. The constraints that need to be satisfied are provided from the ULS (Ultimate limit state) design, SLS (Serviceability Limit State) design, geometric constraints and constructability constraints on the construction site. The authors have concluded that the threshold accepting approach for optimization provides the most optimum solution from all four methods. The results of the threshold accepting method give improved efficiency of 7.5% and 1.4% from that achieved by random walk and descent local search respectively. (Perea, et al., 2007)

The optimization study is performed on the reinforced concrete continuous beam having UDL (Uniformly Distributed Load) throughout the span length of the beam.

The optimization technique used is GA (Genetic Algorithm) which is an inbuilt tool of optimization in MATLAB. The objective function used for minimization is the cost function which includes the cost of concrete and steel used in the beam section. The algorithm is provided with constant parameters which are fix throughout the problem and given as input so that the algorithm can utilize them to find out the unknown variables using the equations such as dimensions of the beam, size and number of the steel reinforcement bars and size and the number of the stirrups. The design constraints are formulated according to the IS 456 2000 (Indian Standards) building codes for strength and serviceability criteria for beams. The technique is illustrated with a design example and the result of the GA is compared to the manually calculated results. The GA optimized results have shown less amount of steel used for the beam. (Alex & Kottalil, 2015)

The research review is done to figure the applicability of the optimization done by the engineers on the real site. There has been a lot of advancement in technology and the construction industry has also implemented some of it very successfully in practical applications. One such advancement is the optimization of structural design. Various studies have been done over the years to minimize the cost of the structure using different techniques and the authors have reviewed their use in the construction site. The various problems of optimization over the years has been divided into few categorized depending on their objective. These categories are mainly optimization of the topology of the structure, optimization of shape, optimization of size and lastly optimization of topography. The review is done for the last fifteen years, and the authors have identified that there are various assumptions made by different researchers based on their building codes. Therefore, it was not possible to directly compare them with each other. Although, authors have made a various assumption that gives a good insight about their optimization technique and their limitations for use on building site. The basic conclusion is that the advancement in technology has led the designers to opt for automatic optimization but in most cases, the solutions have been purely mathematical, and it is hard to implement them on the construction site. Also, the optimization is not done on real world building design which is much more complex and trickier compared to the simple design examples taken up for these studies. Therefore, the inclusion of more particle problems in the optimization and consideration of site practicalities are the two essential areas the design engineers have to focus on to implement it in the real world. (Aleksandar, et al., 2013)

The study presents a cost optimization of a doubly reinforced concrete beam section. The strength and serviceability criteria are satisfied according to the IS 456:2000 (Indian Standards) design codes. Also, the use of IS 13920:1993 is done for any design constraints for detailing the purpose for ductility requirements. The authors have implemented all the design constraints as discrete variables and then the solutions with the consideration of ductile detailing and without ductile detailing have been performed. The optimization tool used is the GA (Genetic Algorithm) toolbox in MATLAB. The problem is formulated as a cost objective function having constant parameters as input and having to find the various design variables satisfying all the design constraints. The design constraints used to satisfy design codes are flexure strength, maximum and minimum tension reinforcement limitations, compression reinforcement limitations, shear reinforcement limitations and spacing of shear reinforcement limitations. The results were obtained from running GA in MATLAB for various combinations of design input parameters such as span length, the strength of concrete, loading values and strength of steel. The results are satisfactory according to the authors in terms of optimization and GA is a decent tool for solving discrete problems. Also, the results of optimization have shown a difference of 3-6% between doubly reinforced beams with and without ductile detailing. (Singh & Rai, 2014)

The study indicates the importance of multi-objective optimization because of the mere fact that optimization must be performed on cost function and environmental and energy efficiency function. The cost and energy efficiency are both very important aspects of construction especially now when the high emphasis is on saving the environment and natural resources available. Also, according to the authors, the solutions obtained from optimization could be accepted based on the requirements of the project rather than just accepting the most optimized solution which may not be feasible for the ongoing project. Therefore, practicality is equally important as optimization. The study uses GA (Genetic Algorithm) for multi-objective optimization so that cost and environmental objectives can be satisfied. Therefore, the two-objective function for the study is LCC (Life Cycle Cost) which includes construction cost plus the operating cost and LCEI (Life Cycle Environmental Impact) which includes the environmental impact of a construction phase plus life cycle environment impact due to operating of the building. The implementation technique is studied on a case study for a building in Serbia having pentagon shape geometry and various other available data including that of energy plus software. The authors concluded that efficient optimization is done using GA but there are many solutions and the designer must choose the best suited for them according to the requirement. (Milajić, et al., 2019)

The study is performed to optimize an RCC (Reinforced Cement Concrete) beam which satisfies all the criteria for strength and serviceability set by the building design codes IS456-2000 (Indian Standards). The authors have come up with a hybrid approach that includes two different search algorithms namely PSO (Particle Swarm Optimization) and GSA (Gravitational Search Optimization). Therefore, both these approaches together give out an algorithm name as PSOGSA which uses the social search from PSO and good local search capabilities of the GSA. Also, all the algorithm writing has been done using C++ computer language. The objective function to be minimized is the cost function which includes the cost of concrete, steel reinforcement for shear and flexure and cost of formwork. The optimization problem formulated by using is unconstrained and continuous. Therefore, to get better results for the given constraints, the penalty function is used which penalize the objective function if there is any kind of constraint breaking using the optimization. So, by using the penalty function the problem with constraints is converted to an unconstrained problem. The cost of steel and concrete depending on the place of construction is the same. Therefore, the cost objective function has been more simplified to a variable of the ratio between steel and concrete cost and volume variables. The constraints used in this problem is provided by the IS456-2000 (Indian Standard) building code which is for moment resistance, deflection of the beam, beam dimension, depth of neutral axis and tensile steel. The hybrid approach of PSOGSA is tested on a beam from a framed structure. The span length range between 5 to 9 m and the loading range is between 30 to 50 kN/m which gives us the five different combinations to analyze. A flowchart of different steps and processes is also proposed in the study from the start to the end of the optimization. The algorithm seems to find the optimized solution for the beam with consideration of practical aspects and the computation time is two seconds. (Chutani & Singh, 2017)

The study aims to implement a MOO (Multi-Objective Optimization) function using NSGA II (Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm). The two objective functions which need to be minimized considered in the study are LCC (Life Cycle Cost) and the LCCF (Life Cycle Carbon Footprint). Both these objective functions include the construction and lifetime cost and carbon emission throughout its life cycle which is around 60 years. Also, the authors have studied both functions with and without the renovation done on the model building and how they affect the overall values of LCC and LCCF. The optimized solution with multi-objective functions gives many optimal solutions at different points and according to the research, all of them are considered equally efficient. Therefore, the concept of the Pareto front is introduced in NSGA II so that it's easy to pair the optimal solution from many possibilities and produce the best possible generation in the specified number of iterations. The approach is tested in a high-rise residential building built in London and the aim is to analyze the feasibility of analyzing LCC and LCCF with renovation done during the life of the building, applicability of optimization and decision-making comparison between optimization approach and traditional renovation approach. The modelling of the building geometry and thermal zones are done with SketchUp from Trimble and the studio legacy plugin. The model is exported to Energy Plus for further evaluation. A vast variety of results were obtained, and the authors have concluded the best result for LCC and LCCF from different possibilities. The results have indicated that the optimization method used for LCCF calculation has a big difference in reducing carbon footprint and the optimal solution shows a reduction of 21% in the case of the refurbished solution and around 67% in the case of un-refurbished solution. The LCC has not shown as great a reduction as it was in LCCF but there was a reduction in the optimal solution of around 5% from refurbished and 16% from un-refurbished solutions. All in all, the optimization process shows efficient solutions and results in practical construction practice. (Vasinton & Raslan, 2016)

The study presents a cost optimization of an RC slab with different support conditions namely simply supported slab, cantilever slab, one support continuous and both ends continuous. The design of strength and serviceability is performed based on the ACI (American Concrete Institute) building codes. There are three different metaheuristic optimization techniques are used which are GA (Genetic Algorithm), GWO (Gray Wolf Optimization) and PSO (Particle Swarm Optimization). The tool used for optimization is MATLAB where the problem is formulated and computed. The cost objective function of one way reinforced concrete slab includes the cost of steel reinforcement bars, cost of concrete and cost of formwork plus finishing material and it is subjected to various constraints from ACI. These constraints are flexural, shear constraints, serviceability constraints and defection constraints. The variables to be considered for optimization are the slab thickness, reinforcement bard spacing and reinforcement diameter. The four different slab end support condition design results using three optimization methods were compared with each other and with the previous studies done on the flat slab by different authors. The study concludes that the GWO technique uses the minimum number of iterations for finding the optimal results which are 15. Therefore, GWO provides the best convergence in comparison to GA and PSO methods. The GWO and PSO optimization results for the slab with three end support conditions namely cantilever, one end continuous and both end continuous are more optimal and superior as compared to the results from GA but the result for simply supported slab is similar for all the three methods. The author's comparison with previous slab optimization studies shows that the proposed methods in the current study show the minimum values of the cost function among all the studies. (Suryavanshi & Akhtar, 2019)

The authors have used ACI 318-05 (American Concrete Institute) building codes for reinforced concrete one-way slab ribbed slab in a one-way joist floor system. The objective is to minimize the cost of the slab using the HS (Harmony Search) algorithm. The technique is illustrated with an example with six design variables namely thickness of the top slab, rib depth, rib width at the top and bottom end, rib spacing and bar diameter. The design constraints that needed to be satisfied according to ACI are shear, flexural constraints, deflection constraints, serviceability constraints and other additional constraints. The optimal design is achieved from variable possible combinations using HS and it has been found that the thickness of the top slab and the rib spacing has the greatest impact on the total cost. (Kaveh & Shakouri Mahmud Abadi, 2011)

The design of the flat slab with a drop panel is optimized using SUMT (Sequential Unconstrained Minimization Technique) in MATLAB. The design is done using IS 456-2000 (Indian Standards) building codes. The objective of the study is to

minimize the total cost of the slab which includes the cost of concrete, steel reinforcement and formwork. Each of these costs is the summation of the cost of materials and the labour cost for placing them on the construction site. An example is illustrated to use the proposed technique in which the problem is formulated as NLPP (Non-Linear Programming problem). The modelling and design analysis is done using the direct design method. The penalty function and constraints are also used in problem-solving. The reduction of around 33.91% has been observed in the current example as compared to the conventional approach without optimization. The concrete and steel reinforcement material grades influence the result to a great extent. Also, the reduction in the cost has been directly proportional to the number of spans. (Patil, et al., 2013)

The design optimization of a reinforced concrete beam using GA (Genetic Algorithm) is proposed in the study. The ACI (American Concrete Institute) building codes are used to formulate the design constraints related to strength, serviceability, ductility, practicality and durability. The internal forces such as moments, forces and deformations are analyzed. The cost function is formulated to be analyzed which includes the cost of steel reinforcement and the cost of concrete. The database of steel reinforcement bars is made which had all the available bar diameters which are available in the market and are practical to install on construction sites. The methodology is illustrated on a design example of a cantilever beam with varying material properties and loading conditions. The authors concluded that the GA (Genetic Algorithm) is efficient and effective for finding the optimum solution for a constrained problem. (Yousif & Najem, 2012)

The current scenario of carbon emission in building and construction has challenged all professionals to come up with ways to reduce the emission of carbon at various levels. One such area where a significant reduction of embodied carbon can be achieved is in the designing phase. Optimal design can lead to cost savings and reduce carbon emissions. The study has presented optimization of a composite beam that satisfy all the ULS (Ultimate Limit State) and SLS (Serviceability Limit State) conditions as per Eurocode 2,3,4 and UK national annexes which are BS EN 1992-1-1, BS EN 1993-1-1, BS EN 1994-1-1:2004. MATLAB is used for optimization with its inbuilt global optimization GA (Genetic Algorithm) toolbox. The design example with five different objective functions is considered for optimization. The objectives are to minimize beam section, the overall weight of the composite beam, depth of the concrete slab, deflection of the beam and to maximize the span length. The optimization was achieved in four objective functions for embodied carbon emissions where the reduction could be seen but for the span length objective, it gave slightly higher values as the length was increased. All in all, the approach to optimize multiple objectives gives designers a very precise overview of cost and embodied carbon emission factors. (Whitworth & Tsavdaridis, 2020)

The author has performed GA (Genetic Algorithm) optimization using the inbuilt toolbox in MATLAB to optimize industrial steel building. The objective was to minimize the cost of the structure which included the cost of labour and materials. find the best topology with optimal portal frame, purlins and steel cross-sections. The design example considered for analysis in the current study is a single storey industrial building with each portal frame with two columns and two beams taken from the Indian standards database. The purlin runs horizontally connecting the two portal frames. Both horizontal and vertical bracings were not considered for optimization in the objective function. The cost objective function includes the cost of the materials, installation cost, labour cost and the corrosion resistance painting cost for steel sections, fabrication cost and fire protection cost. The constraints are provided as per the IS 800-1984 building codes and broadly covers slenderness limitations of beams, columns and purlins, axial compression, axial bending, deflection and bending stress for purlins. The optimization method has been able to achieve the most optimal cost design with appropriate topology for the design example. (Kumar, 2013)

The view that the building industry uses a big chunk of total world energy has driven the authors to work on energy optimization. The minimization of LCC (life cycle cost) is performed with a variety of various options such as different window types, window dimensions, the orientation of the building, insulation of roof, wall and ground floor. The optimization is done using multi-variable GA (Genetic Algorithm) and the tool used for its implementation in MATLAB which has incorporated optimization toolbox. The energy-based simulations are performed in Energy Plus software. The optimization is done on seven different available possibilities to figure out the optimal among them. The design example is a family

house located in a temperate climatic condition. The multiple zones were created depending on the various criteria and are simulated in the Energy Plus program. The programming is done is in MATLAB where the data from energy simulation and GA have interacted. The polish standards were used for energy simulations. The building life cycle was considered at around 30 years. The results indicate that the position of the windows hugely impact the energy consumption in the building whereas the number and size of the building do not hugely correspond to the energy savings. Also, the orientation of the building can impact energy usage and the LCC (Life Cycle Cost). In the current example, the optimal orientation of the building seems to save around 1% of the total LCC. The building with a heating and cooling system does add to the initial building cost but with optimal variable selection, it could save somewhere between 7% to 34% of the energy and the cost associated with it during its lifetime. (Ferdyn-Grygierek & Grygierek, 2017)

The study is done to design and optimize a singly reinforced beam and an axially loaded column. The design code used for strength and serviceability is Indian Standards. The method of GRG (Generalized Reduced Gradient) and SQP (Sequential Quadratic Programming) are used to formulate the problem in an advanced excel program and for optimizing the solver toolbox is used. The advantages of the automatic formulation of a design problem are that it makes repetition with variable parameters easy and time-saving. The objective function for the current study is the minimization of total weight. The results of both the methods GRG and SQP are compared with each other and analyzed. The results of the SQP optimization method gives more optimal results as compared to the GRG method but the difference is not big. Therefore, the authors chose to work with the GRG method for further comparison with IS (Indian Standard) design methodology as it is easy to implement. The results show that the wider the range of variables used, the better is the optimization as the options are more. The reduction of 25% in self-weight is observed for the GRG method compared to the IS design and 37% reduction for beam and 29.57% for columns after further optimization. (Gare & Angalekar, 2016)

The flat slab is optimized using GA (Genetic Algorithm) and the designing method and constraints are taken from the IS:456 2000 (Indian Standards). The idea is

to save the total cost and total weight of the slab. The problem formulation is with unconstrained minimization and constrained maximization. The result indicated saving of around 20% to 30% in material usage. (Raje & Patel, 2017)

The paper discusses the use of API (Application Program Interface) to develop an interaction between energy simulation software called Energy Plus and MATLAB. The current estimation is that the big chuck of GHG (Green House Gases) is contributed by the building and construction industry. Therefore, the energy-efficient techniques are heavily researched and more ideas on how to implement them for all the data available. Developing an API brings together the two most essential parts for energy studies in a building which are energy simulations for buildings and its management and design for research purposes. The API can be developed in C# computer language and it is very easily exported to other tools which work with the .NET library. Therefore, MATLAB can import developed API easily in .NET libraries. A small example of how to use the API in a real problem is illustrated. It has been concluded that MATLAB can optimize certain data which can then be used as an input for Energy plus through editing before the simulation in the .idf file. Also, the use of od MATLAB makes it possible to use many numbers of optimization process running parallel reducing the total time required for the optimization process. All in all, the API (Application Program Interface) makes it possible to use both tools such as MATLAB and Energy Plus to work on the same platform allowing to use of the strength of optimization toolbox and then results of the simulation to improve the energy consumption in the building and reducing carbon emission. (Gordillo, et al., 2020)

The optimization of a flat slab is performed using a genetic algorithm. The design of the flat slab is done using BS 8110 (British Standard) for strength and serviceability. The objective function adopted for the optimization is the total cost including the cost of the concrete, cost of the steel reinforcement and cost of formwork. The technique is implemented using four different slab design examples which are flat slab with edge beam, flat slab without edge beam, flat slab with edge beam and flat beam without edge beam. The results indicate that the slab without edge beam provides the most optimal solutions for different span length range under the live load of  $3.5 \text{ kN/m}^2$  as compared to the other three slabs. Also, the span length is directly proportional to the total cost of the slab and the column dimensions are inversely proportional to the thickness of the slab. Also, the flat slab without an edge beam gives out the most efficient solution when the span length and loading is kept constant, and the dimensions of the columns are changed. The authors also analyzed the effective depth to span ratio for each design example such that they give the optimum result and the percentage of cost contribution from formwork so that the particular type of slab is optimized. (Galeb & Jennam, 2015)

The total cost of the beam is optimized which includes the cost of steel, cost of concrete and cost of formwork. The constraints are developed from the design criteria of ACI (American Concrete Institute) building codes. The different cross-section types of RC (Reinforced Concrete) beams are considered for optimization namely rectangular, trapezoidal, triangular, inverted triangular and inverted trapezoidal. All the beams are provided with an external bending moment with varying values of safety factors which implement a different range of moment values. The two numerical examples are also illustrated for simply rectangular beam, continuous rectangular and triangular beam. The results have stated that the margin of safety has a direct impact on the cost of the beam materials. The triangular beam cross-section shows an optimum design solution for total material cost. The cost reduction is 12% and 37% as compared to the rectangular and trapezoidal sections respectively. (Al-Ansari, 2013)

The cost optimization using the GA (Genetic Algorithm) from MATLAB optimization toolbox is performed for a space frame and plane frame made from RCC (Reinforced cement concrete) satisfying ACI 2011 (American Concrete Institute) codes. Various design variables are considered during the optimization which relates to the dimensions of the cross-sections, steel reinforcement and topology of the frames. The axial loading, biaxial loading and uniaxial loading are considered for designing beams and columns. The cost objective function is minimized which includes the cost of concrete, steel reinforcement and formwork. The structural analysis is done using STAAD Pro 2016. Two numerical examples for space frame and plane frame are analyzed with the formulated problem equations. The results of the cost function are increased to 2% if the breath of the column and beam is kept constant during the optimization. (Chen, et al., 2019)

### 2.2 Critical Review of Literature

The various studies have concluded that the optimization process certainly gives out better results than the results obtained with traditional methodology. All the optimization techniques that have been developed over the years has a different concept and logic of working. Some of these methods are GA (Genetic Algorithm), HA (Harmony Search), SA (Simulated Annealing), SQP (Sequential quadratic programming), COA (Cuckoo Optimization Algorithm), ACO (Ant Colony Optimization), ANN (Artificial Neural Network), TLBO (Teaching Learning Based Optimization), BA (Bat Algorithm) etc. All these metaheuristic techniques depend upon the type of problem formulation, constraints that need to be met and the type of variables. The optimization has shown improved results for concrete designing in individual elements. Although, the various methods have different positives and negatives of their own and selection of optimization methods according to the problem is crucial. The BIM (Building Information Modelling) has been widely implemented in the studies to accommodate all the processes involved from start to finish. The commonly used structural engineering software used in the research are Autodesk RSAP, Dlubal RFEM, STAAD Pro. Finally, the optimization algorithm can be successfully written on various programming language platforms such as python, C#, MATLAB, FORTRAN, Java etc.

# 2.3 Research Gap

The complexity and number of variables in concrete designing make it harder to automate frame structures or any specific structural elements such as beams, columns and slabs. Therefore, there are significantly a smaller number of studies done on concrete structure automation as compared to steel structures. The design problem can be formulated in various ways with different logics to achieve the target of cost and carbon optimization which makes it appealing to solve the design differently by automation through coding. Therefore, the research gap for analysing the entire design exists and will be explored in this research. The current research indicates that the genetic algorithm would be a robust optimizing technique to work with when the constraints are non-linear and can be implemented in MATLAB.

# 3 Methodology

The GA (Genetic Algorithm) has been indicated by many above studies to be a successful metaheuristic method with great precision, efficient optimization, ease of implementation and robustness. Also, multi-objective, multi-search and different types of constraints such as linear, nonlinear and discrete problems can be implemented effectively. Therefore, further research is done using the concept of GA (Genetic Algorithm) with the help of the inbuilt optimization toolbox function in MATLAB. The structural analysis is done using a FEM (Finite Element Method) software named Dlubal RFEM for the structural element. The BIM (Building Information Modelling) software such as Revit/Tekla is used to visualize the data related to geometry, loading and support conditions etc. Also, the BIM model provides better visualization for the involved professionals and clients. The optimization process is implemented using MATLAB programming. The technique is used in various examples such as the design of an RC (Reinforced Concrete) beam, column, slab and structural frame. The problems can be formulated in mathematical equations with various concepts and there are a different number of techniques and methods to achieve the optimization. Therefore, further research will present various objective functions with different constraints to achieve optimization. Also, these three are significantly interconnected with each other. In formulating the design problem, the Eurocode is applied, and all the requirements of ultimate limit state and serviceability limit states will be successfully met. In the end, the comparison will be made with the manual calculations and the different objective functions to access the quality of the results and the optimization process as a whole.

# 4 Automation Concept

# 4.1 Genetic Algorithm

The theory of evolution depends on the principle of 'survival of the fittest' proposed by Charles Darwin in 1859. This theory explains that every species evolves to be the better version of itself in terms of competing, surviving and reproducing using the natural inheritance and selection possibilities. The theory gave birth to its application in solving engineering problems by using algorithms. This evolutionary computation was applied where the optimal solution was searched from various possibilities within a certain time frame. (Sivanandam & Deepa, 2008)

The Genetic algorithm was developed by John Holland in 1975 from the theory of evolution principle proved to be successful in solving the vast majority of engineering problems in various disciplines. Structural engineering is one such field where it has been implemented over the years and delivered some effective results as can be seen from the literature review section. There is a big scope for optimization in structural engineering problems which can help engineers to make better decisions in every way possible.

The genetic algorithm in numerical problems requires an objective function that needs to be searched for the optimal solution. The GA process has three main operators namely selection, crossover and mutation. The selection in GA happens depending on the fitness of the objection function. The selection can be controlled with various methods such as roulette wheel selection method, tournament selection, Boltzmann selection etc. The crossover is done from the selected parents to produce a better solution (child) and can be executed by using various methods such as uniform crossover, heuristic crossover, multi-point crossover etc. Finally, the mutation is done in the new solution where one or more genes are altered to improve the solution and can be performed using methods such as uniform mutation, gaussian, power mutation, varying probability of mutation etc. (Mirjalili, 2019)

The generalized flowchart for the GA and its pseudo-code follows:



Figure 3: GA flowchart (left) and GA pseudocode (right)

The basic GA can solve only unconstrained problems, but the structural engineering problems have various constraints set by the building design codes such as EC, ACI, IS, BS etc. These constraints provide lower and upper bound for almost all the equations involved. Therefore, when the objective function is constrained, it needs to be converted into an unconstrained problem so that GA can solve it. To implement the constraints, the penalty function can be used for the required results. The MATLAB optimization toolbox is an efficient and effective tool to formulate an optimization problem for non-computer science professionals as it has almost all the inbuilt options for generations, selection, crossover, mutation, penalty function implementation.

# 4.2 BIM (Building Information Modelling)

The building information modelling (BIM) is defined by (NBIMS-US, 2005) committee as "a digital representation of physical and functional characteristics of a facility. As such it serves as a shared knowledge resource for information about a facility, forming a reliable basis for decisions during its life cycle from inception onwards".

The BIM is a tool that enables industry professionals to build a 3D model-based approach such that it helps them to coordinate between different specialists, manage the documentation and simulation during the building life cycle. Therefore, it has bridged a gap between the professionals and the investors or clients by providing them with a visualization of the project before its built and later using the data for the construction of the project. It serves as a data source for everyone involved in the project. The BIM can be broadly supporting the different processes such as Planning, Designing, Building and maintenance for the construction of a project by the data it contains. Therefore, BIM is a perfect tool for the industry and its use has been proved to be of great assistance for all the professionals working on a project.

The use of BIM has played a vital role in structural engineering by providing the structural engineers with broad information and visualization of the project so that they can make better decisions. Also, BIM data helps structural designers for making the design more optimum, accurate, time-efficient, error less and having a high level of constructability. The design professionals can efficiently document, detail and fabricate structural systems of a project.

The current project will make use of the BIM software Revit for extracting the data related to the geometry of the structural frame model and structural elements, loading data, support conditions and material properties. This data will be then analysed for internal forces in the FEM software from (Dlubal Software , 1987) called RFEM. The exchange of data between REVIT and RFEM takes place through inbuilt RF-COM which imports data from REVIT for structural analyses to RFEM and then the analysed results are imported back to REVIT automatically. Now, the data from this REVIT and RFEM software will be exported to MATLAB with the help of (*.csv*) file format which is easily readable to formulate optimization problems and solve them using the inbuilt optimization toolbox. The

framework provides an insight into the progression of the project at various levels and interaction between different software. The general BIM framework is shown below:



Figure 4: Generalized BIM-based framework

There is a possibility to depict the entire methodology with the help of a general flowchart showing the idea of the thesis from start till the end including all the processes such as BIM modelling in Revit, structural analysis in RFEM, formulation of objection function, formulation of constraints as per the building design code EC (Eurocodes), optimization process and finally analysing the results obtained for cost-optimized model and the embodied carbon emission optimized model. Also, we can get an insight into better decision making with the help of the results obtained. The flowchart is shown below:



Figure 5: Flowchart for the optimization process

#### 4.3 MATLAB, RFEM and REVIT

MATLAB is a platform that uses matrix and vector-based programming to develop algorithms for solving various mathematical and engineering problems. The computing of a wide variety of problems can be achieved with the help of interactive environments and programming platforms. The optimization toolbox provides various solvers such as GA, PS, SA, pattern search, the surrogate, the multi-start and global search for searching a single or multi-objective function with various types of constraints for an optimal solution which has multiple numbers of local maxima and minima. For our multi-objective problem for cost and carbon optimization, the technique of the Pareto front can be implemented with the use of GA to search for the optimal solution. (The Matworks Inc., 2004-2018)

The RFEM is a structural analysis software developed by Dlubal which analyse the structures using finite element analysis and it has a modular system. The 2D and 3D structural models consisting of members, walls, plates, solids etc. can be modelled with ease for calculation of internal forces, deformations, stresses etc. This information can then be utilized in the add-on modules for a specific purpose such as calculation of concrete members, surfaces, column, steel model calculation, timber analysis etc. The modular system allows the user to modify the model recalculate it in the add-on modules. (Dlubal Software, 1987)

The Revit is building information modelling software that helps collaborate and coordinate between professionals such as architects, engineers, designers, builders and other construction specialists. The Revit can help realize multiple disciplines of a project such as designing, planning, fabrication, optimization and lastly building. The cloud services help all the individuals involved in the project to work independently at the same time and update the changes or corrections in any area including scheduling. Also, it brings strong accountability in the profession as everyone is assigned with tasks and it is transparent for everyone to see the results. The interaction of Revit with other construction-related software are highly developed and made easy. We will be using its interaction with structural analysis software RFEM for the current project. (Autodesk Revit, 2002)

# 5 Modelling and Calculations

# 5.1 Beam Design

A beam is designed for flexure and shear by using stress blocks from Eurocode and UK national annexes. The procedure for the concrete class less than C50/60 is as follows:



Figure 6: Flexure design flowchart



Figure 7: Shear design flowchart

The above design helps us formulate a beam design problem with input data, variables, constants, constraints and known values of cost and embodied carbon of each material. The preliminary design is done, and the beam is modelled in Revit and analysed in RFEM. Further optimization is carried out in MATLAB with the detailed design given by Eurocode. The objective function is formulated for cost and embodied carbon for the problem to be solved as two single objective GA or GA multi-objective optimization. The MATLAB is provided with constant parameters to find variable parameters which in turn minimize the objective function satisfying design constraints.

A reinforced cement concrete beam is taken as an example to illustrate the proposed optimization procedure. The beam data used, and the line diagram of the beam is given below:



Figure 8: Beam line diagram

# Given data:

Length of the beam (I) = 6000 mm

Live load on the beam (L.L) = 20 kN/m

# Variable data:

Breadth of the beam (b) = (200, 225, 250, 275, 300, 325, 350, 375, 400, 425,4 50, 475, 500) mm

Depth of beam (h) = (500, 525, 550, 575, 600, 625, 650, 675, 700, 725, 750) mm

Characteristic strength of concrete (fck) = (20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50) N/mm<sup>2</sup>

Characteristic strength of steel (fyk) = (500, 550, 600) N/mm<sup>2</sup>

Diameter for longitudinal tensile reinforcement ( $\phi_{st}$ ) = (12, 14, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32) mm

Diameter for longitudinal compressive reinforcement ( $\phi_{sc}$ ) = (12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32) mm

Diameter of shear reinforcement ( $\phi_s$ ) = (6, 8, 10, 12, 14) mm

Number of bars (n) = (2, 3, 4, 5, 6)

Theta  $(\theta) = (22 - 45)$  degrees

Spacing (s) = (75 - 600) mm with step size of 5 mm

# **Objective function:**

Cost objective function  $f(x) = [(V_c C_c) + (V_s C_s) + (V_f C_f)]$ 

Embodied carbon objective function  $h(x) = [(V_c * E_c) + (V_s * E_s) + (V_f * E_f)]$ 

Where, Vc, Vs, Vf is the volume of concrete, steel and formwork respectively

 $C_c$ ,  $C_s$ ,  $C_f$  is the cost of concrete, steel and formwork respectively

E<sub>c</sub>, E<sub>s</sub>, E<sub>f</sub>, embodied carbon emission for concrete, steel and formwork <u>Constraints:</u>

Constraint function, 
$$g(x) = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8, X_9, X_{10}, X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{13})$$
  
 $g(X_1)$  for lever arm,  $0.82 * d \le 0.5d[1 + \sqrt{1 - 3.53k}] - (0.95 * d) \le 0$   
 $g(X_2)$  for the area of tensile steel,  $\frac{(0.26 * f_{ctm} * b * d)}{f_{yk}} \le \frac{M_{ed}}{f_{yd} * z} \le 0.04 * (b * h - A_{st})$   
 $g(X_3), (0.6 * \delta - 0.18 * \delta^2 - 0.21) - 0.168 \le 0$   
 $g(X_4), \{0.5d[1 + \sqrt{1 - 3.53k'}]\} - (0.82 * d) \le 0$   
 $g(X_5), \frac{d'}{d} - 0.171 \le 0$   
 $g(X_6), \left(n * \pi * \frac{\emptyset_{st,prov}^2}{4}\right) - (M_{ed}/(f_{yd} * z)) > 0$   
 $g(X_7), \left[\frac{(k' * f_{ck} * b * d^2)}{(0.87 * f_{yk} * z')}\right] + \left[\frac{[(k-k') * f_{ck} * b * d^2]}{[0.87 * f_{yk} * (d - d')]}\right] - 0.04 * (b * h - A_{steel}) \le 0$   
 $g(X_8), \left(n * \pi * \frac{\emptyset_{st,prov}^2}{4}\right) + \left(n * \pi * \frac{\emptyset_{sc,prov}^2}{4}\right) - \left[\frac{(k' * f_{ck} * b * d^2)}{(0.87 * f_{yk} * (d - d'))}\right] + \left[\frac{[(k-k') * f_{ck} * b * d^2]}{[0.87 * f_{yk} * (d - d')]}\right] \ge 0$   
 $g(X_9), \left(n * \pi * \frac{\emptyset_{st,prov}^2}{4}\right) + \left(f_{yd} * z\right) - M_{ed} > 0$   
 $g(X_{10}), 22^\circ \le 0.5 * \sin^{-1}\left\{\frac{V_{ed}}{0.20 * f_{ck} * (1 - \frac{f_{ck}}{1250})}\right\} \le 0$   
 $g(X_{11}), \left[\frac{V_{ed}}{0.78 * d * f_{yk} * \cot \theta}\right] - \left[\frac{0.08 * f_{ck} * 0 * \theta}{f_{yk} *} = 0$   
 $g(X_{12}), s - 0.75 * d \le 0$   
 $g(X_{13}), \left(\frac{A_{sprov}}{s}\right) * 0.78 * d * f_{yk} * \cot \theta] - V_{ed} > 0$ 

The cost of the materials such as concrete (Mister Concrete, 2017), steel (MEPS International Ltd., 1979) used is average as it is fluctuated hugely with a lot of variables and is shown in the table below. Also, the embodied carbon footprint according to the materials such as concrete (Kim, et al., 2016), steel (Clark & Bradley, 2013) is provided in the table.

Concrete Strength, f <sub>ck</sub>	Cost	Average Con- crete Cost	Steel Rein- forcement Cost	Formwork
N/mm <sup>2</sup>	Euros/m <sup>3</sup>	Euros/m <sup>3</sup>	Euros/kg	Euros/m <sup>2</sup>
20	95			
25	100			
30	105			
35	110	110	0.8	6
40	115			
45	120			
50	125			

Table 1: Cost of materials

Concrete Strength, f <sub>ck</sub>	Carbon	Average Con- crete Embod- ied Carbon	Steel Reinforce- ment Embodied Carbon	Formwork (Alumi- num)
N/mm2	kg-Co <sub>2e</sub> /m <sup>3</sup>	kg-Co <sub>2e</sub> /m <sup>3</sup>	kg-Co <sub>2e</sub> /kg	kg- Co <sub>2e</sub> /kg
20	245			
25	295.127			
30	355.6			
35	358.5	338.88	0.87	0.79
40	362.7			
45	369.66			
50	385.6			

Table 2: Embodied carbon emissions of materials

The design is formulated with all the given design data and the constraints according to Eurocode in MATLAB. The best solution for cost and embodied carbon emission is analysed separately using single-objective GA optimization with elitism. The elite count of individuals that survive to the next generation is given by the formula:

EC = 0.05 \* maximum [minimum((10 \* number of variabes, 100), 40)]

Due to the availability of nonlinear constraint, the population is taken as a double vector and the initial population is created using constraint dependent creation

function which automatically selects the starting population best suited for the constraints provided. The fitness of the population is sorted using the rank scaling where all the individuals are given a rank based on their performance for the objective function. The best-ranked individual is one and next best with increasing orders. The scaled individuals are then chosen for next-generation using the stochastic uniform method. The mutation and crossover function are constraints dependent as well. The results of the optimization process can be seen in the pictures below.

The augmented Lagrangian penalty function is also implemented if the constraints are not satisfied. The initial penalty used is 10 with a penalty factor of 100. The number of iterations performed by the GA is given by the formula:

#### Generation = 100 \* Number of variables

The stopping criteria are set by the average change in values of each iteration. The algorithm stops if the function tolerance value is less than 10<sup>-6</sup> and the constraint tolerance is less than 10<sup>-3</sup>. The number of iterations taken to achieve the results is 224 in the case of cost optimization.



Figure 9: Cost single objective GA results (Mathworks, 1984)

The beam is designed manually, using the structural analysis software RFEM and MATLAB to check the effectiveness of the GA. The results obtained for the cost objective function are as follows:

Description	Manual	RFEM Calculations	GA Optimiza- tion (Cost)
Breadth (mm)	250	200	200
Depth (mm)	600	500	550
Tensile Steel	#4 of 16 mm	#3 of 12 mm	#4 of 14 mm
Compressive Steel	NA	NA	NA
Hanger bars	#2 of 8 mm	#2 of 8 mm	#2 of 8 mm
Spacing (mm)	15 links at 400	21 links at 300	12 links at 515
Shear Reinforcement	2-legged 8 mm	2-legged 6 mm	2-legged 8 mm
Total Area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	2411.52	2549.68	1921.68
Cost (Euros)	240	204	191
Carbon (kg-CO <sub>2</sub> )	406	308	305

Table 3: Cost single objective beam results (Mathworks, 1984)

The detailing of the beam data obtained initially with manual calculations and later using GA for cost and carbon optimization can be done in Revit for better visualization and detailing. The data can be used for further decision making about which design to be considered according to the requirements of the project.



Figure 10: Revit detailing for manually calculated solution



Figure 11: Revit detailing for cost optimized solution

The MATLAB results for embodied carbon fitness function using single-objective GA are shown below. The optimization is performed with a higher number of initial populations which is 500 as compared to the population size of the cost objective optimization where the initial population was just 100. This is done to try and achieve better efficiency with a much greater number of possible populations. As can be seen from the results the GA has found a slightly better solution for both cost and carbon when the population size is increased but it does take a few seconds more to compute. The number of iterations taken to achieve the optimized result is 118. A total of 17 variables was used in the optimization and all the constraints were satisfied which are mentioned in the design procedure using Eurocodes.



Figure 12: Carbon single objective GA results (Mathworks, 1984)

Description	Manual	RFEM Calculations	GA Optimization (Carbon)
Breadth (mm)	250	200	200
Depth (mm)	600	500	525
Tensile Steel	#4 of 16 mm	#3 of 12 mm	#6 of 12 mm
Compressive Steel	NA	NA	NA
Hanger bars	#2 of 8 mm	#2 of 8 mm	#2 of 8 mm
Spacing (mm)	15 links at 400	21 links at 300	22 links at 275
Shear Reinforcement	2-legged 8 mm	2-legged 6 mm	2-legged 6 mm
Total Area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	2411.52	2549.68	2022.16
Cost (Euros)	240	204	189
Carbon (kg-CO <sub>2</sub> )	406	308	298

Table 4: Carbon single objective beam results (Mathworks, 1984)



Figure 13: Revit detailing for carbon optimized solution

Description	Manual	RFEM	Cost Optimized	Carbon Optimized
Concrete Strength fck (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	30	30	40	25
Steel Strength f <sub>yk</sub> (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	500	500	600	600
Theta (θ) degree	22	22	22	22

Table 5: Additional variable data

# 5.2 Column Design

The column is a structural member which transfers loads from slab and beams to the foundation. Therefore, the columns are primarily compressive members. The design of the columns using Eurocodes are broadly decided into two different structural systems which are braced and unbraced columns. The braced columns are considered where all the horizontal loading is transferred to the foundations using additional lateral load-bearing elements such as shear walls and bracings. Whereas unbraced columns have no lateral load-bearing additional elements, and the columns alone are used to transfer the lateral loads to the foundation. The design procedure for both types of the structural system is different and can be seen from the below figures:



Figure 14: Isolated column member (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)







Figure 16: Column section (Mosley, et al., 2012)

The equations for calculating steel reinforcement can be given by:

$$N_{ED} = F_{cc} + F_{sc} + F_{s}$$

$$N_{ED} = 0.567f_{ck} * b * s + f_{sc} * A'_{s} + f_{s} * A_{s}$$

$$s = 0.8 * x$$

$$M_{ED} = F_{cc} \left(\frac{h}{2} - \frac{s}{2}\right) + F_{sc} \left(\frac{h}{2} - d'\right) - F_{s}(d - \frac{h}{2})$$

$$N_{Rd} = 0.567f_{ck}A_{c} + 0.87f_{yk}A_{s}$$



Figure 17: Design bending moment diagram (Bond, et al., 2006)



Figure 18: Generalized column design



Figure 19: Stress block for unsymmetrical reinforcement



Figure 20: Braced non-slender/short column design



Figure 21: Braced slender column Design



Figure 22: Unbraced column design (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)

The design of the column is illustrated with manual calculations and MATLAB programming. The example of a column taken for problem formulation in MATLAB is shown in the diagram below:



Figure 23: Column section

Given Data:

Height of the column = 3 m

Live Load (N) = 500 kN

Density of concrete = 25 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

Variable data:

Breadth of the column (b) = (200 - 700) mm with step size of 25 mm

Depth of the column (h) = (200 - 700) mm with step size of 25 mm

Characteristic strength of concrete (fck) = (20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50) N/mm<sup>2</sup>

Characteristic strength of steel (fyk) = (500, 550, 600) N/mm<sup>2</sup>

Diameter for bottom reinforcement ( $\phi_{sb}$ ) = (12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32) mm

Number of bars  $(n_b) = (2,3,4,5)$ 

Diameter for top reinforcement ( $\phi_{st}$ ) = (12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32) mm

Number of bars  $(n_t) = (2,3,4,5)$ 

Diameter of shear reinforcement ( $\phi_s$ ) = (6, 8, 10, 12, 14) mm

Diameter for prov. bottom reinforcement ( $\phi_{sb,prov}$ ) = (12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32) mm

Diameter for provided top reinforcement ( $\phi_{sb,prov}$ ) = (12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32) mm

Spacing (s) = (75 - 800) mm with step size of 5 mm

Depth of neutral axis= 150-250 mm with step size of 5 mm

Objective function:

Cost objective function  $f(x) = [(V_c C_c) + (V_s C_s) + (V_f C_f)]$ 

Embodied carbon objective function  $h(x) = [(V_c * E_c) + (V_s * E_s) + (V_f * E_f)]$ 

Where, V<sub>c</sub>, V<sub>s</sub>, V<sub>f</sub> is the volume of concrete, steel and formwork respectively.

C<sub>c</sub>, C<sub>s</sub>, C<sub>f</sub> is the cost of concrete, steel and formwork respectively.

 $E_c$ ,  $E_s$ ,  $E_f$ , embodied carbon emission for concrete, steel and formwork

#### Constraints:

Constraint function,  $g(x) = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6)$ 

g(X<sub>1</sub>) for eccentricity,  $20 - e \le 0$ 

g(X<sub>2</sub>) for top reinforcement, 
$$\left[\frac{N_{ED}\left(e+\frac{h}{2}-d_{2}\right)-0.567*f_{ck}*b*s\left(d-\frac{s}{2}\right)}{f_{sc}*(d-d')}\right] - \left[n_{t}*\pi*\frac{\phi_{st}^{2}}{4}\right] \le 0$$

g(X<sub>3</sub>) for bottom reinforcement,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\{N_{ED} - (0.567*f_{ck}*b*s) - \left(f_{sc}*\left[\frac{N_{ED}\left(e+\frac{h}{2}-d_2\right) - 0.567*f_{ck}*b*s\left(d-\frac{s}{2}\right)}{f_{sc}*(d-d')}\right]\right)}{f_s} \\ - \left[n_b*\pi*\frac{\phi_{sb}^2}{4}\right] \le 0 \end{cases}$$

g(X<sub>4</sub>) for minimum reinforcement,  $(0.002 * A_C) - \left(\frac{0.10*N_{ED}}{0.87*f_{yk}}\right) \le 0$ 

g(X<sub>5</sub>) for maximum reinforcement, 
$$\left[\frac{Area \ of \ steel \ (A_{s,total})}{Area \ of \ Concrete \ (A_c)}\right] - 0.04 \le 0$$

g(X<sub>6</sub>) for minimum shear reinforcement diameter,  $[6 - \phi_s] \le 0$ 

The design is formulated with all the given design data and the constraints according to Eurocode in MATLAB. The best solution for cost and embodied carbon emission is analysed separately using single-objective GA optimization with elitism. The elite count of individuals that survive to the next generation is given by the formula:

$$EC = 0.05 * maximum [minimum((10 * number of variabes, 100), 40)]$$
Due to the availability of nonlinear constraint, the population is taken as a double vector and the initial population is created using constraint dependent creation function which automatically selects the starting population best suited for the constraints provided. The fitness of the population is sorted using the rank scaling where all the individuals are given a rank based on their performance for the objective function. The best-ranked individual is one and next best with increasing orders. The scaled individuals are then chosen for next-generation using the stochastic uniform method. The mutation and crossover function are constraints dependent as well. The results of the optimization process can be seen graphically in the pictures below.

The augmented Lagrangian penalty function is also implemented if the constraints are not satisfied. The initial penalty used is 10 with a penalty factor of 100. The number of iterations performed by the GA is 2000 and can be also given by the formula:

#### Generation = 100 \* Number of variables

The stopping criteria are set by the average change in values of each iteration. The algorithm stops if the function tolerance value is less than 10<sup>-6</sup> and the constraint tolerance is less than 10<sup>-3</sup>. The number of iterations taken to achieve the results is 91 with a function count of 9201 in the case of cost optimization.



Figure 24: MATLAB cost optimization graphs (Mathworks, 1984)



Figure 25: MATLAB cost optimization graphs (Mathworks, 1984)

Description	Manual	GA Optimization (Cost)	
Breadth (mm)	400	250	
Depth (mm)	400	200	
Top Steel Reinforcement (mm)	#3 of 25 mm	#2 of 12 mm	
Bottom Steel Reinforcement (mm)	#4 of 25 mm	#2 of 12 mm	
Spacing (mm)	6 links at 500	12 links at 240	
Shear Reinforcement	2 legged 8 mm	2 legged 12 mm	
Total Area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	4037.255	3278.16	
Cost (Euros)	149	89	
Carbon (kg-CO <sub>2</sub> )	244	116	

Table 6: MATLAB cost optimization result (Mathworks, 1984)

The MATLAB results for embodied carbon fitness function using single-objective GA are shown below. The optimization is performed with a higher number of initial populations which is given by the formula:

*Population size* = max (min (10\*number of variables,100),40)

The number of iterations taken to achieve the carbon optimized result is 125 with a function count of 12601. A total of 15 variables was used in the optimization and all the constraints were satisfied which are mentioned in the design procedure using Eurocodes.



Figure 26: MATLAB carbon optimization graphs (Mathworks, 1984)

Description	Manual	Cost Optimized	Carbon Optimized
Concrete Strength,f <sub>ck</sub> (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	30	40	50
Steel Strength,f <sub>yk</sub> (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	500	500	600
Depth of neutral axis (mm)	190	210	205
Eccentricity (mm)	20	22	22

Table 7: Additional variable data



Figure 27: MATLAB carbon optimization graphs (Mathworks, 1984)

Description	Manual	GA Optimization (Carbon)
Breadth (mm)	400	225
Depth (mm)	400	200
Top Steel Reinforce- ment (mm)	#3 of 25 mm	#2 of 12 mm
Bottom Steel Rein- forcement (mm)	#4 of 25 mm	#2 of 12 mm
Spacing (mm)	6 links at 500	13 links at 225
Shear Reinforce- ment	2 legged 8 mm	2 legged 12 mm
Total Area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	4037.255	3459.024
Cost (Euros)	149	90
Carbon (kg-CO <sub>2</sub> )	244	115

Table 8: MATLAB carbon optimized result

### 5.3 Slab Design

The RCC slab is a horizontal structural member which serves as the first point of contact for loads coming on the structure and transmits it to the beams, walls or column depending on the type of structural system. The slabs can be used for floors, roofs, bridge decks and RCC walls. There are different types of slabs used in the construction industry but two broad classifications depending on the load transfer directions are as follows:

- One-way slab: The ratio of the length of the longer edge to the shorter edge is more than 2 and the bending and deflection caused by the loading is in one direction. The one-way slabs are mostly supported on two edges and the loads are carried in the perpendicular direction to the supports. Therefore, the main reinforcement is always provided in the deflected direction i.e., shorter span and the distribution reinforcement is in the direction of larger span.
- 2) Two-way slab: The ratio of the length of the longer edge to the shorter edge is less than 2 and the deflection caused by the loading is in two directions. The two-way slabs are supported on the four sides and the loads are carried in both directions. Therefore, the main reinforcement is provided in both directions.

The slabs are further divided into various types depending on their purpose of usage. These slabs can be identified as follows:

- Conventional/Simply supported solid slabs: These slabs can be continuous or discontinuous on the edges and are supported by beams. The beam depth is larger compared to the thickness of the slab. These can be one way or two ways slabs. The load transfer takes place from the slab to the beams and then to the columns.
- Continuous slabs: These types of slabs are continuous over multiple supports, and the design moments are shared between the slabs.
- 3) Flat slab: These slabs are supported directly by the columns in a structural system that excludes beams. There are many types of flat slabs i.e. flat slab with a column head, flat slab with drop panels and flat slab with both column head and drop panel.

- 4) Ribbed slab: It is a one-way slab system that consists of joists/ribs at equal spacing making the slab look like T beams resting on the beam girders and transferring the load to the columns. The rib section of the slab is reinforced, and it acts as a small beam.
- 5) Waffle slab: Waffle slab is a grid-like slab with horizontal and vertical gaps between the pods where the reinforcement is provided during the formwork. The appearance of the slab from inside the building looks like a waffle when pods are removed. Because of the availability of the pods the concrete usage is very less. These slabs can resist heavy loading compared to the conventional slabs and are used where the spans are bigger i.e., in cinema halls, auditoriums or big hotels. Waffle slabs are two-way slabs.



Figure 28: Types of slabs (Darwin, et al., 2016)



Figure 29: Rectangular stress block (www.concretecenter.com)



Figure 30: Slab flexure and shear design (European Commission, 2004)



Figure 31: Deflection check for slab (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)



Figure 32: Ribbed slab design (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)



Figure 33: Flat slab design (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)



Figure 34: Punching shear design (EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004)

The design for different slabs is implemented in MATLAB for four different slabs i.e., one way slab, two-way slab, ribbed slab and flat slab. The problem formulation for each slab can be seen below separately:

### 5.3.1 One Way Slab

### Given data:

Length of the slab in x-direction = 5 m

Length of the slab in y-direction = 10 m

Density of concrete = 25 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

Live load  $(q_k) = 3 \text{ kN/m}^2$ 

<u>Variable data:</u>  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7)$ 

Depth of the slab (h),  $x_1 = (125 - 350)$  mm with step size of 25 mm.

Characteristic strength of concrete ( $f_{ck}$ ),  $x_2 = (20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50)$  N/mm<sup>2</sup> Characteristic strength of steel ( $f_{yk}$ ),  $x_3 = (400,420,450,500)$  N/mm<sup>2</sup> Diameter for bottom reinforcement ( $\emptyset_{sb}$ ),  $x_4 = (10,12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32)$  mm Diameter for transverse reinforcement,  $x_5 = (8,10,12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32)$  mm Number of bars ( $n_b$ ),  $x_6 = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20)$ Number of bars ( $n_t$ ),  $x_7 = (2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20)$ 

Objective function:

Cost objective function  $f(x) = [(V_c * C_c) + (V_s * C_s) + (V_f * C_f)]$ 

Embodied carbon objective function  $h(x) = [(V_c * E_c) + (V_s * E_s) + (V_f * E_f)]$ 

Where, V<sub>c</sub>, V<sub>s</sub>, V<sub>f</sub> is the volume of concrete, steel and formwork respectively.

 $C_c$ ,  $C_s$ ,  $C_f$  is the cost of concrete, steel and formwork respectively.

E<sub>c</sub>, E<sub>s</sub>, E<sub>f</sub>, embodied carbon emission for concrete, steel and formwork Constraints:

Constraint function,  $g(x) = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6)$ 

g(x<sub>1</sub>) for slab thickness for fire safety,  $(h_s - x(1)) < 0$ 

 $g(x_2)$  for minimum cover, (20 - axis distance) < 0 $g(x_3), (\frac{M}{bd^2 f_{ck}} - 0.168) < 0$ g(x<sub>4</sub>) for reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{M}{0.87 * x(3) * z} - \frac{x(6) * \pi * x(4)^2}{4}\right) < 0$ g(x<sub>5</sub>) for minimum reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{0.26*0.3*f_{ck}^{\frac{2}{3}}*b*d}{f_{vk}} - \frac{M}{0.87*x(3)*z}\right) < 0$  $g(x_6)$  for maximum reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{x(6)*\pi * x(4)^2}{4} - 0.04 * \left(breadth * x(1) - \frac{x(6)*\pi * x(4)^2}{4}\right)\right) < 0$  $g(x_7), (\rho - 0.02) < 0$ g(x<sub>8</sub>) shear check,  $(V_{ed} - (0.12 * k(100\rho_1 f_{ck})^{\frac{1}{3}})bd) < 0)$  $g(x_9) 1 < f_3 < 1.5$ g(x<sub>10</sub>) deflection check,  $\left(actual \frac{l}{d} - \left(\frac{l}{d} * f_1 * f_2 * f_3\right)\right) < 0$ g(x<sub>11</sub>) for transverse steel,  $\left(0.02 * \frac{0.26*0.3*f_{Ck}^2}{f_{vk}} - \frac{x(7)*\pi * x(5)*x(5)}{4}\right) < 0$  $g(x_{12}), (K_1 - 2) < 0$ g(x<sub>13</sub>) for effective depth,  $\left(\frac{span}{20*modification factor} - (x(1) - cover - \frac{\phi}{2})\right) < 0$ 

 $g(x_{14})$  for main reinforcement spacing, (maxspacingmain - maxspacing) < 0  $g(x_{15})$  for transverse steel, (maxspacingtrannverse - maxspacing) < 0

The basic settings for a genetic algorithm such as population size, fitness scaling, selection, reproduction, elitism, mutation, crossover, the penalty function is taken through the same formulas as for column and beam. The number of iterations taken for cost optimization was 107 and carbon optimization was 77. The cost and carbon optimized solutions have the same variables which give the best solution for both objectives.



Figure 35:MATLAB cost optimization result (Mathworks, 1984)



Figure 36: MATLAB carbon optimization result (Mathworks, 1984)

Variables	Manual Calculations	Cost Optimization	Carbon Optimization
Depth (mm)	200	175	175
Concrete strength (MPA)	30	45	45
Steel strength (MPA)	500	500	500
Bottom steel (mm)	12	16	16
Transverse steel (mm)	10	10	10
Number of bottom bars	5	3	3
Number of transverse bars	3	3	3
Cost (Euros)	1649	1522	1522
Carbon (kg-CO <sub>2</sub> )	3725	3294	3294

Table 9: Result comparison for one way slab

### 5.3.2 Two Way Slab

The two-way slab is designed as per the Eurocodes and the design procedure is like that of a one-way slab. The moments in different direction used factors and the data which is other than the one used in one way slab are given below.

### Given data:

Length of the slab in x-direction = 5 m

Length of the slab in y-direction = 8 m

Density of concrete = 25 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

Live load  $(q_k) = 10 \text{ kN/m}^2$ 

Additional formulas:

Moment is x-direction,  $M_{sx} = \alpha_{sx} * n * l_x^2$ 

Moment in the y-direction,  $M_{sy} = \alpha_{sy} * n * {l_x}^2$ 

## Additional variables:

Diameter for y-direction reinforcement,  $x_5 = (8,10,12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32)$  mm Number of bars (n<sub>y</sub>),  $x_6 = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20)$  The basic settings for a genetic algorithm such as population size, fitness scaling, selection, reproduction, elitism, mutation, crossover, the penalty function is taken through the same formulas as for column and beam. The number of iterations taken for cost optimization was 115 and carbon optimization was 93. The cost and carbon optimized solutions have the same variables which give the best solution for both objectives.

Variables	Manual Calculations	Cost Optimization	Carbon Optimization
Depth (mm)	200	200	200
Concrete strength (MPA)	30	50	50
Steel strength (MPA)	500	500	500
X-direction steel dia. (mm)	16	12	12
Y-direction steel dia. (mm)	16	10	10
Number of x-direction bars	7	8	8
Number of y-direction bars	2	5	5
Cost (Euros)	1760	1441	1441
Carbon (kg-CO <sub>2</sub> )	3258	3099	3099

Table 10: Result comparison for two-way slab

## 5.3.3 Ribbed Slab

Given data:

Length of the slab in x-direction = 5 m

Length of the slab in y-direction = 7 m

Density of concrete = 25 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

Live load  $(q_k) = 2.5 \text{ kN/m}^2$ 

Variable data: x = (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, x6, x7, x8, x9, x10, x11)

Depth of the slab (h),  $x_1 = (125 - 400)$  mm with step size of 25 mm.

Characteristic strength of concrete (fck), x<sub>2</sub> = (20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50) N/mm<sup>2</sup>

Characteristic strength of steel (f<sub>yk</sub>), x<sub>3</sub> = (500,550,600) N/mm<sup>2</sup>

Diameter for bottom reinforcement ( $\phi_{sb}$ ), x<sub>4</sub> = (12, 14, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32) mm

Diameter for transverse reinforcement,  $x_5 = (12, 14, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32)$  mm Number of bars (n<sub>b</sub>),  $x_6 = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20)$ Number of bars (n<sub>t</sub>),  $x_7 = (2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20)$ Rib breadth,  $x_8 = (100, 125, 150, 175, 200, 225, 250)$ Clear rib distance,  $x_9 = (300 - 1500)$  with step size of 50mm Flange depth,  $x_{10} = (50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100)$ Mesh area,  $x_{11} = (98, 142, 193, 252, 393)$ Constraint function,  $g(x) = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6)$   $g(x_1)$  for slab thickness for fire safety,  $(h_s - x(1)) < 0$   $g(x_2)$  for minimum cover, (25 - axisdistance) < 0  $g(x_3), (\frac{M}{bd^2 f_{ck}} - 0.168) < 0$  $g(x_4)$  for reinforcement,  $(\frac{M}{0.87*x(3)*z} - \frac{x(6)*\pi*x(4)^2}{4}) < 0$ 

g(x<sub>6</sub>) for maximum reinforcement,

$$\left(\frac{x(6)*\pi*x(4)^2}{4} - 0.04*\left(breadth*x(1) - \frac{x(6)*\pi*x(4)^2}{4}\right)\right) < 0$$

$$g(x_7), (\rho - 0.02) < 0$$

$$g(x_8) \text{ shear check, } (V_{ed} - \left(0.12*k(100\rho_1 f_{ck})^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)bd) < 0 )$$

$$g(x_9) \ 1 < f_3 < 1.5$$

$$g(x_{10}) \text{ deflection check, } \left(actual \ \frac{l}{d} - \left(\frac{l}{d}*f_1*f_2*f_3\right)\right) < 0$$

$$g(x_{11}) \text{ for mesh steel, } \left(\frac{0.13*1000*x(10)}{100} - x(11)\right) < 0$$

$$g(x_{12}), (K_1 - 2) < 0$$

$$g(x_{13}) \text{ for effective depth, } \left(\frac{span}{20*modification factor} - (x(1) - cover - \frac{\phi}{2})\right) < 0$$

g(x<sub>14</sub>) for rib depth, ((x(1) - x(10)) - (4 \* x(8)) < 0

g(x<sub>15</sub>) for neutral axis depth, ((2.5 \* (effectivedepth - z)) - (1.25 \* x(10)) < 0

Data	Manual	Cost Optimized	carbon Optimized
Thickness (mm)	200	200	175
Concrete Strength (MPA)	30	45	50
Steel Strength (MPA)	500	550	600
Rib breadth (mm)	150	100	100
Clear distance (mm)	400	500	500
Flange depth (mm)	60	50	50
Rib depth (mm)	140	150	125
Cost (Euros)	752	618	630
Carbon (kg-CO <sub>2</sub> )	1563	1120	1076

Table 11: Result comparison for ribbed slab

### 5.3.4 Flat Slab

Given data:

Length of the slab in x-direction = 6.5 m

Length of the slab in y-direction = 6.5 m

Density of concrete = 25 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

Live load  $(q_k) = 5 \text{ kN/m}^2$ 

<u>Variable data:</u>  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14})$ 

Depth of the slab (h),  $x_1 = (125 - 400)$  mm with step size of 25 mm.

Depth of the drop panel,  $x_2 = (85 - 150)$  mm with a step size of 5 mm.

Dimension of the drop panel,  $x_3 = (2200 - 3200)$  mm with a step size of 100 mm.

Dimension of column head,  $x_4 = (1000 - 1500)$  mm with a step size of 100 mm.

Characteristic strength of concrete ( $f_{ck}$ ),  $x_5 = (20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50)$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>.

Characteristic strength of steel ( $f_{yk}$ ),  $x_6 = (500,550,600)$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Diameter for middle strip ( $\phi_{ms}$ ),  $x_7 = (12, 14, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32)$  mm. Number of middle strip bars ( $n_{ms}$ ),  $x_8 = (1 - 20)$  with step size of 1. Diameter for column strip ( $\phi_{cs}$ ),  $x_9 = (12, 14, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32)$  mm. Number of column strip bars ( $n_{cs}$ ),  $x_{10} = (1 - 20)$  with step size of 1. Dia. for middle strip at interior span ( $\phi_{mi}$ ),  $x_{11} = (12, 14, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32)$  mm. Number of middle strip bars at interior span ( $n_{mi}$ ),  $x_{12} = (1 - 20)$  step size of 1. Dia. for column strip bars at interior span ( $n_{mi}$ ),  $x_{12} = (1 - 20)$  step size of 1. Dia. for column strip at interior span ( $\phi_{ci}$ ),  $x_{13} = (12, 14, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32)$  mm. Number of column strip at interior span ( $\phi_{ci}$ ),  $x_{13} = (12, 14, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32)$  mm. Number of column strip bars at interior span ( $n_{ci}$ ),  $x_{14} = (1 - 20)$  step size of 1. Dia. for column strip bars at interior span ( $n_{ci}$ ),  $x_{14} = (1 - 20)$  step size of 1.

# Constraint function, g(x) = (X1, X2, X3, X4, X5, X6, X7, X8, X9, X10, X11, X12, X13, X14, X15, X16, X17, X18, X19, X20, X21, X22, X23, X24, X25)

- $g(x_1)$  for slab thickness for fire safety,  $(h_s x(1)) < 0$
- $g(x_2)$  for minimum cover, (15 axis distance) < 0
- $g(x_3)$  for bay area, (30 (lx \* ly) < 0
- g(x<sub>4</sub>) for load ratio,  $(1.25 \left(\frac{g_k}{q_k}\right)) < 0$

g(x<sub>5</sub>) for middle strip at centre,  $\left(\frac{M_{cm}}{b_m d_{span}^2 f_{ck}} - 0.168\right) < 0$ 

g(x<sub>6</sub>) for middle strip at centre,  $\left(\frac{M_{cm}}{0.87 * x(6) * z} - \frac{x(8) * \pi * x(7)^2}{4}\right) < 0$ 

g(x<sub>7</sub>) for minimum reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{0.26*0.3*f_{ck}^{\frac{2}{3}}*b_m*d_{span}}{f_{yk}} - \frac{M_{cm}}{0.87*x(6)*z}\right) < 0$ 

g(x<sub>8</sub>) for maximum reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{x(8)*\pi*x(7)^2}{4} - 0.04*area \ of \ concrete\right) < 0$ g(x<sub>9</sub>) for column strip at the centre,  $\left(\frac{M_{cc}}{b_c d_{summart}^2 f_{ck}} - 0.168\right) < 0$ 

g(x<sub>10</sub>) for column strip at centre,  $\left(\frac{M_{cc}}{0.87 * x(6) * z} - \frac{x(10) * \pi * x(9)^2}{4}\right) < 0$ 

g(x<sub>11</sub>) for minimum reinforcement, 
$$\left(\frac{0.26*0.3*f_{ck}^{\frac{2}{3}}*b_{c}*d_{support}}{f_{yk}} - \frac{M_{cc}}{0.87*x(6)*z}\right) < 0$$

g(x<sub>12</sub>) for maximum reinforcement, 
$$\left(\frac{x(10)*\pi*x(9)^2}{4} - 0.04* area of concrete\right) < 0$$
  
g(x<sub>13</sub>) for middle strip at interior span,  $\left(\frac{M_{im}}{b_m d_{span}^2 f_{ck}} - 0.168\right) < 0$   
g(x<sub>14</sub>) for middle strip at interior span,  $\left(\frac{M_{im}}{0.87*x(6)*z} - \frac{x(12)*\pi*x(11)^2}{4}\right) < 0$   
g(x<sub>15</sub>) for minimum reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{0.26*0.3*f_{ck}^2}{3}*b_m*d_{span} - \frac{M_{cc}}{0.87*x(6)*z}\right) < 0$   
g(x<sub>16</sub>) for maximum reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{x(12)*\pi*x(11)^2}{4} - 0.04* area of concrete\right) < 0$   
g(x<sub>17</sub>) for column strip at interior span,  $\left(\frac{M_{ic}}{b_c d_{support}^2 f_{ck}} - 0.168\right) < 0$   
g(x<sub>18</sub>) for column strip at interior span,  $\left(\frac{M_{ic}}{0.87*x(6)*z} - \frac{x(14)*\pi*x(13)^2}{4}\right) < 0$   
g(x<sub>19</sub>) for minimum reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{0.26*0.3*f_{ck}^2}{4}*b_{c*}d_{support}} - \frac{M_{ic}}{0.87*x(6)*z}\right) < 0$   
g(x<sub>20</sub>) for maximum reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{x(14)*\pi*x(13)^2}{4} - 0.04* area of concrete\right) < 0$   
g(x<sub>20</sub>) for maximum reinforcement,  $\left(\frac{x(14)*\pi*x(13)^2}{4} - 0.04* area of concrete\right) < 0$   
g(x<sub>21</sub>) punching shear at drop panel,  $\left(V_{ed} - \left(0.12*k(100\rho_1 f_{ck})^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)u*d_{span}\right) < 0$ )  
g(x<sub>22</sub>) punching shear at control perimeter,

$$(V_{ed} - \left(0.12 * k(100 * \rho_1 * f_{ck})^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) u_1 * d_{span}) < 0)$$

g(x<sub>23</sub>) punching shear at column head,

$$\left(V_{ed} - 0.5u_0 d_{span} \left(0.6 \left(1 - \frac{f_{ck}}{250}\right)\right) * \frac{f_{ck}}{1.5}\right) < 0\right)$$

g(x<sub>24</sub>) deflection check for middle strip,  $\left(actual \frac{l}{d_{span}} - \left(\frac{l}{d_{span}} * f_1 * f_2 * f_3\right)\right) < 0$ g(x<sub>25</sub>) deflection check for column strip,  $\left(actual \frac{l}{d_{support}} - \left(\frac{l}{d} * f_1 * f_2 * f_3\right)\right) < 0$ 

The design is formulated with all the given design data and the constraints according to Eurocode in MATLAB. The best solution for cost and embodied carbon emission is analysed separately using single-objective GA optimization with elitism. The elite count of individuals that survive to the next generation is given by the formula:

$$EC = 0.05 * maximum [minimum((10 * number of variabes, 100), 40)]$$

Due to the availability of nonlinear constraint, the population is taken as a double vector and the initial population is created using constraint dependent creation function which automatically selects the starting population best suited for the constraints provided. The fitness of the population is sorted using the rank scaling where all the individuals are given a rank based on their performance for the objective function. The scaled individuals are then chosen for next generation using the stochastic uniform method. The mutation and crossover function are constraints dependent as well. The augmented Lagrangian penalty function is also implemented if the constraints are not satisfied. The initial penalty used is 10 with a penalty factor of 100. The number of iterations performed by the GA is given by the formula:

## Generation = 100 \* Number of variables

The stopping criteria are set by the average change in values of each iteration. The algorithm stops if the function tolerance value is less than 10<sup>-6</sup> and the constraint tolerance is less than 10<sup>-3</sup>. Iterations for cost optimization and carbon optimization are 211 and 96 respectively.

Data	Manual	Cost Optimized	Carbon Optimized
Thickness (mm)	250	200	200
Thickness of drop panel (mm)	100	85	135
Dimension of drop panel (mm)	2500	2200	2500
Dimension of column head (mm)	1200	1500	1300
Concrete Strength (MPA)	30	20	25
Steel Strength (MPA)	500	600	500
Cost (Euros)	3073	2526	2702
Carbon (kg-CO <sub>2</sub> )	7586	6594	6021

Table 12: Result comparison for flat slab



Figure 37: MATLAB cost optimization results (Mathworks, 1984)



Figure 38: MATLAB carbon optimization results (Mathworks, 1984)

## 5.4 Design of RCC Frame

The RCC (reinforced cement concrete frame) frame is a combination of horizontal and vertical structural members which are mainly slabs, beams and columns. The slabs and beams are horizontal members, and the column is a vertical member. The loading of the structure is taken by the slab and transferred to the beams which further transfer it to the columns. The columns transfer the load to the foundations. The design of each member is given in the Eurocodes. The analysis of the frame is usually done by the substitute frame method. The design procedures for slabs, beams and columns are given in previous sections in detail level. The overview of the design procedure can be seen in the figure below.

The MATLAB code is formulated with two different objectives to reduce the cost and embodied carbon emissions. The design and its constraint are prepared as per the Eurocode guidelines to make sure that the safety of the frame is not compromised. The design for slab, beams, columns in total are provided with a total of 77 variables with each one having various options which vary between 3-20 in numbers. The manually calculated results are shown in the tables below and the entire design is available in the appendix along with MATLAB codes. The result of the optimization is also depicted in the tables below. The results take 89 iterations to calculate the optimum solution. The results and typologies are shown below:

Element	Manual	MATLAB Calculations	MATLAB	
	Calculations	(Carbon)	Calculations (Cost)	
Slab	150 mm	125 mm	125 mm	
Beams	525x300 mm	400x200	500*250	
Column	300x300 mm	200x200	250*250	
Span in x	7 @ 4.5 m	6 @ 5 m	6 @ 5 m	
Span in y	3 @ 7 m	4 @ 5 m	5 @ 4 m	

Table 13: Element geometric data comparison



Figure 39: Frame analysis according to Eurocodes

	Manual Calculations					
Element	Steel (Kg)	Concrete (m <sup>3</sup> )	Formwork (m <sup>2</sup> )	Cost (Euros)	Carbon (Kg-Co <sub>2e</sub> )	
Slab	25,546.80	598.96	315.00	86,329.34	225,210.27	
Beams	11,443.00	209.30	1,039.56	32,198.19	80,912.67	
Column	7,104.35	44.96	672.00	10,642.52	21,436.01	
Total	44,094.15	853.22	2,026.56	129,170.05	327,558.95	

Table 14: Frame manual calculation results

	MATLAB Calculations (Carbon)					
Element	Element Steel (Kg)		Formwork (m²)	Cost (Euros)	Carbon (Kg-Co <sub>2e</sub> )	
Slab	33,120.66	474.46	669.10	80,292.52	189,617.65	
Beams	12,875.95	135.78	429.20	25,751.90	57,228.38	
Column	5,108.41	46.44	510.84	8,514.02	20,196.52	
Total	51,105.02	656.68	1,609.14	114,558.43	267,042.55	

Table 15: Frame carbon objective results

	MATLAB Calculations (Cost)					
Element	Steel (Kg)	Concrete (m <sup>3</sup> )	Formwork (m <sup>2</sup> )	Cost (Euros)	Carbon (Kg-Co <sub>2e</sub> )	
Slab	24,546.80	502.96	650.00	74,976.04	191,817.36	
Beams	8,544.50	178.00	582.30	26,427.25	67,770.98	
Column	2,648.35	54.96	570.00	8,175.68	20,945.18	
Total	35,739.65	735.92	1,802.30	109,578.97	280,533.51	

Table 16: Frame cost objective results



Figure 40: Plan for manual calculations (Trimble, 2021)



Figure 41: 3d view for manual calculation (Trimble, 2021)



Figure 42: Plan for carbon optimization solution (Trimble, 2021)



Figure 43: 3d view for carbon optimization solution (Trimble, 2021)

	30000						
	5000	5000	5000	5000	5000	5000	
4000							
4000							
 4000							
4000							
4000							

Figure 44: Plan for cost optimization solution (Trimble, 2021)



Figure 45: 3d view for cost optimization solution (Trimble, 2021)

## 6 Results and Analysis

The results received from the calculations will be analysed in this section with all the analyses perspectives and a critical view. The total savings in percentage can be seen from the graph below which depicts the results of optimization using genetic algorithm toolbox in MATLAB compared to that of manual results. All of the tables resulting in this graph can be found in individual sections and all the codes are attached in the appendix. The slabs tend to show the least saving among all which is mostly due to the high quantity of concrete and lower thickness differences with the manual calculations. The beams and columns demonstrate higher savings because they have a wide number of combinations for breadth and depth keeping their lengths and height constant. The frame design also shows significant savings which also happens to have the greatest number of variables and hence much more possibilities for more combinations. At the same time, the frame design has the greatest number of constraints also which are required to satisfy the design as per Eurocodes. Further analysis is done in the below subsections of each element diving deeper into understanding the results that have been achieved.



Figure 46: Total percentage saving using GA

# 6.1 Reinforced Concrete Beam

The beam is the only structural element, which is designed manually, using RFEM and MATLAB. The RFEM was used to see what difference it would make and whether it is worth using a genetic algorithm or sticking with software is the best option. The software indicates significantly different from manual calculations, but the optimization seems to increase the saving further therefore, the option of coding is incorporated. The results can be seen in the graph below which are calculated by using two objective functions each of which concentrate on the optimization of cost and carbon separately:



Figure 47: Beam optimization result comparison

The results depict that the number of variables has a great impact on the results of cost and carbon optimization. The manual calculations and RFEM calculations are both using fixed variable values and the iteration is only one whereas in MATLAB more iterations can be done with a greater number of variables which calculate all the options which are best suited for reducing cost and embodied carbon. In beams, the length is the same for all the calculations therefore the results are hugely dependent on the breadth and depth of the beam. The MATLAB optimization achieved a reduction of about 21% in cost and 27% in

embodied carbon compared to the manual calculations. Also, the reduction of 15% and 25% in cost and carbon when structural analysis software RFEM is used.

# 6.2 Reinforced Concrete Column

The design of the column is done as a braced column with automation to decide on the slender column or short column within the code. The results from the column are very impressive with carbon almost reduced to half making it the most optimized element. However, despite the column being similar to beam in the sense that it also depends greatly on the choice of breadth and depth of its geometry shows much better results comparatively. This might be because of different loading values and better theoretical results of the interaction diagrams which is incorporated in a formula calculation instead of graphical or tabulated values in MATLAB. On the contrary to beams the different objective function seems to provide identical solution meaning the genetic algorithm is not able to find any better solution. The saving of about 50% and 40% in carbon and cost respectively can be achieved with columns which is fairly a huge number.



Figure 48: Column optimization result comparison

## 6.3 Reinforced Concrete Slabs

The slabs are designed as conventional one way and two-way slabs, ribbed slabs and flat slabs. The span dimensions are different for each slab and therefore it would not be possible to compare them directly as per the cost and carbon values. However, they can be compared based on the percentage saved from manual design. The results are quite interesting for slabs and are to be expected in this pattern. The conventional slabs being thicker and having beams as well makes them use more quantities of concrete as well as steel making it the least element in terms of saving percentage compared to other slabs. The one-way slab and two-way slabs show a difference of about 7% and 18% for cost and 11% and 5% for carbon. This result is the perfect example of why designing using an optimization toolbox can provide a broad perspective is to what is the trade-off between cost and carbon optimized solutions and whether the decision-makers are ready to give more weightage to the environment and risk saving less on designed elements. Also, the less cost saving in two-way slabs when the objective is to optimize embodied carbon can be related to the use of more steel in both directions with top and bottom reinforcements as per the Eurocodes and to the fact that there are more constraints for two-way slab compared to that of the one-way slab. The steel tends to have more embodied carbon emissions compared to that of concrete which is the case in the two-way slab.



Figure 49: One-way slab optimization result comparison



Figure 50: Two-way slab optimization result comparison

The ribbed slabs are an alternative to the conventional slabs which are way lighter uses fewer materials and are terrific in handling heavier loads. Therefore, it is no surprise that the cost of the ribbed slab is almost half of one way and two-way slabs even though the bay area is just 5 m<sup>2</sup> less. The fact that the ribbed slabs are used to have lighter slabs makes them already efficient to conventional slabs. Also, interestingly that the coding is further able to achieve 18% saving in cost and about 31% in embodied carbon.



Figure 51: Ribbed slab optimization result comparison

The flat slabs generally tend to be cheaper than conventional slabs and rightly so in our case it seems almost double which might be because the bay area of the slab is 42.3 m<sup>2</sup> compared to that of the two-way slab which is about 40 m<sup>2</sup>. Also, the imposed load is almost double which helps to make sense as to why the costs are so high compared to other slabs. The optimization is significant in flat slabs reducing about 18% in cost and 20% in embodied carbon which is only slightly inferior to ribbed slabs.



Figure 52: Flat slab optimization result comparison

# 6.4 RCC Frames

The frames are the hardest to analyse directly with the initial data as it is an extremely complicated and bigger design compared to that of individual elements. The frame does however show expected results as the slabs constitute the biggest portion followed by beams and then column. The individual saving for each element can be seen from the graphs below in separate graphs for cost and embodied carbon optimization objectives. The total frame cost saving is about 15% which is slightly less than 18% in the case of carbon. Also, it is worth noting that the different objectives produce different results such as geometric values and typology of the frame. The significant difference in the frame is for columns which shows only 24% saving in cost and 6% in carbon as compared to the individual calculations where they showed the most amount of saving among all elements. It is challenging to make sense of it however the most acceptable reason might be the differences in loading because of the higher length of spans in x-direction compared to that of manual design spans. The detailed results can be seen from the below graphs for individual elements.



Figure 53: Elements cost comparison



Figure 54: Elements embodied carbon comparison

The comparison of the frame with other individual elements in terms of percentages might not seem to be an attractive difference but it is important to remember that the costs of the frames are hugely higher and cannot be compared with that of the individual elements. To put it in perspective the 15% saving of cost leads to saving of about 20,000 euros which is significant. Also, the most interesting result is that of the carbon saving which is only 18% but leads to the saving of about 60,000 kg-co<sub>2e</sub> which cannot be neglected and compared with other individual elements in terms of percentages because the amount involved in the frame is much higher in scale.



Figure 55: Total frame cost and embodied carbon

Depending on these results and the typologies of different solutions that are shown in Figures 40-45, the designer can make a call as to what option suits the needs of the client and the principles of the company. Also, it is simpler to see the results visually with the models for each solution making it easier for the designer to convey his points clearly and effectively.
### 7 Conclusion

The argument of using automation techniques in looking for optimized solutions when it comes to reducing cost and embodied carbon emission is strongly supported by the results of this research. This research aimed to optimize the structural elements such as beam, column, slab, and the building frame in terms of cost and embodied carbon at an early stage of the design phase using a genetic algorithm. The results strongly show that the cost and embodied carbon are significantly reduced in solutions found using the genetic algorithm approach compared to the manual design. The biggest reason for this is the powerful computation ability of the genetic algorithm toolbox in MATLAB to solve problems with a greater number of variables and extremely high iteration requirements which is next to impossible to achieve manually and in a short time frame. Also, the optimization approach showed that it can solve a huge number of combinations for extremely difficult design and successfully present the best solution.

The idea that optimization can support decision making early during the design phase seems to be true as it is clear from the results that the cost and carbon optimized solutions are mostly different which leads to having manual design solutions, cost-optimized solutions and carbon optimized solutions for a same structural element or frame. The mere knowledge of these solutions can help senior managers to choose an option that relates to their vision as to whether they prefer to have low carbon emissions from their structure or want to just save as much money as they can and make a compromise with the carbon emissions. Therefore, it makes a significant difference for making an efficient and effective decision which we do not get from manual design or even from the use of structural analysis software.

Also, the different solutions are a direct result of choosing different geometric values for the elements such as breadth, depth, thickness etc. This relation has been explored beautifully with this research playing extensively with a huge number of variable options which can be seen from the codes and the solutions received. The result indicates that the more the variables and options within that variable are the better chances are there for the genetic algorithm to search for the most optimized solution. Also, when the geometric values are of similar

importance, they have a greater impact on the solution i.e., the breadth and depth in the case of beams have almost equal weightage and hence they can be played with to have a greater impact on the results.

The use of BIM was not very significant in this approach as there were not much constant data that was required for the genetic algorithm coding because all the data was taken to be variable to provide the greater option to the algorithm to have more combinations. The use of BIM software such as Revit and Tekla is being used in the case of the beam and frame to have a visual understanding of the different solutions. The data is exchanged through the csv or excel files. Although the use of BIM was thought to be a powerful tool in this research it did not have a significant impact on this particular methodology.

The approach of using the genetic algorithm by optimization toolbox of MATLAB for computation is a very powerful, efficient, and effective technique compared to the vast number of optimization approaches used around in the academic world. The fact that the concrete design has a huge number of variables that need to be interpreted throughout the design made it extremely hard to automate the optimization and create a logic for it which also generated a research gap that there were not a lot of research being done for concrete structures compared to the steel structural design. Therefore, the current research is a significant contribution to the optimization of concrete structural elements and the entire building structural frame making the gap slightly less.

In a nutshell, the methodology of choosing a metaheuristic approach of using a genetic algorithm that belongs to the evolutionary algorithm class gave impressive results when it came to finding the most optimized solution from a bunch of various solutions. The genetic algorithm toolbox in MATLAB allowed the implementation of Eurocode and its design constraints successfully making the design very safe which is the first and foremost aspect of construction. The achievement of optimized results also signifies that the materials being used are less and emissions are reducing which helps to lower the emissions of the construction industry as a whole and contribute to the sustainability goals.

### 7.1 Limitations

There were few limitations in this research that were significant. Firstly, the computation of complicated and big structures is extremely hard to solve in the coding logic as there are a high number of variables and the design is significantly longer with a huge number of constraints that need to be met as per Eurocodes safety point of view requiring a high level of focus and time. Secondly, the knowledge of coding required to solve the complicated design problems is very high and hence at some point hindered the optimal and efficient writing of codes making them longer. Thirdly, there is not enough research that works with the entire design as it makes the application of genetic algorithms significantly harder, Therefore, most of the research done on concrete structures using genetic algorithms are addressing only one key relation of the design which have an impact on the solution and not the entire design which also made it hard to establish comparative study with my research.

### 7.2 Future Work

The current research is a great addition to the previous body of work in the optimization field which indicated genetic algorithms to be a powerful tool. However, to enlarge the scope of work for more complicated and real-life structures, the use of structural analysis software for design implementation as per Eurocode can be explored through an application programming interface (API) which would significantly increase the workability with complicated structures and the time requirement for coding will reduce significantly as well. Also, the analysis software is equipped with Eurocodes so the MATLAB code does not require that all the constraints must be written in the code as they will be already satisfied in the software making the coding precisely focused on the optimization.

## **Statutory Declaration**

I herewith formally declare that I have written the submitted thesis independently. I did not use any outside support except for the quoted literature and other sources mentioned in the paper.

I clearly marked and separately listed all the literature and all of the other sources which I employed when producing this academic work, either literally or in content.

I am aware that the violation of this regulation will lead to the failure of the thesis.

Udham Singh

Student's name

S0572561

Matriculation number

Student's signature

Osham Sigh

30.July.2021

Berlin, date

## **Consent of Publishing the Master's Thesis**

Freely Given Consent according to Art. 7 GDPR					
	I agree to the publication of my final thesis in the university library of HTW Berlin and to the inclusion in the online catalogue (webOPAC) of HTW Berlin by publishing the following data.				
	I agree to the digitization of the front page and the table of contents of the thesis in Dandelon.				
	Family Name:	Singh	First Name:	Udham	
	Student- ID number:	S0572561	Date of Degree:	30.07.2021	
	Faculty:	Engineering Sciences-Technology and Life Construction and Real Estate Management			
	Study Programme:				
	I fully understand that this allows the machine-based research in indexes for all users of the online catalogue, and that the data processed in the online catalogue of HTW Berlin is also ca- pable of being searched and referenced by global web search engines/webcrawlers, which do				
not fall within the power of control of HTW Berlin. 2021-07-30 Oddam Sight					
Date and Signature of the Data Subject					

# Confirmation of the Primary Reviewer

I confirm that the final thesis of the aforementioned candidate is publishable.

Date and Signature of the Primary Reviewer

### Bibliography

- Bekdaş, G. & Niğdeli, S. M., 2016. Optimum Design Of Reinforced Concrete Columns Employing Teaching-Learning Based Optimization. *Challenge Journal Of Structural Mechanics,* November, 2(4), pp. 216-219.
- Adeli, H. & Sarma, K. C., 2006. Cost Optimization of Structures: fuzzy logic, genetic algorithm and parallel computing. In: s.l.:John Wiley \$ Sons Ltd..
- Aga, A. A. & Adam, F. M., 2015. Design Optimization of Reinforced Concrete Frames. Open Journal of Civil Engineering, March.pp. 74-83.
- Ahmadi-Nedushan, B. & Varaee, H., 2011. Minimum Cost Design of Concrete Slabs Using Particle Swarm Optimization With Time Varying Acceleration Coefficients. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, January, 13(12), pp. 2484-2494.
- Ajmal, H. P. C., 2017. A study on cost optimised structural design of reinforced concrete beams. *International journal of scientific & engineering research (IJSER)*, November.08(11).
- Al-Ansari, M. S., 2013. Flexural Safety Cost of Optimized Reinforced Concrete Beam. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET), March-April, 04(02), pp. 15-35.
- Aleksandar, M., Goran, P. & Dejan, B., 2013. Optimal structural design of reinforced concrete structures - Review of existing solutions considering applicability aspect. *Archives for Technical Sciences*, 09(01), pp. 53-60.
- Alex, D. M. & Kottalil, L., 2015. Genetic Algorithm Based Design Of a Reinforced Concrete Cantilever Beam. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)*, October, 02(07), pp. 1249-1252.
- Alex, D. M. & Kottalil, L., 2015. Genetic algorithm based design of a reinforced concrete continuous beam. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, September, 04(09), pp. 224-227.
- 10)Autodesk Revit, 2002. *Autodesk Revit.* [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.autodesk.com/</u>

- 11)Bhalchandra, S. & Adsul, P., 2012. Cost Optimization Of Doubly Reinforced Rectangular Beam Section. *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)*, September-October, 02(05), pp. 3939-3942.
- 12)Bond, A. J. et al., 2006. *How to Design Concrete Structures using Eurocode* 2, s.l.: The Concrete Centre.
- 13)Camp, C. V., Pezeshk, S. & Hansson, H., 2003. Flexural Design of Reinforces Concrete Frames Using a Genetic Algorithm. *Journal of Structural Engineering,* January .129(1).
- 14) Chen, C. et al., 2019. Optimum cost design of frames using genetic algorithms. *Steel and Composite Structures,* February, 30(03), pp. 293-304.
- 15) Chisari, C. & Chiara, B., 2016. Multi-Objective Optimization of FRP Jackets for Improving the Seismic Response of Reinforced Concrete Frames. *American Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 27 September.
- 16)Chutani, S. & Singh, J., 2017. Use of Hybrid PSOGSA Search Algorithm for Optimum Design of RC Beam. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJSRSET),* August, 03(05), pp. 480-487.
- 17)Clark, D. & Bradley, D., 2013. Embodied Carbon of Steel versus Concrete Buildings. In: *What Color is Your Book.* s.l.:s.n.
- 18)Coello Coello , C., Hernandez, F. S. & Farrera, F. A., 1997. Optimal Design of Reinforced Concrete Beams Using Genetic Algorithms. *Expert Systems with applications*, pp. 101-108.
- 19)Correia, R., Bono, G. & Bono, G., 2019. Optimization of Reinforced Concrete Beams Using Solver Tool. *IBRACON Structures and Materials Journal*, August.pp. 910-931.
- 20)de Albuquerque, A. T., El Debs, M. K. & Melo, A. M., 2012. A cost optimization-based design of precast concrete floors using genetic algorithms. *Automation in Construction,* Volume 22, p. 348–356.
- 21)Deb, K., Pratap, A., Agarwal, S. & Meyarivan, T., 2002. A Fast and Elitist Multiobjective Genetic Algorithm: NSGA-II. *IEEE Transactions On Evolutionary Computation*, April.Volume 6.

- 23)Eleftheriadis, S. et al., 2017. Multilevel Computational Model for Cost and Carbon Optimisation of Reinforced Concrete Floor Systems. *Automation and Robotics in Construction.*
- 24)Eleftheriadis, S., Duffour, P. & Mumovic, D., 2018. BIM-embedded life cycle carbon assessment of RC buildings using optimised structural design alternatives. *Energy & Buildings,* May, Volume 173, p. 587–600.
- 25)Eleftheriadis, S., Duffour, P., Stephenson, B. & Mumovic, D., 2018. Automated Specification Of Steel Reinforcement To Support The Optimisation Of RC Floor. *Automation In Constructuion*, October, Volume 96, pp. 366-377.
- 26)Eleftheriadis, S. et al., 2018. Integrated Building Life Cycle Carbon and Cost Analysis Embedding Multiple Optimisation levels. Cambridge, s.n.
- 27)EN-1992-1-1 (CEN), 2004. Eurocodes 2:Design of Concrete Structures Part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings, Brussels: The European Union.
- 28) European Commission, 2004. Eurocode 2: Design of Concrete Structures -Part 1-2, Brussels: CEN.
- 29)Ferdyn-Grygierek, J. & Grygierek, K., 2017. Multi-Variable Optimization of Building Thermal Design Using Genetic Algorithms. *Energies*, October, Volume 10, p. 1570.
- 30)Galeb, A. C. & Jennam, M. A., 2015. Optimum Design of Reinforced Concrete Flat Slabs. *Eng. & Tech. Journal,* January, 33(09), pp. 2049-2065.
- 31)Gandomi, A. . H., Yang, X.-S. & Alavi, A. H., 2013. Cuckoo search algorithm: a metaheuristic approach to solve structural optimization problems. *Engineering with Computers,* April.Volume 29.
- 32)Gare, P. & Angalekar, S. S., 2016. Design of Structural Element Employing Optimization Approach. International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET), July, 05(07), pp. 13808-13816.

- 33)Ghandi, E., Shokrollahi, N. & Nasrolahi, M., 2017. Optimum Cost design of Reinforced Concrete Slabs Using Cuckoo Search Optimization Algorithm. *International Journal of Optimization in Civil Engineering*, pp. 539-564.
- 34)Gibbons, O. & Orr, J., 2020. *How to calculate embodied carbon,* London: The institution of structural engineers.
- 35)GlobalABC, 2019. 2019 global status report for buildings and construction: Towards a zero-emission, efficient and resilient buildings and construction sector, s.l.: Global Alliance for Buildings and Construction, International Energy Agency and the United Nations.
- 36)Goldberg, D. E., 1989. *Genetic Algorithm in Search, Optimization and MAchine Learning.* s.l.:Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc..
- 37)Gordillo, G. C. et al., 2020. EplusLauncher: An API to Perform Complex EnergyPlus Simulations in MATLAB® and C#. Sustainability, 16 January, 12(02), p. 672.
- 38)Govindaraj, V. & Ramasamy, J., 2005. Optimum Detailed Design of Reinforced Concrete Continuous Beams Using Genetic Algorithms. *Computers and Structures,* September.pp. 34-48.
- 39) Grygierek, K. & Ferdyn-Grygierek, J., 2019. Multi-variable optimization models for building envelope design using energyplus simulation and metaheuristic algorithms. *Architecture civil engineering environment,* June.
- 40)Guerra, A. & Kiousis, P. D., 2006. Design optimization of reinforced concrete structures. *Computers and concrete,* August, 03(05), pp. 313-334.
- 41)Hadi, M. N., Sharafi, P. & Teh, L. H., 2012. A Heuristic Approach for Optimim Cost and Layout Design of 3D Reinforced Concrete Frames. *Journal od Structural Engineering*, July.pp. 853-863.
- 42)Hooke, R. & JEEVES, T. A., 1961. Direct Search Solution Of Numerical And Statistical Problems. *Journal Of Association for Computing Machinery*, April.pp. 212-229.
- 43)Kaveh, A. & Shakouri Mahmud Abadi, A., 2011. Cost Optimization of Reinforced Concrete One-Way Ribbed Slabs Using Harmony Search

Algorithm. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering,* 19 October, Volume 36, p. 1179–1187.

- 44)Kim, T. H., Chae, C. U., Kim, G. H. & Jang, H. J., 2016. Analysis of CO2 Emission Characteristics of Concrete Used at Construction Sites. *Sustainability*, 08 April.p. 348.
- 45)Kumar, K. & Shanthi, R., 2018. Cost Optimization Using GA for Structural Beams With Different and Conditions. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, March.03(03).
- 46)Kumar, R., 2013. Cost Optimization of Industrial Building using Genetic Algorithm. *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology*, April, 02(04), pp. 185-191.
- 47) Mangal, M. & Cheng, J. C., 2018. Automated Optimization Of Steel Reinforcement In RC Building Frames Using building Information Modeling And Hybrid Genetic Algorithm. *Automation in Construction,* June, Volume 90, pp. 39-57.
- 48)Mathworks, 1984. *www.mathworks.com.* [Online] Available at: <u>https://se.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html</u>
- 49) MEPS International Ltd., 1979. www.meps.co.uk. [Online].
- 50)Milajić, A., Milošević, P. & Beljaković, D., 2019. Optimal green building design using multi-objective genetic algorithm. *Reporting for sustainability*, April.pp. 119-123.
- 51)Mirjalili, S., 2019. *Evolutionary Algorithms and Neural Networks.* s.l.:Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2019.
- 52) Mister Concrete, 2017. www.misterconcrete.co.uk. [Online].
- 53)Mosley, B., Bungey, J. & Hulse, R., 2012. *Reinforced concrete design to Eurocode 2.* Seventh ed. s.l.:PALGRAVE MACMILLAN.
- 54)Najem, R. M. & Yousif, S. T., 2013. Optimum cost design of reinforced concrete continuous beams using Genetic Algorithms. *Int. Journal of Applied Sciences and Engineering Research (IJASER),* February, 02(01), pp. 79-92.
- 55)NBIMS-US, 2005. *National Institue of Building Sciences.* [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.nibs.org/page/factsheets</u>

- 56)Niaki, M. I., Maheri, M. R. & Bagheri, M., 2016. Optimum Design of 2-D Reinforced Concrete Frames Using a Genetic Algorithm. *The Turkish Online Journal of Design, Art and Communication*, pp. 1909-1919.
- 57)Nigdeli, S. M. & Bekdas, G., 2019. The Effect Of The Consideration Of Slab Dimensions On Optimum Design Of Reinforced Concrete Beams. *European Journal Of Engineering and Natural Sciences,* October, 3(2), pp. 81-85.
- 58)Olawale, S. O. A. et al., 2019. Cost Optimisation of the Design of Reinforced Concrete Flat Slab to BS8110. *Materials Science and Engineering 640 (2019)* 012052.
- 59)Oliveira, J. I. F. & Miranda, A. C. O., 2020. Structural Optimization Using Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm. *D. Chiranjevulu Journal of Engineering Research and Application*, March, 10(3), pp. 1-12.
- 60)Patil, K. S., Gore, N. & Salunke, P., 2013. Optimum Design of Reinforced Concrete Flat Slab with Drop Panel. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE),* September.02(04).
- 61)Paya-Zaforteza, I., Yepes, V., Hospitaler, A. & Gonzalez-Vidosa, F., 2009. CO2- Optimization of reinforced concrete frames by simulated annealing. *Engineering Structures,* Volume 31, pp. 1501-1508.
- 62)Perea, C. et al., 2007. Design of reinforced concrete bridge frames by heuristic optimization. *Advances in Engineering Software,* 19 July.
- 63) Premalatha, C., 2015. Genetic Algorithm For Optimization Problems. International Journal Of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology, May.
- 64) Raje, S. S. & Patel, R. B., 2017. Column Capital and Drop Panel Optimization of Flat Slab Using Genetic Algorithm. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET),* April, 06(04), pp. 5960-5965.
- 65)Rojas, A.-L., 2016. Numerical Experimentation for teh Optimal Design of Reinforced Rectangular Concrete Beams for Singly Reinforced Sections. 10 March, Volume DYNA 83(196), pp. 134-142.
- 66)Sahab, M. G., Ashour, A. F. & Toropov, V. V., 2005. Cost Optimisation of Reinforced Concrete Flat Slab Building. *Engineering Structures*, pp. 313-322.

- 67)Sahab, M. G., Toropov, V. V. & Ashour, A. F., 2004. A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm For Structural Optimization Problems. *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (Building And Housing),* Volume 5, pp. 121-143.
- 68)Salataa, F. et al., 2020. Effects Of Local Conditions On The Multi-Variable And Multi-Objective Energy optimization of Residential Buildings Using Genetic Algorithms. *Applied Energy*, February.Volume 260.
- 69)Shariati, A. et al., 2019. Using Genetic Algorithms Method For The Paramount Design Of Reinforced Concrete Structures. *Structural Engineering and Mechanics*, April, Volume 71, pp. 503-513.
- 70)Singh, B. & Rai, H. S., 2014. Optimisation of RCC Beam. International Journal of Engineering, Business and Enterprise applications (IJEBEA), June-August, 09(01), pp. 21-34.
- 71)Singh, H., Rai, H. S. & Singh, J., 2014. Discrete Optimisation of One way Slab using Genetic Algorithm. *International Journal of Engineering, Business and Enterprise Applications (IJEBEA),* June-August, 09(02), pp. 116-121.
- 72)Sivanandam, S. & Deepa, S., 2008. Introduction to Genetic Algorithms. s.l.:Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- 73)Suryavanshi, D. K. & Akhtar, S., 2019. Design optimization of reinforced concrete slabs using various optimization techniques. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD),* August, 03(05), pp. 45-58.
- 74)The Matworks Inc., 2004-2018. *Global Optimization Toolbox User's Guide.* [Online]

Available at: https://se.mathworks.com/products/global-optimization.html

- 75)Thomas, S. M. & Arulraj.G, P., 2017. Optimization of Singly Reinforced RC Beam. International Journal of Research-Granthaalayah, February.pp. 199-207.
- 76)Tomás, A. & Alarcón, A., 2012. Automated Design of Optimum Longitudinal Reinforcement For Flexural And Axial Loading. *Computers and Concrete,* August, 10(02), pp. 149-171.

- 77)United Nations Environment Programme, 2020. 2020 Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction: Towards a Zero-emission, Efficient and Resilient Buildings and Construction Sector, Nairobi: s.n.
- 78) Vasinton, S. & Raslan, R., 2016. Multi-Objective optimisation for the minimisation of life cycle carbon footprint and life cycle cost using NSGA II: A refurbished high rise residntial building case study.. Newcastle, Building Performance and Optimization Conference BSO16.
- 79) Whitworth, A. . H. & Tsavdaridis, K. D., 2020. Genetic Algorithm for Embodied Energy Optimisation of Steel-Concrete Composite Beams. *Sustainability,* April, 12(08), p. 3102.
- 80)World Steel Association, 2019. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.worldsteel.org/</u>
- 81)www.concretecenter.com, n.d. [Online].
- 82)Yeo, D. & Gabbai, R. D., 2011. Sustainable design of reinforced concrete structures through embodied energy optimization. *Energy and Buildings,* April, Volume 43, p. 2028–2033.
- 83) Yousif, S. T., Alsaffar, I. S. & Ahmed, S. M., 2010. Optimum Design of Singly and Doubly Reinforced Concrete Rectangular Beam Sections: Artificial Neural Networks Application. *Iraqi Journal of Civil Engineering*, June, 6(3), pp. 1-19.
- 84)Yousif, S. T. & Najem, R. M., 2012. Optimum cost design of reinforced concrete beams using genetic algorithm. *The Iraqi Journal For Mechanical And Material Engineering,* January, 12(04), pp. 680-693.
- 85)Zavadskas, E. K., Adeli, H., Antucheviciene, J. & Vilutiene, T., 2018. Sustainable Decision-Making in Civil Engineering, Construction and Building Technology. *Sustainability*, 10(14).

### Appendix

#### Beam Problem Formulation MATLAB Codes:

```
function y = udhambeam(x)
```

```
x = udhambeammapvariables(x);
```

```
concretecost=(110/100000000); % cost of concrete per mm3
steelcost=(0.8); % cost of steel per Kgs
formworkcost=(6/1000000); % cost of formwork per m2
concretecarbon=(338/100000000); % embodied carbon emission of concrete per mm3
steelcarbon=(0.87); % embodied carbon emission of steel per mm2
formworkcarbon=(0.79/1000000); % embodied carbon emission of formwork per mm3
deadload=(x(1)*x(2)*25)/(1000000); %dead load in N/mm
liveload=(20); %live load 20*10^6 N/mm
totalload=((1.35*deadload)+(1.5*liveload)); %total load on the beam
length=(6000); %length of beam 6000mm fixed
moment=((totalload*(length*length))/8); %maximum design moment on beam in Nmm
shearforce=((totalload*length)/2); %maximum shear design force in N
cover=(x(5)+10); % cover simplified in mm
effectivedepth = (x(2) - cover - (x(5)/2) - x(9)); % effective depth in mm
k=((moment)/((x(1)*effectivedepth*effectivedepth*x(3)))); %k to check if 🖌
compression reinforcement is required
leverarm=0.5*effectivedepth*(1+(((1-(3.53*k)).^(1/2)))); %leverarm distance in mm
tensionreinforcement=((moment)/((x(4)/1.15)*leverarm)); % required tension 
reinforcement
\texttt{minimumtensionreinforcement=((0.26*(0.30*((x(3))^(2/3))*x(1)*effectivedepth)))/(x(4))}
/1.15)); %minimum reinforcement required
providedtensionreinforcement=(x(13)*3.14*((x(7)*x(7))/4)); %provided tension 🖌
reinforcement
shearstress=((shearforce)/(0.9*effectivedepth*x(1))); %shear stress for check
resistanceshear=(0.36*(1-((x(3)/250)))*x(3))/(cotd(x(16))+tand(x(16)));
shearreinforcement=((shearforce*x(17))/(0.78*effectivedepth*(x(4)/1.15)*(cotd(x 🖌
(16)))); %required shear reinforcement
providedshearreinforcement=(2*3.14*((x(10)*x(10))/4)); %provided shear <
reinforcement in mm
minimumshearreinforcement=(0.08*(x(3)^(0.5))*x(1)*x(17))/(x(4)/1.15); %minimum <
required steel reinforcement
maximumspacing=0.75*effectivedepth; %maximum possible spacing between shear links
nominalhangingbar=((2*3.14*8*8)/4);
linknumbers=(length/x(17));
totalsteel=(nominalhangingbar+(providedshearreinforcement*linknumbers) 
+providedtensionreinforcement);%total steel used
maximumsteel=((0.04*x(1)*x(2))-totalsteel); %maximum limit of steel
%y(1)=(((x(1).*x(2))-totalsteel)*length*concretecost)+(totalsteel*length* 🖌
(7850/100000000)*steelcost)+((((2.*x(2))+x(1)).*length*formworkcost); %objective 🖌
function for minimizing cost
y(1)=(((x(1).*x(2))-totalsteel)*length*concretecarbon)+(totalsteel*length* 
(7850/100000000)*steelcarbon)+(((2.*x(2))+x(1)).*length*formworkcarbon); %objectie
function for minimizing cost
```

```
end
```

Code 1: MATLAB code for beam objective function (Mathworks, 1984)

```
function [Cineq,Ceq] = udhambeamnonlcon(x)
x = udhambeammapvariables(x);
 deadload=(x(1)*x(2)*25)/(1000000); %dead load in N/mm
 liveload=(20); %live load 20*10^6 N/mm
 totalload=((1.35*deadload)+(1.5*liveload)); %total load on the beam
 length=(6000); %length of beam 6000mm fixed
 moment=(((totalload*(length*length)))/8); %maximum design moment on beam in Nmm
 cover=(x(5)+10); % cover simplified in mm
 effectivedepth=(x(2)-cover-(x(5)/2)-x(9)); %effective depth in mm
 shearforce=((totalload*length)/2); %maximum shear design force in N
 k=(moment)/((x(1)*effectivedepth*effectivedepth*x(3))); %k to check if compression u
 reinforcement is required
 %kdash=(0.168); %fixed condition
 leverarm=0.5*effectivedepth*(1+(((1-(3.53*k))^(1/2))); %leverarm distance in mm
 tensionreinforcement=((moment)/((x(4)/1.15)*leverarm)); %required tension 
 reinforcement
 providedtensionreinforcement=(x(13)*3.14*((x(7)*x(7))/4)); %provided tension 🖌
 reinforcement
 minimumtensionreinforcement=((0.26*(0.30*((x(3))^(2/3))*x(1)*effectivedepth))/(x(4) *
 /1.15)); %minimum reinforcement required
 nominalhangingbar=((2*3.14*8*8)/4);
 shearreinforcement=((shearforce*x(17))/(0.78*effectivedepth*(x(4)/1.15)*(cotd(x 🖌
 (16)))); %required shear reinforcement
 providedshearreinforcement=(2*3.14*((x(10)*x(10))/4)); %provided shear ¥
 reinforcement in mm
 minimumshearreinforcement=(0.08*(x(3)^(0.5))*x(1)*x(17))/(x(4)/1.15); %minimum 🖌
 required steel reinforcement
 linknumbers=(length/x(17));
 totalsteel=(nominalhangingbar+(providedshearreinforcement*linknumbers) 

 +providedtensionreinforcement); %total steel used
 maximumsteel=((0.04*x(1)*x(2))-totalsteel); %maximum limit of steel
 maximumleverarm=(0.95*effectivedepth); %maximum lever arm
 minimumleverarm=(0.82*effectivedepth); %minimum leverarm
 Cineq =[k-0.168, leverarm-maximumleverarm, minimumleverarm-leverarm, 🖌
 minimumtensionreinforcement-tensionreinforcement, shearreinforcement-
 providedshearreinforcement, minimumshearreinforcement-providedshearreinforcement, *
 tensionreinforcement-providedtensionreinforcement,totalsteel-maximumsteel, 🖌
 minimumtensionreinforcement-provided tensionreinforcement, (0.3*x(2))-x(1),x(1)-(0.5 🖌
 *x(2))];
 Ceq = [];
```

end

Code 2: MATLAB code for beam constraints (Mathworks, 1984)

```
function x = udhambeammapvariables(x)
allX1 = [200,225,250,275,300,325,350,375,400,425,450,475,500];
allX2 = [500,525,550,575,600,625,650,675,700,725,750];
allX3 = [20,25,35,40,45,50];
allX4 = [500, 550, 600];
allX5 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32];
allX6 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32];
allX7 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32];
allX8 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32];
allX9 = [6,8,10,12,14];
allX10 = [6,8,10,12,14];
%allX16 = ∠
[22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45];
allX17 = 🖌
[75,80,85,90,95,100,105,110,115,120,125,130,135,140,145,150,155,160,165,170,175,180]
                                                                                   Ľ
,185,190,195,200,205,210,215,220,225,230,235,240,245,250,255,260,265,270,275,280,28
                                                                                   ¥
ĸ
90, 395, 400, 405, 410, 415, 420, 425, 430, 435, 440, 445, 450, 455, 460, 465, 470, 475, 480, 485, 490,
                                                                                   ĸ
495,500,505,510,515,520,525,530,535,540,545,550,555,560,565,570,575,580,585,590,595
                                                                                   Ľ
,600];
% The possible values for x(4) and x(6)
%allX4 6 = 45:5:60;
Map x(3), x(4), x(5) and x(6) from the integer values used by GA to the
% discrete values required.
x(1) = all X1(x(1));
x(2) = all X2(x(2));
x(3) = all X3(x(3));
x(4) = all X4(x(4));
x(5) = all X5(x(5));
x(6) = all X 6 (x(6));
x(7) = all X7(x(7));
x(8) = all X8(x(8));
x(9) = all X 9 (x(9));
x(10) = all X10 (x(10));
x(17) = allX17(x(17));
```

```
end
```

Code 3: MATLAB code for beam variables (Mathworks, 1984)

#### Column problem formulation codes:

```
function y = udhamcolumn(x)
x = udhamcolumnmapvariables(x);
%x(1) = 200;
%x(2) = 200;
%x(3) = 20;
%x(4) = 500;
%x(5) = 12;
%x(6) = 12;
%x(7) = 12;
%x(8) = 12;
\$x(9) = 6;
%x(10) = 6;
%x(11) = 2;
%x(12) = 2;
%x(13) = 75;
%x(14) = 300;
concretecost=(110/100000000); % cost of concrete per mm3
steelcost=(0.8); % cost of steel per Kgs
formworkcost=(6/1000000); % cost of formwork per m2
concretecarbon=(338/100000000); % embodied carbon emission of concrete per mm3
steelcarbon=(0.87); % embodied carbon emission of steel per mm2
formworkcarbon=(0.79/1000000); % embodied carbon emission of formwork per mm3
deadload=(x(1)*x(2)*25)/(10000); %dead load in KN/m
liveload=(500); %live load 500*10^3 N/mm
totalload=((1.35*deadload)+(1.5*liveload)); %total load on the column
length=(3000); %length of column 3000mm fixed
columnstiffness= (x(1)*x(2)*x(2)*x(2))/12;
beamstiffness= (200*550*550*550)/12; % beam dimensions
kone= ((1*columnstiffness)/3000)/((4*beamstiffness)/6000);
ktwo= ((1*columnstiffness)/3000)/((4*beamstiffness)/6000);
effectivelength= 0.5*length*(((1+(kone/(0.45+kone))*(1+(ktwo/(0.45+ktwo)))))^ "
(1/2));
eone= x(2)/30;
etwo= length/400;
ethree= 20;
e=x(15);
%clear eone;
%clear etwo;
%clear ethree;
moment= totalload*e;
area= x(1) *x(2);
lambda= effectivelength/((columnstiffness/area)^(1/2));
a = 0.7;
b= 1.1;
c=0.7;
n = totalload/(area*(x(3)/1.5));
lambdalimit= (20*a*b*c)/(n^{(1/2)});
nominalcover= 40;
```

```
covertop= (nominalcover+x(10)+(x(8)/2));
coverbottom= (nominalcover+x(10)+(x(7)/2));
esc= (0.0035/x(14)) * (x(14) - covertop);
effectivedepth= x(2)-coverbottom;
es= (0.0035/x(14))*(effectivedepth- x(14));
modulus= 200*1000;
fsc= modulus*esc;
fscforconcrete= fsc-(0.567*x(3));
fs= x(4)/1.15;
s = 0.8 \times (14);
%e1= (moment*1000000) / (totalload*1000);
topreinforcement= (((totalload*1000)*(e+(x(2)/2)-coverbottom))-(0.567*x(3)*x(1)*s* ¥
(effectivedepth-(s/2))))/(fscforconcrete*(effectivedepth-covertop))
bottomreinforcement= ((totalload*1000)-(0.567*x(3)*x(1)*s)- ∠
(fscforconcrete*topreinforcement))/fs
topprovided reinforcement= (x(12)*3.14*x(8)*x(8))/4
bottomprovided reinforcement = (x(11)*3.14*x(7)*x(7))/4
totalsteel= (topprovidedreinforcement+bottomprovidedreinforcement);
areaofconcrete= (area-totalsteel);
minimumsteelarea= (0.10*totalload*1000)/(0.87*x(4));
maximumsteel= (0.04*areaofconcrete);
requiredsheardiaonearea= (3.14 \times (8) \times (8))/4;
requiredsheardiatwoarea= (3.14 \times (7) \times (7))/4;
requiredspacingone= 20*x(8);
requiredspacingtwo= 20*x(7);
requiredspacingthree= x(1);
%requiredsheardia= max(max(requiredsheardiaone),requiredsheardiatwo);
%requiredspacing= min(min(requiredspacingone,requiredspacingtwo), 
requiredspacingthree);
%clear requiredsheardiaone;
%clear requiredsheardiatwo;
%clear requiredspacingone;
%clear requiredspacingtwo;
%clear requiredspacingthree;
providedshearreinforcementdiaarea=(3.14*x(10)*x(10))/4;
providedspacing= x(13);
providedshearreinforcement= 2*providedshearreinforcementdiaarea* 🖌
(length/providedspacing)
absolutetotalsteel= 🖌
topprovidedreinforcement+bottomprovidedreinforcement+providedshearreinforcement;
%y(1) = (((x(1).*x(2)) - absolutetotalsteel)*length*concretecost) + ∠
(absolutetotalsteel*length*(7850/1000000000)*steelcost)+(((2.*x(2))+x(1)). ✓
*length*formworkcost); %objective function for minimizing cost
```

y(1)=(((x(1).\*x(2))-absolutetotalsteel)\*length\*concretecarbon)+ 
(absolutetotalsteel\*length\*(7850/100000000)\*steelcarbon)+(((2.\*x(2))+x(1)).
\*length\*formworkcarbon); %objectie function for minimizing cost

end

Code 4: MATLAB code for column objective function (Mathworks, 1984)

```
function [Cineq,Ceq] = udhamcolumnnonlcon(x)
x = udhamcolumnmapvariables(x);
%x(1) = 200;
\$x(2) = 200;
%x(3) = 20;
%x(4) = 500;
%x(5) = 12;
%x(6) = 12;
%x(7) = 12;
%x(8) = 32;
%x(9) = 6;
%x(10) = 10;
%x(11) = 2;
%x(12) = 2;
%x(13) = 210;
%x(14) = 300;
deadload=(x(1)*x(2)*25)/(10000); %dead load in KN/m
liveload=(500); %live load 500*10^3 N/mm
totalload=((1.35*deadload)+(1.5*liveload)); %total load on the column
length=(3000); %length of column 3000mm fixed
columnstiffness= (x(1) *x(2) *x(2) *x(2))/12;
beamstiffness= (200*550*550*550)/12; % beam dimensions
kone= (((1*columnstiffness)/3000)/((4*beamstiffness)/6000));
ktwo= (((1*columnstiffness)/3000)/((4*beamstiffness)/6000));
effectivelength= 0.5*length*(((1+(kone/(0.45+kone)))*(1+(ktwo/(0.45+ktwo))))^ "
(1/2);
eone= x(2)/30;
etwo= length/400;
ethree= 20;
e=x(15);
%clear eone;
%clear etwo;
%clear ethree;
moment= totalload*e;
area= x(1) *x(2);
lambda= effectivelength/((columnstiffness/area)^(1/2));
a= 0.7;
b= 1.1;
c= 0.7;
n= totalload/(area*(x(3)/1.5));
lambdalimit= (20*a*b*c)/(n^{(1/2)});
nominalcover= 40;
covertop= (nominalcover+x(10)+12);
coverbottom= (nominalcover+x(10)+x(7));
esc= (0.0035/x(14))*(x(14)-covertop);
effectivedepth= x(2)-coverbottom;
es= (0.0035/x(14))*(effectivedepth- x(14));
modulus= 200*1000;
fsc= modulus*esc;
fscforconcrete = fsc-(0.567 * x(3));
```

```
fs= x(4)/1.15;
s = 0.8 \times (14);
topreinforcement= (((totalload*1000)*(e+(x(2)/2)-coverbottom))-(0.567*x(3)*x(1)*s* "
(effectivedepth-(s/2))))/(fscforconcrete*(effectivedepth-covertop));
bottomreinforcement= ((totalload*1000)-(0.567*x(3)*x(1)*s)- 4
(fscforconcrete*topreinforcement))/fs;
topprovidedreinforcement= (x(12)*3.14*x(8)*x(8))/4;
bottomprovided reinforcement = (x(11)*3.14*x(7)*x(7))/4;
totalsteel= (topprovidedreinforcement+bottomprovidedreinforcement);
areaofconcrete= (area-totalsteel);
minimumsteelarea= (0.10*totalload*1000)/(0.87*x(4));
maximumsteel= (0.04*areaofconcrete);
requirequiredsheardiaonearea= (3.14*x(8)*x(8))/4;
requiredsheardiatwoarea= (3.14 \times (7) \times (7))/4;
requiredspacingone= 20*x(8);
requiredspacingtwo= 20*x(7);
requiredspacingthree= x(1);
%requiredsheardia= max(max(requiredsheardiaone), requiredsheardiatwo);
%requiredspacing= min(min(requiredspacingone,requiredspacingtwo), 
requiredspacingthree);
%clear requiredsheardiaone;
%clear requiredsheardiatwo;
%clear requiredspacingone;
%clear requiredspacingtwo;
%clear requiredspacingthree;
providedshearreinforcementdiaarea=(3.14*x(10)*x(10))/4;
providedspacing= x(13);
providedshearreinforcement= providedshearreinforcementdiaarea* 🖌
(length/providedspacing);
absolutetotalsteel= ⊻
topprovidedreinforcement+bottomprovidedreinforcement+providedshearreinforcement;
Cineq = [20-e,eone-e,etwo-e,ethree-e,topreinforcement-topprovidedreinforcement,
bottomreinforcement-bottomprovidedreinforcement,(0.002*areaofconcrete)- 
minimumsteelarea,totalsteel-maximumsteel,lambda-lambdalimit, 🖌
requirequiredsheardiaonearea-providedshearreinforcementdiaarea, 🖌
requiredsheardiatwoarea-providedshearreinforcementdiaarea, providedspacing-
requiredspacingone, provided spacing-required spacing two, provided spacing- 🖌
requiredspacingthree];
Ceq = [];
```

```
end
```

Code 5: MATLAB code for column constraints (Mathworks, 1984)

```
function x = udhamcolumnmapvariables(x)
allX1 = ⊭
[200,225,250,275,300,325,350,375,400,425,450,475,500,525,550,575,600,625,650,675,70 k
0]; %cloumn breadth
allX2 = ⊭
[200, 225, 250, 275, 300, 325, 350, 375, 400, 425, 450, 475, 500, 525, 550, 575, 600, 625, 650, 675, 70
01;
     %column depth
allX3 = [20,25,35,40,45,50]; %concrete strength
allX4 = [500,550,600];
                         %steel strength
allX5 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % diameter of bottom reinforcment
allX6 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % diameter of top reinforcment
allX7 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; %provided diameter of bottom reinforcment
allX8 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; %provided diameter of top reinforcment
allX9 = [6,8,10,12,14]; %diameter of shear links
allX10 = [6,8,10,12,14]; % provided diameter of shear links
allX11 = [2,3,4,5,6]; %number of bottom reinforcement bars
allX12 = [2,3,4,5,6]; %%number of top reinforcement bars
allX13 = ∠
[75,80,85,90,95,100,105,110,115,120,125,130,135,140,145,150,155,160,165,170,175,180,185,190,195,200,205,210,215,220,225,230,235,240,245,250,255,260,265,270,275,280,28
5,290,295,300,305,310,315,320,325,330,335,340,345,350,355,360,365,370,375,380,385,3
90,395,400,405,410,415,420,425,430,435,440,445,450,455,460,465,470,475,480,485,490,
495,500,505,510,515,520,525,530,535,540,545,550,555,560,565,570,575,580,585,590,595
,600,605,610,615,620,625,630,635,640,645,650,655,660,665,670,675,680,685,690,695,70
                                                                                           4
0,705,710,715,720,725,730,735,740,745,750,755,760,765,770,775,780,785,790,795,800];
%spacing
allX14 = ⊭
[150,155,160,165,170,175,180,185,190,195,200,205,210,215,220,225,230,235,240,245,25 ¥
0]; %depth of neutral axis
allx15 = [6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24];
x(1) = all X1(x(1));
x(2) = all X2(x(2));
x(3) = all X3(x(3));
x(4) = all X4(x(4));
x(5) = all X5(x(5));
x(6) = all X6(x(6));
x(7) = all X7(x(7));
x(8) = all X8(x(8));
x(9) = all X 9 (x(9));
x(10) = all X10(x(10));
x(11) = allX11(x(11));
x(12) = all X12(x(12));
x(13) = all X13(x(13));
x(14) = all X14(x(14));
x(15) = all X15(x(15));
end
```

Code 6: MATLAB code for column variables (Mathworks, 1984)

One way slab problem formulation codes:

```
function x = onewayslabmapvariables(x)
allX1 = [125,150,175,200,225,250,275,300,325,350,375,400]; %Depth of the slab
allX2 = [20,25,35,40,45,50]; %concrete strength
allX3 = [400,420,450,500]; %steel strength
allX4 = [10,12,14,16]; %provided diameter of bottom/tensile reinforcment
allX5 = [10,12,14,16]; %provided diameter of transverse reinforcment
allX6 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; %number of bottom/tensile reinforcement bars
allX7 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; %%number of transverse reinforcement bars
x(1) = allX1(x(1));
x(2) = all X2(x(2));
x(3) = allX3(x(3));
x(4) = allX4(x(4));
x(5) = allX5(x(5));
x(6) = allX6(x(6));
x(7) = all X7(x(7));
end
```



```
function y = onewayslab(x)
```

```
x = onewayslabmapvariables(x);
concretecost=(110/100000000); % cost of concrete per mm3
steelcost=(0.8); % cost of steel per Kgs
formworkcost=(6/1000000); % cost of formwork per m2
concretecarbon=(338/100000000); % embodied carbon emission of concrete per mm3
steelcarbon=(0.87); % embodied carbon emission of steel per mm2
formworkcarbon=(0.79/100000); % embodied carbon emission of formwork per mm3
% Loading
density = 24; % concrete in KN/m2
finishingload = 1; %load in KN/m2
slabweight = x(1)*density/1000;
totaldeadload = slabweight+finishingload;
liveload = 3; % in KN/m2
ultimateload = (1.35*totaldeadload)+(1.5*liveload); % in KN/m2
% Moment and Shear Force
lengthinx = 5; % in m
lengthiny = 10; % in m
moment = (ultimateload*lengthinx*lengthinx)/8; %in KN-m
shearforce = (ultimateload*lengthinx)/2; % in KN
% Effective Depth
assumedbardia = 10; %in mm
cover = 25; % in mm
effectivedepth = x(1)-cover-assumedbardia/2; % in mm
% Flexure
breadth = 1000; % in mm
k = (moment*1000000)/(breadth*effectivedepth*effectivedepth*x(2));
kdash = 0.168;
leverarm = 0.5*effectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*k))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarm = 0.95*effectivedepth;
 if leverarm <= maxleverarm</pre>
     z = leverarm;
 else
     z = maxleverarm;
 end
requiredsteel = (moment*1000000)/(0.87*x(3)*z); % Tensile steel
minimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(2)^(2/3)*breadth*effectivedepth)/x(3);
transverserequiredsteel = minimumsteel*0.2;
providedsteel = x(6)*3.14*x(4)*x(4)/4;
maximumsteel = 0.04*(breadth*x(1)-providedsteel);
% Shear Check
row = requiredsteel/(breadth*effectivedepth):
k1 = 1+((200/effectivedepth)^(1/2));
if k1>2
   k1=2;
 else
   k1= 1+ ((200/effectivedepth)^(1/2));
 end
resistanceshear = (0.12*k1*((100*row*x(2))^(1/3))/1000)*breadth*effectivedepth;
% Deflection
k2 = 1; % for one way solid slab
rowzero = (x(2)^{(1/2)})/1000;
rowone = requiredsteel/(breadth*effectivedepth);
rowtwo = 0;
if rowone<=rowzero
   spantodepthratio = k2^{(11+(1.5^{((x(2)^{(1/2)})*(rowzero/rowone)))+(3.2^{(x(2)^{(1/2)})*(((rowzero/rowone)-1)^{(3/2)}))};
 else
   spantodepthratio = k2*(11+(1.5*((x(2)^(1/2))*(rowzero/(rowone-rowtwo))))+((1/12)*(x(2)^(1/2))*((rowtwo/rowzero)^(1/2))));
 end
f1 = 1;
f2 = 1; % span less than7m
f3 = (500*providedsteel)/(x(3)*requiredsteel);
basicspantodepthratio = spantodepthratio*f1*f2*f3;
actualspantodepthratio = lengthinx*1000/effectivedepth;
transversesteel = (x(7)^{*}3.14^{*}x(5)^{*}x(5))/4;
totalsteel = transversesteel+providedsteel; % is mm2 per m of breadth
% Objective function
y(1)=(((x(1)*breadth*10)-((providedsteel*10)+(transversesteel*5)))*lengthinx*1000*concretecost)+(((providedsteel*5000*10))
+(transversesteel*10000*5))*(7850/1000000000)*steelcost)+(lengthiny*lengthinx*1000000*formworkcost); %objective function for minimizing cost
%y(1)=(((x(1)*breadth*10)-((providedsteel*10)+(transversesteel*5)))*lengthinx*1000*concretecarbon)+(((providedsteel*5000*10)
+(transversesteel*10000*5))*(7850/1000000000)*steelcarbon)+(lengthiny*lengthinx*1000000*formworkcarbon); %objectie function for minimizing carbon
end
```

Code 8: MATLAB code for one way slab (Mathworks, 1984)

```
x = onewayslabmapvariables(x);
concretecost=(110/100000000); % cost of concrete per mm3
steelcost=(0.8); % cost of steel per Kgs
formworkcost=(6/1000000); % cost of formwork per m2
concretecarbon=(338/100000000); % embodied carbon emission of concrete per mm3
steelcarbon=(0.87); % embodied carbon emission of steel per mm2
formworkcarbon=(0.79/100000); % embodied carbon emission of formwork per mm3
% Loading
density = 24; % concrete in KN/m2
finishingload = 1; %load in KN/m2
slabweight = x(1)*density/1000
totaldeadload = slabweight+finishingload;
liveload = 3; % in KN/m2
ultimateload = (1.35*totaldeadload)+(1.5*liveload); % in KN/m2
% Moment and Shear Force
lengthinx = 5; % in m
lengthiny = 10; % in m
moment = (ultimateload*lengthinx*lengthinx)/8; %in KN-m
shearforce = ultimateload*lengthinx/2; % in KN
% Effective Depth
assumedbardia = 10; %in mm
cover = 25; % in mm
effectivedepth= x(1)-cover-(assumedbardia/2); % in mm
axisdistance = cover+assumedbardia/2;
% Flexure
breadth = 1000; % in mm
k = (moment*1000000)/(breadth*effectivedepth*effectivedepth*x(2));
kdash = 0.168;
leverarm = 0.5*effectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*k))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarm = 0.95*effectivedepth;
 if leverarm <= maxleverarm</pre>
    z = leverarm;
 else
    z = maxleverarm;
 end
requiredsteel = (moment*1000000)/(0.87*x(3)*z); % Tensile steel
minimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(2)^(2/3)*breadth*effectivedepth)/x(3);
transverserequiredsteel = minimumsteel*0.2;
providedsteel = x(6)*3.14*x(4)*x(4)/4;
maxspacingmain = 1000/x(6);
maximumsteel = 0.04*(breadth*x(1)-providedsteel);
% Shear Check
row = requiredsteel/(breadth*effectivedepth);
k1 = 1+((200/effectivedepth)^(1/2));
```

resistanceshear = (0.12\*k1\*((100\*row\*x(2))^(1/3))/1000)\*breadth\*effectivedepth;

function [Cineq,Ceq] = onewayslabcon(x)

if k1>2 k1=2;

k1= 1+ ((200/effectivedepth)^(1/2));

else

end

```
% Deflection
k2 = 1; % for one way solid slab
rowzero = (x(2)^{(1/2)})/1000;
rowone = requiredsteel/(breadth*effectivedepth);
rowtwo = 0;
if rowone<=rowzero
    spantodepthratio = k2*(11+(1.5*((x(2)^{(1/2)})*(rowzero/rowone)))+(3.2*(x(2)^{(1/2)})*(((rowzero/rowone)-1)^{(3/2)})));
 else
    spantodepthratio = k2*(11+(1.5*((x(2)^{(1/2)})*(rowzero/(rowone-rowtwo))))+((1/12)*(x(2)^{(1/2)})*((rowtwo/rowzero)^{(1/2)}));
 end
f1 = 1;
f2 = 1; % span less than7m
f3 = (500*providedsteel)/(x(3)*requiredsteel);
basicspantodepthratio = spantodepthratio*f1*f2*f3;
actualspantodepthratio = lengthinx*1000/effectivedepth;
transversesteel = x(7)*3.14*x(5)*x(5)/4;
maxspacingtransverse = 1000/x(7);
totalsteel = transversesteel+providedsteel; % is mm2 per m of breadth
```

```
% Spacing
```

```
if x(1)<=200
    maxspacing = 3*x(1);
    if maxspacing>400
        maxspacing = 400;
    else
        maxspacing = 3*x(1);
    end
else
    fs = (x(3)/1.15)*((totaldeadload+0.3*liveload)/(ultimateload))*(requiredsteel/providedsteel);
    if fs<=160
        maxspacing = 300;
    elseif 160<fs <= 200
        maxspacing = 250;
    elseif 200<fs<=240
        maxspacing = 200;
    elseif 240<fs<=280
        maxspacing = 150;
    elseif 280<fs<=320
        maxspacing = 100;
    elseif fs>320
        maxspacing = 50;
    end
end
% Constraints
Cineq = [80-x(1),20-axisdistance,k-kdash,requiredsteel-providedsteel,minimumsteel-requiredsteel,
    providedsteel-maximumsteel, row-0.02, shearforce-resistanceshear, f3-1.5, 1-f3,
    actualspantodepthratio-basicspantodepthratio,transverserequiredsteel-transversesteel,k1-2,
    170-effectivedepth, maxspacingtransverse-maxspacing, maxspacingmain-maxspacing];
Ceq = [];
end
```

Code 9: MATLAB code for one way slab constraints (Mathworks, 1984)

#### Ribbed slab problem formulation codes:

```
function x = ribbedslabmapvariables(x)
allX1 = [125,150,175,200,225,250,275,300,325,350,375,400]; %Depth of the slab
allX2 = [20,25,35,40,45,50]; %concrete strength
allX3 = [500,550,600]; %steel strength
allX4 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; %provided diameter of bottom/tensile reinforcment
allX5 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; %provided diameter of transverse reinforcment
allX6 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; %number of bottom/tensile reinforcement bars
allX7 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; %%number of transverse reinforcement bars
allX8 = [100,125,150,175,200,225,250]; % Rib breadth
all x9 = [300, 350, 400, 450, 500, 550, 600, 650, 700, 750, 800, 850, 900, 950, 1000, 1050, 1100, 1150, 1200, 1250, 1300, 1350, 1400, 1450, 1500];
allX10 = [50,60,70,80,90,100]; % top depth
allX11 = [98,142,193,252,393]; % top steel mesh area
x(1) = all X1(x(1));
x(2) = allX2(x(2));
x(3) = allX3(x(3));
x(4) = allX4(x(4));
x(5) = all X5(x(5));
x(6) = all X6(x(6));
x(7) = all X7(x(7));
x(8) = all X8(x(8));
x(9) = all X9(x(9));
x(10) = all X10(x(10));
x(11) = all X11(x(11));
end
```



```
function y = ribbedslab(x)
x = ribbedslabmapvariables(x)
% Cost and Carbon data
concretecost=(110/100000000); % cost of concrete per mm3
steelcost=(0.8); % cost of steel per Kgs
formworkcost=(6/1000000); % cost of formwork per m2
concretecarbon=(338/100000000); % embodied carbon emission of concrete per mm3
steelcarbon=(0.87); % embodied carbon emission of steel per mm2
formworkcarbon=0.79; % embodied carbon emission of formwork per mm3
% Loading
density = 24; % concrete in KN/m2
finishingload = (1.2*x(9))/1000; %load in KN/m
ribweight = (x(8)*x(1)*25)/1000000; %load in KN/m
topweight = (x(10)*density*x(9))/1000000; %load in KN/m
claypot = 0.7; %load in KN/m
partitionload = (1.5*x(9))/1000; %load in KN/m
totaldeadload = finishingload+ribweight+topweight+claypot+partitionload; %load in KN/m
liveload = (2.5*x(9))/1000; % in KN/m
ultimateload = (1.35*totaldeadload)+(1.5*liveload); % in KN/m
% Moment and Shear Force
lengthinx = 5; % in m
lengthiny = 7; % in m
moment = (ultimateload*lengthinx*lengthinx)/8; %in KN-m
shearforce = (ultimateload*lengthinx)/2; % in KN
```

```
% Effective breadth
b1 = (x(9)-x(8))/2;
lo = 0.85*lengthinx*1000;
effectivebreadth1 = (0.2*b1)+(0.1*lo);
effectivebreadth2 = 0.2*lo;
effectivebreadth3 = b1;
bmin = [effectivebreadth1,effectivebreadth2,effectivebreadth3];
effectivebreadth = min(bmin);
b = x(8)+effectivebreadth+effectivebreadth;
% Effective Depth
assumedbardia = 10; %in mm
assumedbardialink = 8; %in mm
cover = 25; % in mm
effectivedepth = x(1)-cover-(assumedbardia/2)-assumedbardialink; % in mm
% Flexure
k = (moment*1000000)/(b*effectivedepth*effectivedepth*x(2));
kdash = 0.168;
leverarm = 0.5*effectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*k))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarm = 0.95*effectivedepth;
 if leverarm <= maxleverarm</pre>
    z = leverarm;
 else
    z = maxleverarm;
 end
neutralaxisdepth = 2.5*(effectivedepth-z);
requiredsteel = (moment*1000000)/(0.87*x(3)*z);
minimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(2)^(2/3)*b*effectivedepth)/x(3);
provided teel = x(6)*3.14*x(4)*x(4)/4,
maximumsteel = 0.04*(b*x(1)-providedsteel);
% Top Mesh
toparea = (0.13*1000*x(10))/100, % mm2/m
topprovidedarea = x(11),
% Shear Check
row = requiredsteel/(x(8)*effectivedepth);
k1 = 1+((200/effectivedepth)^(1/2));
if k1>2
   k1=2;
 else
   k1= 1+ ((200/effectivedepth)^(1/2));
```

```
% Deflection
k2 = 1; % for one way solid slab
rowzero = (x(2)^{(1/2)})/1000;
rowone = requiredsteel/(x(9)*effectivedepth);
rowtwo = 0;
if rowone<=rowzero
   spantodepthratio = k2*(11+(1.5*((x(2)^(1/2))*(rowzero/rowone)))+(3.2*(x(2)^(1/2))
   *(((rowzero/rowone)-1)^(3/2))));
else
   (x(2)^(1/2))*((rowtwo/rowzero)^(1/2))));
end
f1 = 1;
f2 = 1; % span less than7m
f3 = (500*providedsteel)/(x(3)*requiredsteel);
basicspantodepthratio = spantodepthratio*f1*f2*f3;
actualspantodepthratio = (lengthinx*1000)/effectivedepth;
```

resistanceshear = ((0.12\*k1\*((100\*row\*x(2))^(1/3))/1000)\*x(8)\*effectivedepth),

end

#### % Areas

```
mesharea = (topprovidedarea*lengthinx)+(topprovidedarea*lengthiny);
reinforcementarea = providedsteel*lengthinx*(lengthiny*1000/x(9));
totalsteel = mesharea+reinforcementarea;
concreteareatop = ((lengthinx*lengthiny*1000000)-mesharea)*x(10);
concretearearib = ((x(8)*lengthinx*1000*(lengthiny*1000/x(9)))-reinforcementarea)*(x(1)-x(10));
totalconcrete = concreteareatop+concretearearib;
formworkarea = ((x(8)+(2*(x(1)-x(10))))*(lengthiny*1000/x(9)))+(((lengthiny*1000)-
((lengthiny*1000/x(9))*x(8)))*(lengthinx*1000));
formworkareacarbon = (((x(8)+(2*(x(1)-x(10))))*(lengthiny*1000/x(9)))*(x(1)-x(10)))
+((((lengthiny*1000)-((lengthiny*1000/x(9))*x(8)))*(lengthinx*1000))*x(8));
% Objective Function
y(1)=(totalconcrete*concretecost)+(totalsteel*(7850/1000000)*steelcost)+
(formworkarea*formworkcost); %objective function for minimizing cost
y(1)=(totalconcrete*concretecarbon)+(totalsteel*(7850/1000000)*steelcarbon)+
((((formworkareacarbon/100000000)*2710*formworkcarbon)/300)); %objectie function for minimizing carbon
end
```

### Code 11: Main code for ribbed slab (Mathworks, 1984)

```
function [x, fval, exitflag, output, population, score] = untitled(nvars, lb, ub, intcon)
%% This is an auto generated MATLAB file from Optimization Tool.
%% Start with the default options
options = optimoptions('ga');
%% Modify options setting
options = optimoptions(options, 'Display', 'off');
[x, fval, exitflag, output, population, score] = ...
```

ga(@ribbedslab,nvars,[],[],[],[],lb,ub,@ribbedslabcon,intcon,options);

Code 12: Genetic algorithm function (Mathworks, 1984)

```
function [Cineq,Ceq] = ribbedslabcon(x)
x = ribbedslabmapvariables(x);
% Cost and Carbon data
concretecost=(110/100000000); % cost of concrete per mm3
steelcost=(0.8); % cost of steel per Kgs
formworkcost=(6/1000000); % cost of formwork per m2
concretecarbon=(338/100000000); % embodied carbon emission of concrete per mm3
steelcarbon=(0.87); % embodied carbon emission of steel per mm2
formworkcarbon=0.79; % embodied carbon emission of formwork per mm3
% Loading
density = 24; % concrete in KN/m2
finishingload = (1.2*x(9))/1000; %load in KN/m
ribweight = (x(8)*x(1)*25)/1000000; %load in KN/m
topweight = (x(10)*density*x(9))/1000000; %load in KN/m
claypot = 0.7; %load in KN/m
partitionload = (1.5*x(9))/1000; %load in KN/m
totaldeadload = finishingload+ribweight+topweight+claypot+partitionload; %load in KN/m
liveload = (2.5*x(9))/1000; % in KN/m
ultimateload = (1.35*totaldeadload)+(1.5*liveload); % in KN/m
```

```
% Moment and Shear Force
lengthinx = 5; % in m
lengthiny = 7; % in m
moment = (ultimateload*lengthinx*lengthinx)/8; %in KN-m
shearforce = (ultimateload*lengthinx)/2; % in KN
```

```
% Effective breadth
b1 = (x(9)-x(8))/2;
lo = 0.85*lengthinx*1000;
effectivebreadth1 = (0.2*b1)+(0.1*lo);
effectivebreadth2 = 0.2*lo;
effectivebreadth3 = b1;
bmin = [effectivebreadth1,effectivebreadth2,effectivebreadth3];
effectivebreadth = min(bmin);
b = x(8)+effectivebreadth+effectivebreadth;
% Effective Depth
assumedbardia = 10; %in mm
assumedbardialink = 8; %in mm
cover = 25; % in mm
effectivedepth = x(1)-cover-(assumedbardia/2)-assumedbardialink; % in mm
axisdistance = cover+assumedbardia/2;
% Flexure
k = (moment*1000000)/(b*effectivedepth*effectivedepth*x(2));
kdash = 0.168;
leverarm = 0.5*effectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*k))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarm = 0.95*effectivedepth;
 if leverarm <= maxleverarm</pre>
    z = leverarm;
 else
   z = maxleverarm;
 end
neutralaxisdepth = 2.5*(effectivedepth-z);
requiredneutralaxis = 1.25*x(10);
requiredsteel = (moment*1000000)/(0.87*x(3)*z); % Tensile steel
minimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(2)^(2/3)*b*effectivedepth)/x(3);
providedsteel = x(6)*3.14*x(4)*x(4)/4;
maximumsteel = 0.04*(b*x(1)-providedsteel);
```

```
% Top Mesh
toparea = (0.13*1000*x(10))/100; % mm2/m
topprovidedarea = x(11);
totaltoparea = (topprovidedarea*lengthinx)+(topprovidedarea*lengthiny);
% Shear Check
row = requiredsteel/(x(8)*effectivedepth);
k1 = 1+((200/effectivedepth)^(1/2));
if k1>2
    k1=2;
else
    k1= 1+ ((200/effectivedepth)^(1/2));
end
resistanceshear = ((0.12*k1*((100*row*x(2))^(1/3))/1000)*x(8)*effectivedepth);
```

```
% Deflection
k2 = 1; % for one way solid slab
rowzero = (x(2)^{(1/2)})/1000;
rowone = requiredsteel/(x(9)*effectivedepth);
rowtwo = 0;
if rowone<=rowzero
    spantodepthratio = k2*(11+(1.5*((x(2)^{(1/2)})*(rowzero/rowone)))+(3.2*(x(2)^{(1/2)}))
    *(((rowzero/rowone)-1)^(3/2))));
 else
    spantodepthratio = k^2(11+(1.5^*((x(2)^{(1/2)})^*(rowzero/(rowone-rowtwo))))+((1/12))
    *(x(2)^(1/2))*((rowtwo/rowzero)^(1/2))));
 end
f1 = 1;
f2 = 1; % span less than7m
f3 = (500*providedsteel)/(x(3)*requiredsteel);
basicspantodepthratio = spantodepthratio*f1*f2*f3;
actualspantodepthratio = (lengthinx*1000)/effectivedepth;
ribdepth = x(1) - x(10);
allowableribdepth = 4*x(8);
flangedepth1 = 50;
flangedepth2 = x(9)/10;
flangedepth = [flangedepth1,flangedepth2];
allowableflangedepth = max(flangedepth);
% Constraints
Cineq = [100-x(8),ribdepth-allowableribdepth,allowableflangedepth-x(10),25-axisdistance
    ,k-kdash,neutralaxisdepth-requiredneutralaxis,requiredsteel-providedsteel,
    minimumsteel-requiredsteel, providedsteel-maximumsteel, toparea-topprovidedarea,
    row-0.02, shearforce-resistanceshear, rowone-rowzero, f3-1.5, 1-f3,
    actualspantodepthratio-basicspantodepthratio,k1-2];
Ceq = [];
end
```

Code 14: Constraints code for ribbed slab (Mathworks, 1984)

### Flat slab problem formulation codes:

function x = flatslabmapvariables(x) allX1 = [125,150,175,200,225,250,275,300,325,350,375,400]; %Depth of the slab allX2 = [80,85,90,95,100,105,110,115,120,125,130,135,140,145,150]; %Depth of the drop panel allX3 = [2200,2300,2400,2500,2600,2700,2800,2900,3000,3100,3200]; % dimension of drop panel allX4 = [1000,1100,1200,1300,1400,1500]; % dimension of column head allX5 = [20,25,35,40,45,50]; %concrete strength allX6 = [500,550,600]; %steel strength allX7 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; %provided diameter at middle strip of center allX8 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % Number of bars at middle strip of center allX9 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; %provided diameter at column strip of center allX10 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % Number of bars at column strip of center allX11 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; %provided diameter at middle strip of interior span allX12 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % Number of bars at middle strip of interior span allX13 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; %provided diameter at column strip of interior span allX14 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % Number of bars at column strip of interior span x(1) = allX1(x(1));x(2) = all X2(x(2));x(3) = all X3(x(3));x(4) = allX4(x(4));x(5) = all X5(x(5));x(6) = allX6(x(6)); x(7) = allX7(x(7)); x(8) = allX8(x(8)); x(9) = all X9(x(9));x(10) = all X10(x(10));x(11) = allX11(x(11)); x(12) = allX12(x(12)); x(13) = allX13(x(13)); x(14) = allX14(x(14)); end



```
function y = flatslab(x)
x = flatslabmapvariables(x)
% Cost and Carbon data
concretecost=(110/100000000); % cost of concrete per mm3
steelcost=(0.8); % cost of steel per Kgs
formworkcost=(6/1000000); % cost of formwork per m2
concretecarbon=(338/100000000); % embodied carbon emission of concrete per mm3
steelcarbon=(0.87); % embodied carbon emission of steel per mm2
formworkcarbon=0.79; % embodied carbon emission of formwork per mm3
% Loading
density = 25; % concrete in KN/m2
slabweight = (x(1)*density)/1000;
droppanelweight = (x(2)*density)/1000;
finishingload = 1; %load in KN/m2
totaldeadload = slabweight+droppanelweight+finishingload; %load in KN/m
liveload = 5; % in KN/m
1x = 6.5;
1y = 6.5;
bayarea = lx*ly;
loadratio = totaldeadload/liveload;
ultimateload = (1.35*totaldeadload)+(1.5*liveload); % in KN/m
f = ultimateload*lx*lx;
% Effective depth and Span
cover = 25;
assumedbardia = 10; %in mm
spaneffectivedepth = x(1)-cover-(assumedbardia/2); % in mm
supporteffectivedepth = spaneffectivedepth+x(2)
effectivespan = (lx-(x(4)/1000))+(supporteffectivedepth/1000);
columnstrip = x(3)/1000;
middlestrip = lx-columnstrip
% Flexure reinforcement in X-direction
% At center of interior span
momentatcenter = 0.0063*effectivespan*f;
cmmoment = (0.45*middlestrip/(lx/2))*momentatcenter;
ccmoment = momentatcenter-cmmoment;
% At middle strip in the center of interior span
kcm = (cmmoment*1000000)/(middlestrip*1000*spaneffectivedepth
*spaneffectivedepth*x(5));
kdashcm = 0.168;
leverarmcm = 0.5*spaneffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*kcm))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarmcm = 0.95*spaneffectivedepth;
if leverarmcm<= maxleverarmcm</pre>
   zcm = leverarmcm;
 else
   zcm = maxleverarmcm;
 end
cmrequiredsteel = (cmmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(6)*zcm);
cmminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(5)^(2/3)*middlestrip*1000*spaneffectivedepth)/x(6);
cmprovidedsteel = x(8)*3.14*x(7)*x(7)/4;
cmmaximumsteel = 0.04*(middlestrip*1000*x(1)-cmprovidedsteel);
% At column strip in the center of interior span
kcc = (ccmoment*1000000)/(columnstrip*1000*supporteffectivedepth*supporteffectivedepth*x(5));
kdashcc = 0.168;
leverarmcc = 0.5*supporteffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*kcc))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarmcc = 0.95*supporteffectivedepth;
if leverarmcc<= maxleverarmcc</pre>
   zcc = leverarmcc;
 else
   zcc = maxleverarmcc:
 end
ccrequiredsteel = (ccmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(6)*zcc);
ccminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(5)^(2/3)*columnstrip*1000*supporteffectivedepth)/x(6);
ccprovidedsteel = x(10)*3.14*x(9)*x(9)/4;
ccmaximumsteel = 0.04*(columnstrip*1000*x(1)-ccprovidedsteel);
```

```
% At interior span
momentatinterior = 0.0063*effectivespan*f;
immoment = (0.25*middlestrip/(lx/2))*momentatcenter;
icmoment = momentatinterior-immoment;
% At middle strip in the interior span
kim = (immoment*1000000)/(middlestrip*1000*spaneffectivedepth*spaneffectivedepth*x(5));
kdashim = 0.168;
leverarmim = 0.5*spaneffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*kim))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarmim = 0.95*spaneffectivedepth;
 if leverarmim<= maxleverarmim</pre>
       zim = leverarmim;
  else
       zim = maxleverarmim;
  end
imrequiredsteel = (immoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(6)*zim);
imminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(5)^(2/3)*middlestrip*1000*spaneffectivedepth)/x(6);
improvidedsteel = x(12)*3.14*x(11)*x(11)/4;
immaximumsteel = 0.04*(middlestrip*1000*x(1)-improvidedsteel);
% At middle strip in the interior span
kic = (icmoment*1000000)/(columnstrip*1000*supporteffectivedepth*supporteffectivedepth*x(5));
kdashic = 0.168;
leverarmic = 0.5*supporteffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*kic))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarmic = 0.95*supporteffectivedepth;
 if leverarmic<= maxleverarmic</pre>
       zic = leverarmic;
  else
       zic = maxleverarmic;
  end
icrequiredsteel = (icmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(6)*zic);
icminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(5)^(2/3)*columnstrip*1000*supporteffectivedepth)/x(6);
icprovidedsteel = x(14)*3.14*x(13)*x(13)/4;
icmaximumsteel = 0.04*(columnstrip*1000*x(1)-icprovidedsteel);
% Punching Shear
% At Column Head
beta = 1.15;
uo = 3.14*x(4);
chshear = f-(3.14*(x(4)/1000)*(x(4)/1000)*ultimateload);
cheffectiveshear = 1.15*chshear;
\label{eq:chmaxresistanceshear = 0.5*uo*supporteffectivedepth*(0.6*(1-(x(5)/250)))*(x(5)/1.5)*(1/1000);
% At Control Perimeter
cpsectiondia = (x(4)/1000)+(4*supporteffectivedepth/1000);
u1 = 3.14*cpsectiondia*1000;
cpshear = f-(3.14*cpsectiondia*cpsectiondia*ultimateload/4);
cpeffectiveshear = 1.15*cpshear;
cprow = (icprovidedsteel/(supporteffectivedepth*x(3)))
cpk = 1+((200/supporteffectivedepth)^0.5)
cpresistanceshear = (0.12*cpk*((100*cprow*x(5))^{(1/3)}))*u1*supporteffectivedepth/1000)
% At Drop Panel
dpperimeter = 2*spaneffectivedepth
dpu = (2*x(3))+(2*x(3))+(2*3.14*2*dpperimeter)
dparea = (((x(3)/1000)+(4*spaneffectivedepth/1000))^{(2)}) - ((4-3.14)*((2*spaneffectivedepth/1000)^{(2)})) + ((4-3.14)*((2*spaneffectivedepth/1000)^{(2)})) + ((4-3.14)*((2*spaneffectivedepth/1000))^{(2)}) + ((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*((4-3.14)*(
dpshear = f-(dparea*ultimateload)
dpeffectiveshear = 1.15*dpshear
dprow = (icprovidedsteel/(spaneffectivedepth*x(3)))
dpk = 1+((200/spaneffectivedepth)^0.5)
dpresistanceshear = (0.12*dpk*((100*dprow*x(5))^(1/3)))*dpu*spaneffectivedepth/1000
```

```
% Deflection Check
% At Middle Strip
msk = 1.2; % for one way solid slab
msrowzero = (x(5)^{(1/2)})/1000;
msrowone = cmrequiredsteel/(middlestrip*spaneffectivedepth);
msrowtwo = imrequiredsteel/(middlestrip*spaneffectivedepth);
 if msrowone<=msrowzero
    msspantodepthratio = msk^*(11+(1.5^*((x(5)^{(1/2)})
    *(msrowzero/msrowone)))+(3.2*(x(5)^(1/2))*(((msrowzero/msrowone)-1)^(3/2))));
     csf3 = (500*cmprovidedsteel)/(x(6)*cmrequiredsteel);
 else
    msspantodepthratio = msk^*(11+(1.5^*((x(5)^{(1/2)})
    *(msrowzero/(msrowone-msrowtwo))))+((1/12)*(x(5)^(1/2))*((msrowtwo/msrowzero)^(1/2))));
    csf3 = (500*improvidedsteel)/(x(6)*imrequiredsteel);
 end
msf1 = 1:
msf2 = 1; % span less than7m
msf3 = (500*cmprovidedsteel)/(x(6)*cmrequiredsteel);
msbasicspantodepthratio = msspantodepthratio*msf1*msf2*msf3;
msactualspantodepthratio = (lx*1000)/spaneffectivedepth;
% At Column Strip
csk = 1.2; % for one way solid slab
csrowzero = (x(5)^{(1/2)})/1000;
csrowone = ccrequiredsteel/(columnstrip*supporteffectivedepth);
csrowtwo = icrequiredsteel/(columnstrip*supporteffectivedepth);
if csrowone<=csrowzero
   csspantodepthratio = csk*(11+(1.5*((x(5)^{(1/2)})*(csrowzero/csrowone)))+(3.2*(x(5)^{(1/2)}))
    *(((csrowzero/csrowone)-1)^(3/2)));
   csf3 = (500*ccprovidedsteel)/(x(6)*ccrequiredsteel);
 else
    \label{eq:csspantodepthratio} \texttt{csk}*(11+(1.5*((\texttt{x}(5)^{(1/2)})*(\texttt{csrowzero}/(\texttt{csrowone-csrowtwo})))))
    +((1/12)*(x(5)^(1/2))*((csrowtwo/csrowzero)^(1/2))));
   csf3 = (500*icprovidedsteel)/(x(6)*icrequiredsteel);
end
csf1 = 1;
csf2 = 1; % span less than7m
csbasicspantodepthratio = csspantodepthratio*csf1*csf2*csf3;
csactualspantodepthratio = (lx*1000)/supporteffectivedepth;
% Total Area
steelarea = cmprovidedsteel+ccprovidedsteel+improvidedsteel+icprovidedsteel
concrete = (((lx*1000)*(ly*1000))-steelarea)*x(1)
formwork = (1x*1000)*(1y*1000)
steel = ((cmprovidedsteel+improvidedsteel)*middlestrip)+((ccprovidedsteel+icprovidedsteel)*columnstrip)
% Objective Function
y(1)= 2*((concrete*concretecost)+(steel*(7850/1000000)*steelcost)
+(formwork*formworkcost)) %objective function for minimizing cost
y(1)= 2*((concrete*concretecarbon)+(steel*(7850/1000000)*steelcarbon)
+((((formwork/100000000)*2710*x(1)*formworkcarbon)/300))) %objectie function for minimizing carbon
```

```
end
```

Code 16: Main code for flat slab (Mathworks, 1984)

```
function [Cineq,Ceq] = flatslabcon(x)
x = flatslabmapvariables(x)
% Cost and Carbon data
concretecost=(110/100000000); % cost of concrete per mm3
steelcost=(0.8); % cost of steel per Kgs
formworkcost=(6/1000000); % cost of formwork per m2
concretecarbon=(338/100000000); % embodied carbon emission of concrete per mm3
steelcarbon=(0.87); % embodied carbon emission of steel per mm2
formworkcarbon=0.79: % embodied carbon emission of formwork per mm3
% Loading
density = 25; % concrete in KN/m2
slabweight = (x(1)*density)/1000;
droppanelweight = (x(2)*density)/1000;
finishingload = 1; %load in KN/m2
totaldeadload = slabweight+droppanelweight+finishingload; %load in KN/m
liveload = 5; % in KN/m
lx = 6.5;
ly = 6.5;
bayarea = lx*ly;
loadratio = totaldeadload/liveload;
ultimateload = (1.35*totaldeadload)+(1.5*liveload); % in KN/m
f = ultimateload*lx*lx:
% Effective depth and Span
cover = 25;
assumedbardia = 10; %in mm
linkdia = 8:
axisdistance = cover+(assumedbardia/2)+linkdia;
spaneffectivedepth = x(1)-cover-(assumedbardia/2); % in mm
supporteffectivedepth = spaneffectivedepth+x(2)
effectivespan = (lx-(x(4)/1000))+(supporteffectivedepth/1000);
requiredcolumnstrip = 1x/3;
columnstrip = x(3)/1000;
requiredmiddlestrip = 1x/2;
middlestrip = lx-columnstrip;
% At center of interior span
momentatcenter = 0.0063*effectivespan*f;
cmmoment = (0.45*middlestrip/(lx/2))*momentatcenter;
ccmoment = momentatcenter-cmmoment;
% At middle strip in the center of interior span
kcm = (cmmoment*1000000)/(middlestrip*1000*spaneffectivedepth
*spaneffectivedepth*x(5));
kdashcm = 0.168;
leverarmcm = 0.5*spaneffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*kcm))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarmcm = 0.95*spaneffectivedepth;
if leverarmcm<= maxleverarmcm</pre>
    zcm = leverarmcm:
 else
   zcm = maxleverarmcm;
 end
cmrequiredsteel = (cmmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(6)*zcm);
cmminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(5)^(2/3)*middlestrip*1000*spaneffectivedepth)/x(6);
cmprovidedsteel = x(8)*3.14*x(7)*x(7)/4;
cmmaximumsteel = 0.04*(middlestrip*1000*x(1)-cmprovidedsteel);
% At column strip in the center of interior span
kcc = (ccmoment*1000000)/(columnstrip*1000*supporteffectivedepth
*supporteffectivedepth*x(5));
kdashcc = 0.168;
leverarmcc = 0.5*supporteffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*kcc))^{(1/2)}); % in mm
maxleverarmcc = 0.95*supporteffectivedepth;
 if leverarmcc<= maxleverarmcc</pre>
   zcc = leverarmcc:
 else
   zcc = maxleverarmcc;
 end
ccrequiredsteel = (ccmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(6)*zcc);
ccminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(5)^(2/3)*columnstrip*1000
*supporteffectivedepth)/x(6);
ccprovidedsteel = x(10)*3.14*x(9)*x(9)/4;
ccmaximumsteel = 0.04*(columnstrip*1000*x(1)-ccprovidedsteel);
```

```
% At interior span
momentatinterior = 0.0063*effectivespan*f;
immoment = (0.25*middlestrip/(lx/2))*momentatcenter;
icmoment = momentatinterior-immoment;
% At middle strip in the interior span
kim = (immoment*1000000)/(middlestrip*1000*spaneffectivedepth
*spaneffectivedepth*x(5));
kdashim = 0.168;
leverarmim = 0.5*spaneffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*kim))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarmim = 0.95*spaneffectivedepth;
 if leverarmim<= maxleverarmim</pre>
    zim = leverarmim;
 else
    zim = maxleverarmim;
 end
imrequiredsteel = (immoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(6)*zim);
imminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(5)^(2/3)*middlestrip*1000
*spaneffectivedepth)/x(6);
improvidedsteel = x(12)*3.14*x(11)*x(11)/4;
immaximumsteel = 0.04*(middlestrip*1000*x(1)-improvidedsteel);
% At middle strip in the interior span
kic = (icmoment*1000000)/(columnstrip*1000*supporteffectivedepth
*supporteffectivedepth*x(5));
kdashic = 0.168;
leverarmic = 0.5*supporteffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*kic))^(1/2)); % in mm
maxleverarmic = 0.95*supporteffectivedepth;
 if leverarmic<= maxleverarmic
    zic = leverarmic;
 else
    zic = maxleverarmic;
 end
icrequiredsteel = (icmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(6)*zic);
icminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(5)^(2/3)*columnstrip*1000
*supporteffectivedepth)/x(6);
icprovidedsteel = x(14)*3.14*x(13)*x(13)/4;
icmaximumsteel = 0.04*(columnstrip*1000*x(1)-icprovidedsteel);
% Punching Shear
% At Column Head
uo = 3.14*x(4);
chshear = f-(3.14*(x(4)/1000)*(x(4)/1000)*ultimateload);
cheffectiveshear = 1.15*chshear;
chmaxresistanceshear = 0.5*uo*supporteffectivedepth
*(0.6*(1-(x(5)/250)))*(x(5)/1.5)*(1/1000);
% At Control Perimeter
cpsectiondia = (x(4)/1000)+(4*supporteffectivedepth/1000);
u1 = 3.14*cpsectiondia*1000;
cpshear = f-(3.14*cpsectiondia*cpsectiondia*ultimateload/4);
cpeffectiveshear = 1.15*cpshear;
cprow = (icprovidedsteel/(supporteffectivedepth*x(3)))
cpk = 1+((200/supporteffectivedepth)^0.5)
cpresistanceshear = (0.12*cpk*((100*cprow*x(5))^(1/3)))
*u1*supporteffectivedepth/1000
```

```
% At Drop Panel
dpperimeter = 2*spaneffectivedepth
dpu = (2*x(3))+(2*x(3))+(2*3.14*2*dpperimeter)
dparea = (((x(3)/1000)+(4*spaneffectivedepth/1000))^(2))-((4-3.14)
*((2*spaneffectivedepth/1000)^(2)))
dpshear = f-(dparea*ultimateload)
dpeffectiveshear = 1.15*dpshear
dprow = (icprovidedsteel/(spaneffectivedepth*x(3)))
dpk = 1+((200/spaneffectivedepth)^0.5)
dpresistanceshear = (0.12*dpk*((100*dprow*x(5))^(1/3)))
*dpu*spaneffectivedepth/1000
% Deflection Check
% At Middle Strip
msk = 1.2; % for one way solid slab
msrowzero = (x(5)^{(1/2)})/1000;
msrowone = cmrequiredsteel/(middlestrip*spaneffectivedepth);
msrowtwo = imrequiredsteel/(middlestrip*spaneffectivedepth);
 if msrowone<=msrowzero
    msspantodepthratio = msk*(11+(1.5*((x(5)^{(1/2)})
    *(msrowzero/msrowone)))+(3.2*(x(5)^(1/2))
    *(((msrowzero/msrowone)-1)^(3/2)));
     msf3 = (500*cmprovidedsteel)/(x(6)*cmrequiredsteel);
 else
    msspantodepthratio = msk*(11+(1.5*((x(5)^(1/2))
    *(msrowzero/(msrowone-msrowtwo))))+((1/12)*(x(5)^(1/2))
    *((msrowtwo/msrowzero)^(1/2)));
    msf3 = (500*improvidedsteel)/(x(6)*imrequiredsteel);
 end
msf1 = 1;
msf2 = 1; % span less than7m
msbasicspantodepthratio = msspantodepthratio*msf1*msf2*msf3;
msactualspantodepthratio = (lx*1000)/spaneffectivedepth;
% At Column Strip
csk = 1.2; % for one way solid slab
csrowzero = (x(5)^{(1/2)})/1000;
csrowone = ccrequiredsteel/(columnstrip*supporteffectivedepth);
csrowtwo = icrequiredsteel/(columnstrip*supporteffectivedepth);
 if csrowone<=csrowzero
   csspantodepthratio = csk^*(11+(1.5^*((x(5)^{(1/2)})
    *(csrowzero/csrowone)))+(3.2*(x(5)^(1/2))
    *(((csrowzero/csrowone)-1)^(3/2)));
    csf3 = (500*ccprovidedsteel)/(x(6)*ccrequiredsteel);
 else
   csspantodepthratio = csk^*(11+(1.5^*((x(5)^{(1/2)})
    *(csrowzero/(csrowone-csrowtwo))))+((1/12)*(x(5)^(1/2))
    *((csrowtwo/csrowzero)^(1/2)));
   csf3 = (500*icprovidedsteel)/(x(6)*icrequiredsteel);
 end
csf1 = 1;
csf2 = 1; % span less than7m
```

```
csbasicspantodepthratio = csspantodepthratio*csf1*csf2*csf3;
csactualspantodepthratio = (lx*1000)/supporteffectivedepth;
```

```
% Total Area
steelarea = cmprovidedsteel+ccprovidedsteel+improvidedsteel+icprovidedsteel
concrete = (((lx*1000)*(ly*1000))-steelarea)*x(1)
formwork = (lx*1000)*(ly*1000)
steel = ((cmprovidedsteel+improvidedsteel)*middlestrip)
+((ccprovidedsteel+icprovidedsteel)*columnstrip)
```
```
% Total Area
steelarea = cmprovidedsteel+ccprovidedsteel+improvidedsteel+icprovidedsteel
concrete = (((lx*1000)*(ly*1000))-steelarea)*x(1)
formwork = (1x*1000)*(1y*1000)
steel = ((cmprovidedsteel+improvidedsteel)*middlestrip)
+((ccprovidedsteel+icprovidedsteel)*columnstrip)
% Constraints function
Cineq = [30-bayarea,1.25-loadratio,180-x(1),15-axisdistance,
    requiredcolumnstrip-columnstrip, requiredmiddlestrip-middlestrip,
    kcm-kdashcm, cmrequiredsteel-cmprovidedsteel,
    cmminimumsteel-cmprovidedsteel, cmprovidedsteel-cmmaximumsteel,
    kcc-kdashcc,ccrequiredsteel-ccprovidedsteel,
    ccminimumsteel-ccprovidedsteel,ccprovidedsteel-ccmaximumsteel,
    kim-kdashim, imrequiredsteel-improvidedsteel,
    imminimumsteel-improvidedsteel, improvidedsteel-immaximumsteel,
    kic-kdashic, icrequired steel-icprovided steel,
    icminimumsteel-icprovidedsteel, icprovidedsteel-icmaximumsteel,
    cheffectiveshear-chmaxresistanceshear,
    cpeffectiveshear-cpresistanceshear,dpeffectiveshear-dpresistanceshear,
    msactualspantodepthratio-msbasicspantodepthratio
    ,csactualspantodepthratio-csbasicspantodepthratio,];
Ceq = [];
end
```



# Frame calculations:

The manual calculations are done as per the Eurocodes. The attached pages contain 4 pages each of the work notebook and hence might be a bit small to see. The thesis is submitted online therefore, it can be maximized and seen well. The pictures are good quality to see after maximizing.

The MATLAB codes for main program, constraint functions and the variables are all attached after the manual calculations.

D → Jeffelegy: Allmone : Soc 2000 Parme : Parme : Soc 2000 Parme : Parme : Soc 2000 Parme : Par 3) loading = (En 1991-1-1, 5.3 clause) Taking office building, imposed and = 2.5 mint Partition walks = 1.5 m/m2 Remarcut kod, Self oright - Relson 25 = S.F. asju Finiska & ansides = 1.25 projent Total Parmament load = 3.5+1.25 = [5 Karm2] Variable load, Inford = 4:0 MV/m2  $\frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial h} = \frac{g_{i0}}{g_{i0}} \frac{g_{i0}}{g_{i0}} = \frac{g_{i0}}{g_{i0}} \frac{g_{i0$ V Combinations : (Fr 1990, Clause 6.4.2.2) / Table A1.2 (2) For ULS, (SFS-EN 1991-1-1) 92 = 0.7 7 Take 07. 616 b John (SPS-EN 19974) 91 = 0.7 1 115 0161 ¥ Je € 155811×Je 12 = 0.3 (1.3 3/2 + 1.65 Je) CEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE Jongn lood, n = (1.3× 50 + 1.65×4) N= 1311 KN/m2 F= 13.1 × 425 = 58.95 par/m / 4 a = Cover + 12 = 31 mm . 0 0 boation (M/holke 2/d As (miller) bare 4) Analysie : " to H10-150mm (524) End spar (Pinned) 0.95 464 Ry area = #x 4.5 = 315 m2 > 20 m2 0.95 340 Hh - 20 (213) End support (fixed) 0.037 . End April (Jirol end) 0:072 0:97 First when (Jirol end) 0:072 0:97 First when suffact 0:05 0:97 Taleves Aparta 0:037 0:97 ether interim suffact 0:057 0:97 In < 1- 25 gp & 5 KN/m2 , excluding Partition 340 1110 - 200 464 1-1/0 -150 464 Ho-Ko 340 Ho-Lo 340 Ho-Lo 410 < 1.25×375 5 pN/m2 i 40 6 4.69 65 5. Resulting pupped incinents are studied by 20 % For one way slab with 3 on more affices equal spone Depth of neutral aris check, End suffect filed connection first APR offers fixed on only Connection find connection first APR offers fixed on a fixe 6) Shear check; erection of the original sector of the anti-erection of the anti-transmission of the anti-sector of the anti-fried anti-one to the anti-fried anti-one to the anti-.  $\begin{array}{l} V_{R,C} = \int G_{M,C} & \mathcal{K} \left( \log \beta_{1} \, f_{CR} \right)^{16} + \mathcal{H}_{1} \, \nabla_{F_{1}} \int h_{V} \, d \cdot \int_{C} G_{R,C} \, \partial_{F_{1}} \partial_{F_{1}}$ 0 0.18/2 -0.11/2 01063 FZ -0.015/2 0.01/1 0.47 - 0.197 - 0.112 Nor 0.486 -OSF Shear K= 1+ 20 6 20 F= 58.95 Kit 0 838 -16-71 16-71 -22.8 Kit - 10. H K = 1+ Jago = 2.29 & 20 X: [K=2] Moment la 45m 23:57 - 29:216 - 3:37 29.48 P, = Ar = 524 × 100 = 0,44/ Shear . For = Ned = 35-37-196 2 0.297 Clexune dengr : Take, C32/40, k fyp = Soo Hla K, = 0.15 Effective defth = d-cover - der = be - 25 - 1/2 119 mm Ges 2 2:18 = 0:18 2 0:12 VG 15 2 0:12 breadth of strip, to = 1000 mm Valc > [01/2×2 × ( Gara 0.44 + 22) 10- 0.45× 0.27 ] 105× 119 1 1 7 = 0.95 VAC = (0:58-0 0:05) 100 4 119 & 852 M. M VHO 2 TY. 970 KN Ð 3

133

8) Gracking : (Fr 192-14, 3.3.2) I crack condul in know Vhun = 0, 035 k the = 0.035 x (2) 1/2 016688888 ame Unin = 0.035 × 2.13 × 5.7 = 0.56 Asmin = (Ke K bery Acr)/55 . VRJC = 10-56 + (0-15×0.297)7 1000×119 Kc = 0.4 , K=1 , fre, y = from = 0.3 (fre the VRA,C = 31.94 MM Au = bh , TS = fyx 50 [VHIC = 74.930 HN] > Ver (35.37) V April = [0:4x1x 0:3(32)<sup>315</sup> x loce x 150] / 500 Asmin = 101.43 mm<sup>2</sup>/m 2 (10-23) - 50% mm<sup>2</sup>/ the shear reinforcement required Asmin z 101.43 mm2/m 2 10-245 Deflection: (EN 1992-1-1, 7.4.2) 7) 9) Detriling exequivements : (9.3.1.1) Actual open to effective depth viatio = 450 = 37.8 - Minimum area of longitudinal dension originar Po= 10-3 JER = 103 J37 = 0.0057 Amin = 0.26 (tem //ya) bd = 0.26 (0.2 (to) //ya) b P = 524 = 0.0044 1000/119 Amin = 0.26 (0.3 (0.3 (2) / 50) 1000 × 119 PER A, nin = 215:9 & A, Bar V 1410-275 1 = K/ 11+ 1.5 Ster to + 3.2 Ster (to -1) 27 Kinimum area of occordary winforcoment ( 25% & main ref. 1 = 13 (117 115 J22 arost + 3.2 J32 (010057 - 1)3/27 Agmin = 0:20 × 464 = 9.2.8 ~ H10-275 & = 10 (11+ 10.99+ 8.917 = 32.37 Max spacing of principal neist in area of max moment  $\frac{319}{53} - \frac{560}{500} \left(\frac{617}{614}\right) = 1.13 , \text{ for deal down$ 2k = 2x 150 = 300 mm & So mm & Solisfied Eleater, 3h= 3x150 = 450 mm & 400 mm V By , In on les direction , reduction is not needed At Simply supported and, tall the calculated span L = 32.37× 113 = 36.58 \$ 32.1 ] Theread reinforcement shalled continue to the support & and of skel be anchored. The knowle force is given by: president Covide, 412 - 30 mm (566 mm?) F= (a1/2)V, a1= d t Z= 0.9d 0 in la 39.2 > 37.8 , Sele 1 3 E oil & span at pinned and support 1666  $F = 23.59 \left( \frac{112}{0.9 \times 119} \right) = 26.2 \text{ Var}/m$ # 012 4 span at interior and fixed and support 15 = all tight for the all sall on the Mark Black the fourth For sop reinforcement, antinue for sidence wyes Mall of provided sheel kirgh area = 366 = 288 mm face of perport as follows " Covide, HR-200 mm 977 100 %. Jos = 01 % x Span = 900 mm ( = 1, mg + d = 35x18+119=540) For goad barred, 8:43(2) & 50% for > 0.3x spar > 1350 mm at interior & fined support Jen = 32 Mla. B J = 435 Mla 5 = N = 2612 = 92.5 Mla 1) Tying deinforcement : The principle serificiancent in the pottom of each open con be utilized to provide continues internal tree. ly 129. = 35 \$ . Chidag = (19.3) × 35×12 = 89.3 = lemin = loxp = la x12 Aprile = la x12 Aprile = la mor stel The Knoile force to be repisted = Fre, 44 = [34 + 24] /7 5] (24/5) Fe = Fe - Ringuranter near suffect : (93.9.2 CB) At out suffect portal fixing accuse, tet suppresses should sensit allost is to I the max moment is \$= 4.5m, E= (2+4no) 6 60, no= no. 1 aborey Fogin = (1914) (45) (20+ 4×6) = 47.52 KN/m Hinimum area of scinfor comont required with 55 = 5. and spon should be provided, in 410 - 20 mm A= 47:52 10 hoca = 95.04 mm2/m is HIO-400 St edge from , transverse partial finity occurs it top a . to resist atleast of t. of the max largitural memo If all bary are hipped at the same perition, design life in the adjucent spars should be pravided. The trans reinforcement should andered at least Q.2 times the length  $\begin{aligned} & length, \\ & l_{1} = \mathcal{A}_{0} \quad l_{2} > l_{2} \\ & \text{where} \quad z = \frac{2}{2} \\ & \text{where} \quad f = \frac{1}{2} \\ & \text{where} \quad z = \frac{2}{2} \\ & \text{where} \quad f = \frac{1}{2} \\ & \text{where} \quad z = \frac{2}{2} \\ & \text{where} \quad z = \frac{2}{$ of the adjacent of an from the fore of design beam. La = de (35 A) × AS # /ABBN = 115× (35×10) × (3504/1×) Carbelment of longitudinal Union reinforcement loz 254 2 300 mm for bettern reinforcement, continue so't onto support I lajore a windowment detail drawing & alculate total ancient of arch, concrete you and & arbore astimation. for a distance > 10 \$ from the face, & both to for a second pars the artic of suffect as fellows. 8

134

1-1×4-5×6-25= 0.94 Sab \_ 1.1 × 4.5 × 13.1 = 64.85 # beams : -> Main beams ( Orivered and upper floors) Beams, 1.25 40 3 x 0.3 X 25 = 2.813 = 2813 32. 7. paym Anumning, design altimate lead = to KN/m . 67.66 KN/m Span of the star o 4) Analysia : A = 3000 p (35+ 3+ 25) = 512.2 mon 20 555 mon The beams which are adjacent to the control Care of the building are continuous on three open 3) file service : Fr 192-12, 5.6.3(2) clause the is gravinum memori vielifibilities allowed in 15 th : Design moments are derived from ellastic analysis the dentinucus beam is treated as simply supposed be of sub frame convisiting of hear at one level k ter ground fleer brane required fire scintence is to be to Barn writh a somm. Jaken from doble 55 and disfince a 40 mm. column above & below. - Periza can be some an following land cases: is All apono carry design variable & formanent land 2) Detruck opena carrying design variable & Remonant. Axis distance to vide of beams for course bars = 40 th = Dans and other spans carrying only design farmonent load. Since the required over for durability in storm, Also the design plane is symmetric about anter lis assuming 118 Sinks & H32 main barrs, the arris a analysis can be done fire one half . The alis distance is 2 25+8+32 2 49 mm 6 5 mm V height are same on each floor thousage series is . good for all floors. Hone serie force of 15 h for five is achieved. 3.5m loading : 3) slab lording is 13.1 Willing maximum the fer 525 X.30-3.5 m 19 4 4 4.28 5 = 6.8 What, Minimum sometimations The bads on the first interior beam taking shear \* Jour addicent for stat of and for and spare to and tin for interior spar 9 6 . Fixed and momente day to minimum day an blan Shiffnen ... [K= ] 5. 3. 2. 1(4) Suffners values with be for uncracted sectorgular action Mend = 33. 15 x = 2 = 137.8 KNm for both beams & alumon , the values for beams can be on effective flarge section within the sport . . Mint = 33-35 x 72 = 137.8 M/m Joint & Homber End Deint Thereia The = 100 = 300 × (50)3 = 3.62 × 109 mm4 the upper bar bare offer End Theirs Row to application of man IE = 300 x303 = 0.675 x10? mm4 0.533 0.214 (0.533) 34 = 0.587 0.214 (0.533) - 34 = 0.587 0.214 1) Onit moment applied at and joint Ky end = 73 = 0.577 x 106 pm 3 = Ky, int = 0.572 x 106 mm 0.214 0.387 - 0.166 0.445 0.223 0.166 1) Onit moment applied at -Keyppen = Kelower = Ze = 0. (55×10) = 0. 193×26 mmn3 . 1.774 0.746 0.16 0.555 -0.223 -0.16 3) [Row 1/ (0.28]-(Row 2) 0.746 Distribution factors for whit moment applied at an 4) (Row 2/0.223] - Row 1 0.427-0.214 0.744 1.709 1.0 -0-214 0.744 end joint are : 0.543 0.228 -0.051 0.169 -0.068 5) Rows/ (0.746+1.774+0.74, 0-228  $D_b = 0.517$  = 0.573 (0.517 + 2×0.198) = 0.573 5) Rewy 4 (Co. 7444 1. 74+ 1. 703 - 0.051 0.102 - 0.051 0.177 0.407 0.238 It = (1- 0.573) = 0.2135 Edge Momente (KNM) in members for lead case. abe Momente (KNM) en man ben 1) Maximum boad (\$166 KNM) en all phine -Stat Pionibation factor for unit moment officed at an . 276-28 - 276-28 Fixed end moments joint are: 62.99 150.02 63.99-14.03 46.69 -18.79 -14.09 Phond = (0.517 0.577 t. 0.580597 2x0,193) = 2,445 + Rews x 276.28 Depint = (0.5 X 0.517.)/ (0.517+0.5X0.517+2x0.13) = 0.823. 2) Paninum lost (67:6 Fixed and momenta De = 0.193 = 0.166 By end moment due to maximum load on Rent X 276,28 62.99 Noval 62.99 -14.09 46.69 -18.79 Ment = 67.56 × 7(8-74) = 00047 KNm Rever ) x (137.8-27.3) +7. 06 -144.2 7.06 -01.5/-56.2-32096 - 24.51 -70.05 \$38.6 Hind = Ct. 6( x 22 (inexis lager) - 276. 28 Kalim Sim to obtain final 138.6 (2) 70.05

135

3	Bran 16.95 - 18555 202,92	
-	Bran 14.94 - 224.62 61.2	1992 22A HUZ
No	Rear torice (VA) in members for load are Rear	24 20 C Las mon (Cal) + 2412 (452 × 2) = (532) V
1	buer column 24:36 17:48	builde, SH22 @ Too mm (1511 mm) [H law as of only]
	after column dr. 36 17.48	Z = 416.2 3 43.25 V
3)	Bran -48,85 009 173.45 -232.69 54-78	$Z = (0.5 + J 0.25 - 0.822 \times 0.123) = 0.88 \times 435 \le 0.95 \times d$
	lever alumn to os	$z = (e^{5} + \sqrt{e^{-2} - e^{-2} + 2k}) \notin e^{-95} \times d$
*	14/10 Column 70.05	As= M. (0+87× Lykx2) = 28(-51115/(0-37× 500× 416-2) = [1472.6 mm
3)	Beer -140-37 104-33 206-01 -189.57 -37.7	KZK', Confection reinforcement not dequired
•	lowa column 6299 1409	K'= 0.605-0.1155-0.21 = 0.60×0.904-0.11(0.904)2-0.21=0.19
2	Upper Column 62.99	K= M/ bd2fer = 266. 61×10°/(300×48 ×48×38) = 0,123
No.	Bondity august (24/m) in monters for bad gave	Juctility within X/A & (8-0.4) = 0.504
ada	a location & presson End Support and Span Interior support Interior apart	elastic mement is S = 211. 0/215.07 = 0.904, & the
	for Interior chan, V = Ve = Ml & H= ml2 - My (or He)	. At the intrior papporte, the static of keigh moment to wax.
	Harvering and and assessment H = 1/1 × a - A1	avre, EN 1992-1-1: 204, 5.5 (4) :
	Victore have and it days to brief at zero shear at 11-	d = 525 - (35+10+35) = 477.5 = 475 mm
Ť	$ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 = nl - (H_2 - H_2) \\ x \end{pmatrix} = nl - y_1 $	10 mm & Drown longitudinal base,
	My & MR with date forming values. For and span s	At the the of the barn, allowing for its mm aver,
	Can be calculated from the following enfremions, where support moment	5) Elecure derien:
	. The phear force at the end of afon & the maximum segging mument	$H = \frac{G_{+}(l + l)}{2} = \frac{g_{+}(l + l)}{2} = \frac{g_{+}(l + l)}{2} + \frac{g_{+}(l + l)}{2} = \frac{g_{+}(l + l)}{2}$
	pinal moment (ATDU TOP AT D IT & ADE TOTAL	for last case 163 will increase in order to maintain equilibrium,
	Kum to obtain 20 21 400 91 4 1348 21248 - 363,19 13,48	for last are R. In the interior open, the manimum agging momen
	Aus 6 x (27, 27-157-2) -7. 06 19.12-7.06 +24051 20.96 24.51	in the ord plan for lived and findle be the same as that
	Reast × 137.8 31.42 74.83 31.42 7.03 23.01 - 9.37 7.03	for had cases I and I. so a scoult, the maximum agging mercurat
	Fired and manual -187.8 -22-28	for least and and a and and wind where at she interior supports
	on inicuos apons	in the beams well be taken on the 33 white at the and publicity

-91	The following the second of th
<b>C</b>	Carry EN 1198-171 , Clause 5.3.2, 1(3) & 4.2.1.2 (2)
2	The known flange is considered to extend beyond the side face
	of the beam say for a dictance given by boy = 0.2× 0.15 ( let les)
00	have a swart ( and ( ) - Malan
03	support of a state of score a state of a sta
~3	by = by + + by = + bu = (36x2) + 200 = bde mm
	Me I III I III III III III IIII IIII II
	it and support, when the base will be contained
-3	inside the links.
	K= H/bd2ke = 140.38x15/ 201x 1475)2x 32 = 0.065
	NO- AUSTRALIA ALANA
	The recommended in the to the compression new squared
	AS = M/(0.87 x14KXZ) = 140.51 x100/ (0.17x50x 446.03) = 723, 52 mm2
-3	Z= 0.5+ J 0.25-0.332× D. 05 5 5 0.95× d
-3	*
	Z= 0.937 × 43 5 0.95× 43 5 V 5 Z= 446.03 mm
3	Previde, 2485 hora (988 mm2) -245
-3	
3	
-3 .	Dr sagging moment, the effective flange with is, 5.3.2. 1(3)
	bell = bost RK 0-22 X 0.72 = 300+-28 l
	For interior open, help = 3act 0.28× 6000 = 1980 mm, d= 435mm
	K= H1622kk = 37.96 ×151 (Que × 4752×32) = 0.092 K K10.148) V
	T = Cart PS inv silv UNC C active
	COST MOTACIA CORT A STD S COTT X YA
XHIZ	Z = 0.98× 475 = 0.95× 475 : Z= 0.95× 475 = 451. 35 mm
	At= 41/0.32x hup xt = 37,8(x 1061 (0.93x Chex 43,35) - 179,9 mm2)
	hill and law a
-3 KH20 1	UDURC, KAIZ SKEMM)
-3 .	to contenant of an , bill = 18 demon d= 43 mm
	K= HIbd 24 = 104 4561 (195x 42 22) = 200738 6 K (20168)
	4= 0171xa = 0195 xd , in 2= 0195 × 48 = 450 25 mm
-	A = M/0.82/42 = 104×106/ 0.87×50×451.25 = 1329.82 mm241
3 1	(mile 949, (6.19 mm2)
	Contract of the second se

6,	Stear dayn: EN 1992-1-1: 2014, (6.2)
	Since the had is uniformly distributed, the withal bethin for
	sheer can be selen at detence I gram the face of support .
	he. (4254 to) = 625 min from the centre of support.
	At the end support, the manimum value is
	V = 131.94 - C7.67x 0.685 = 139.65 XN
	The suggested inclination of the concerts shall (defined by al A)
	to appain the least amount of shear deinforcement and be
	shown to depend on the following parton :
	MUZ VIE BUXE (1- 4x VHET
-	t i toto long
	Nos = 139, 65× 103 / [30, × 0,9× 48× (1-32)327 = 0.04
	at a = 2.5 for 160 6 0. 138
	Area of links required,
	Balls = V/ band x zx Gt 0 = 23.65x15\$ 0.37 x 50x 4.9x 475x 25)
	Aswis = 0, 3 mm <sup>2</sup> /mm
	Bowlded area, Asort = TX8X8 X 2 = 100.53
	4
	Specing, Sf = 300
	(Asu/s) Bus 2 0: 335 mm 2/mm > Asu/s H8-200
	Al interior support, the may values and
	$V_1 = 2358 - (17.6(1 \times 6.685) = 193.51 \times 10000000000000000000000000000000000$
	Va = 2,2,98 + ((7)(x a, (8)) - 16 na val
	A share a share the share
	Null = 193.51 X13/ (300X 0.9 X 475 X (1- 52) 32] = 0.054 4 0.138
	(Asu/s) = 193.51×103/60.27× Sic > 0.9× 4× × 25) = 0.42 monthm
1	(Arwild) Libri = H8- 28 mm 25 0,44 mm 2/mm.
	V18 = K0. 13×13/(30× ×0.9×4-5×11-32)297= 0.045 60.188
	1A. (m) - 1(- 21× 10) (0:5) × 60× 09× 07× 25) 2 0.75
	(But Sh, Bru = UB- x20 mm ) 20 0 40 mm jonn.

· Hinimum required links:	100 AR = 100 x AR.9 2 0.097 6 0.1 x for = 0.1 x 32 = 0.
ASW/5 = (2.58 Jer) but/pp = (2.58 32) × 304/50 # 0.27 mm 2/mm V	06= 0.554 0.058 ka/ (100 / (141) + 0.005 ka 05/ (4005) (100 / (141)-10)
• 5 4 0 7 x d = 0.8 x 4 B 2 35 6.85 V	as = ast accts 22/0 at + and 12005 2001 0.022 - 10705
Wing 113 - The month Dicks in span check for sconstance shear:	de = 0.5+ 2.47 + 9.499 = 12.52
VR45 = (Atos/5) / yun Z at 0 = 0.33×0.87×50×09×48×25×153	
VR15 2 153 42 4N	for intrior open of a continuous beam, basic ratio = 20 -
attiguet arrange	liniting f = 12 v x 1 × 1.17 = 14.63 > Achial & (12.42)
	Home, Sale
-2013	8) Gracping: (Snip it)!
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
H3 bow Q - mm.	9 Detailing regurement:
7 Deflection sharts	· Rivincim area of longitudinal Indian demlancement
Deflution sequirements may be not by limiting the open/effective	$A_{min} = \alpha.26 (from / from ) bed = 0.2(x/0.3x32^{3/3}/sco)   x bd = 0.0013.$
Appth stalio, For the interior span, the actual span/depth natio= 6000	Acmin = 0.00157 bd 3.01013 bid
= 12.43	(9.2.1.10) for sozies moment
The characteristic load is given by	4 7 A min = 0.00153× 300 × 435 = 2233.32 mm2 / 936 mm2 branded
9p+ 2p = 1.11×4.5×9+ 2.8 = 46.8 KN/m	
This could be seen to the life to a life that	tor hogging stegions,
arring incurre of the mumer scalar builder in the analysis,	Again = 9:00157× 100×475= 762:67 mm2 4 982mm2 4
the bound star and interpretation where there dought and	a let the Intern of out above at land acar of the area
as given by .	becould be the share should entry to the will be to
15 Mayer Aspen In To To 37. M. 22 1020	wind at anth an expander built low I the to all all
5 4348 × 1.37 × 0.85 × 0. 89 =	future with an answere with out on the of the app
BS 10 = 500 × 2021 = 1177 / 115 w (Soc × ABOU)	The dos share lot . In grad detall, a not the muse equand
300 1141 (5) Ayay	centinucus for the union econgo of the beam,
I a bunc statio X de X Bs	Abmin = 10 X to z Boo mm
(145)	. At the and suffects, even though the kep bass will be in
ba daten at ay x by t bu (0- 34) > 1920x 15+ + 310 (43-150)	My bit the form of 4-basis in the vertical plane, poor bond and the
Date 1773 Als mint	well be assumed, long = (Abree )×30×6 = lonin (18)

Co.	Byrg = (723,52) ×50×25 = 9.50.9 mm
8.43(3)	For U-bots with somm acce top and bettern so as to fit
6° •	temportably inside the links, the largest pract cal stadius
<	is obtained by using a servi-circular bind (shape code to). To
~	this case, st = 0.5 h - (50+0) € 0.5 × 525 - (50+25) = 189.5 mm
	Relationement desailing disgram :
	Parts in woners of links cartailed samm perm column fore at each
-9	and to avoid claship with alumn beres
	leave back pertained switc alumn back have been loop lace mor and been of all in appendix spen to previde continuity of interval days
3 04	Ber custerlanent 1850 mm from contribute of each and of spen
- 05	losse & bar, profilered inside column bases, apper by contender 1400 mm
22	begand face of column & have by entende two mm with bar of
06,09	Bata in Coincis of links curtailed to mm from Column Jace
- <del>1</del> 07.	bers placed month column base, controling beyond center how of column
	De la
- 10	Closed higher with Remon casts Shell of Minker able wind be
	shear seinforement, harrowers, reinforgment in lef tones of main lace -
	when dis of lapped same \$72. Aronowise bein of stall area not
	less than are of one lapped bar should be provided within outer
-	thirds of lop junt. For full zone, with \$ 2 20 & allowing
-	Pary Charlow, tobe area of transmise have
2	A+= 115 X 311 × (123) = 34P mm <sup>2</sup> (848-100)
2	
9	
3 •	
2	
G,	(B)
No. of Concession, name	

	Edge beams ( Coround and upon slows):	12
j	loading 5	3
	In addition to self weight, the beam will be designed .	2
	to support a drangerelar area of floor slab, and any a	2
	land due to welling, cladding and windcass of 5 ms/m.	2
	If the Julargular and is taken to be paired by time at	3
	and the else beam area = 0:5 × areas × 2. × 53.15 52.15 1	1-3
	= 05X4.2X 71 = 5.85m <sup>2</sup>	R
	43 X Yaint	2
	the transformed to the transform	2
	Table soign lead for each 45 m open are :	-
	Beam & walking = 1.25 x (0.3 x 0.3 x 25 + 5) x 4 5 = 40. 2 41. Sol	-
	Slab = 1:35 45:0 ×5:0 + 15×40 ×5:0 + 31.3 + 30 = (1 pm) (Red) (Red)	1
3	malyie:	1
	although the beam is fast of a frame, it will be analyzed	1
	as a continuous promper on prife - else supporte. The simplified	4
	last are allowed in the national purch will be anyoned.	6
	to along out to a new than fix are used in	-
		-
location	Bending Momente (high)	-
End Span	Cocheman	-
In marian	(0.15/20 H0178 + 0122 + 31/3+4 10132 M 34) 415 20 55. W	6
Joskija opens	(01046 + 140 m + 01003 1, 313+01117 x 2) 415+ 26. 22	-
alle	10 000 40 9 + 00091 × 9:2 + 0:091 × 10) × 4.5 = 41.81	1
Entpecto	Contraction of the second seco	-
		12

S En / Se	44 (0.275× 44.70+0.36× 51.	3+0434×2)=	110.56	
3				
S interior of	460 (01605 × 40.70 + 0.621×31.31	0.649434) = (3	1.39	
Ailto (in spin)	Kow (0.1214 40 0 40.532 4 31.3 40	(22×30) = St.	76	
3 Other say	Let (0.50 × 40-10 + 0.500× 21-3.	+ 0-(14x 39) = 54	. 46	
3 3	) Flenure design :			
	At the authority alle	and the stand	Land will	12 0000 1000
	in one suppose, allow	ing for minim	and with	be more cauthy
•	so that the bar at the	movide face of t	he edge be	im passa belaci
	the U-bara in the main	beam.		
	d= 525- (62+10)=	: 455mm 28 4	\$somm ,	b= 300 mm
	In the spans, allawin	y for 35 mm a	were asith	Smm links
	A llonm bare			
	Carl In Without 1			16 24 24 10 1
	0.200	H - WHI OIZX	VIER Z JOS	TLO ZADITA YADON
	bell = 7.3 C3 mm			
	The steguised steinforces	ment is as fall	base i	
	(5.3, 3.1(3))			
lacetter	111101 311	Requised	basided	
pochean	M/bd be = K Ma	(H/2 Japan 2)	purch	22037 JO-3-0348
			21116- 0000000	2= 0.5450-25-0-312×7-0
End Has	1 (42, 22) - M2 V V2 V2 V2	143 2246 atex Ca		
End das (Condond)	V (47-33x10/03-X 415 742) 0195	147.23×60 0 57×508.	(perme)	Z= 0.99 XA 60 95 04
End Har (Canaland)	4 (42.82.41/73.4.8 46 44) 0195 = 7105 × 153 < k(+14)	(47.27×16) 0 57×5×1 = 24/29(mm <sup>2</sup> )	(2H2)	2= 0.99 XA 60 950
End Afar (Pandons) H mkins	5 (92,2011) / 93,2 × 15,70) 0135 = 7.05 × 163 < 16,409 5.61 × 1/25,× 183,42 = 0.026 018	(47.27×6) 0 57×6×4 (1)3 = 241-29(mm <sup>2</sup> ) 5560006 / 687×6×60	(Holenma) (211de) 2416 - 402mm	2= 0.99 XA 6 0 450 1 2= 0.95 X 495 + 457 - 5 2 = 0.95 X 495 + 457 - 5 2 = 0.95 × 495 + 457 - 5 2 = 0.95 × 495 + 457 + 5 2 = 0.97 × 495 + 157 + 15
End April (Principand)	2 (12 2006 (32 × 42 400) 0195 = 7.05×153 ≤ 16 40 5.5125 (20 × 10 320 = 0.026 0.15 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	(47.23×6) 0 574545 (47.23×6) 0 574545 (713) = 241.09(mm <sup>2</sup> ) 55(246) 6 27450×60 273.13mm <sup>2</sup>	(ABSZ MM2) 2HE - 4-ZAMA (Revised Rates)!	2= 0.99 × 4 6 0.95 A 1 2= 0.95 × 495 + 1957; 2 0.95+1 = 07-0 M2×0 = 0.974 \$ 0.35 A z= 473-3
End April (Tiendland) M Indiver Support	~ (42.500-[132,415.10) 0.85 = 7.05 × (15 <sup>2</sup> < K2.39) 5.05 × (15 <sup>2</sup> < K2.39) 6.0.026 M.dt × [47, 2, 14, 32] 0.85 (41.3)	(47.1345) o strate a (47.1345) o strate a (47.13) 57 (3) (50 (100)) 57 (50 (	(102 mm <sup>2</sup> ) 2HE - 42mm <sup>2</sup> (Revised Rates)! 2HE (22mm <sup>2</sup> )	2= 0.79 × 4 6 0 500 1 2= 0.79 × 4 6 0 1: 2 0.774 & 0.950 × 10×0 = 0.774 & 0.950 2= 472 3 ) \$551 = 2 = 1880 × 500
End April (Panolong) Pt menor Support	2 (42.2012) 132.45.15.10) 0.35 = 7.05 × 10 <sup>2</sup> < klong = 0.026 20.25 × 10 <sup>2</sup> < klong = 0.026 20.25 × 10 <sup>2</sup>	(7.33×6) 0 30×6× = 241-29(mm <sup>2</sup> ) 53 (2ml <sup>2</sup> ) 6 92×6×65 373 (2mm <sup>2</sup> ) 24 2210 <sup>5</sup> /2 1956mg 6113 = 123-56 mm <sup>2</sup>	(Alternand) (2000) 20116 - 402mm (Recised Scher) 2011a (22amm)	22 0.3924 60 450 1. 2 - 0.1924 60 430 2. 0.374 50 - 0.3220 2. 0.374 50.3220 2. 0.374 50.322 2. 0.374 50.322 2. 0.374 50.32 3. 0.990 50 0.82
Erch Apar (Candona) 14 (news Support Trikitor Sport Sport	(4.20) [32, 45, 46] = 7.05 × 10 <sup>2</sup> < 16/49 5.60 [36, 14530] = 0.026 16.41 × (4.26, 12) = 2.91 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (4.3) + 5	(47.33×6) 0 30×6.4 = 241.29(mP) 55 (3n6) 6 82×6.2×62 373 . (3mm <sup>2</sup> ) 26 2210 / 1.3×6.2×62 133 . Gmm <sup>2</sup> (1.3) = 133 . Gmm <sup>2</sup>	(Alternat) 24116 - 422mm (Bassel Rate) 24116 (270mm)	22 0.1924 60 450 1 2 = 0 1924 60 450 2 = 0 1924 40 450 2 = 0 194 40 10 2 = 0 194 40 30 2 = 0 194 60 30 3 51 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
End April (Condons) 14 (news support Support Sport Sher	(47.3014) (38.4 v 15.40) = 7.65 (1.52) (3.45 v 15.40) = 0.55 (3.45 v 15.30) = 0.02( 11.42 (3.45 v 15.30) = 0.95 (4.6.3) = 0.95 (4.6.3) (4.5, 9.6) (4.5, 9	87.3256 / 0.2756 / 0.2756 (777) = 241.3(m/2) 51.616/6 87.56.450 213.1317 = 133.56.000 <sup>2</sup> (1.7156.6 0.1755.6	(Herner) 2HHC + 42mm (Berner) HHC (2Arman) HHC (2Arman) LHC (2Arman)	22 0.99 4 6 0.90 0 1 2 = 0.97 4 40 4 1091 3 2 = 0.97 4 40 4 1091 3 2 = 0.97 4 6 0.97 4 2 = 0.97 4 6 0.97 4 3 = 0.97 4 0.97 4 2 0.97 4 0.97 4 2 0.5 + J 0.5 - 0.82 X
End April (Condord) H (relies Support Support Space Other Interior	1 (1520) [24:55] = 7.053(13) (24) = 7.053(13) (24) = 0.026 = 0.026 7.021(15) (25) (20) = 3.193(2) (1.25) = 3.193(2) (1.25) = 7.053(2) = 0.05 (20) = 0	87.27.26 / 0.27.26. 07.27.26 / 0.27.26. 28.29.16 / 0.27.26. 28.20.16 / 0.27.26. 28.20.16 / 0.26.26. 28.20.16 / 0.26.26. 19.20.16 / 0.26.26. 19.20.16 / 0.26.26. 0.27.26.26.26.26. 0.27.26.26.26.26. 0.27.26.26.26.26. 0.27.26.26.26.26.26. 0.27.26.26.26.26.26.26. 0.27.26.26.26.26.26.26.26.26.26.26.26.26.26.	(102 mm <sup>2</sup> ) 2016 - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	22 0.3924 60 300 1 2 0 0.3 43 4 19 10 2 0.3 4 43 4 19 10 0 0.3 4 43 5 10 2 0.3 4 10 2 0 0.3 4 10 2 0 0.3 4 10 3 0 0.5 4 10 3 0 0.5 4 10 3 0 0.5 4 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
End April (Condona) HP retries Support Sport Shore Shore Triking Support	2 (2520)/38 + 5540 - 0.3 = 2 + 1 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5	87.27.26 / 0.27.56 07.27.26 28.44.29(2017) 28.44.64.67.56.20 28.20.64.64.67.56.20 28.20.64.17.56 28.20.64.17.56 29.23.56.00 41.77.26 0.27.56.05.60 2.22.97.0000 2.22.97.0000 2.22.0000 2.22.0000 2.22.0000 2.22.0000 2.22.0000 2.22.00000 2.22.00000 2.22.00000 2.22.00000 2.22.000000 2.22.0000000000	(Birner) 2416-422mil (Buse Sake) 2416 (220mil 2416 (220mil 2416 (220mil Berset Sake)]	22 0924 6 000 1 22 000 4924 100 2 1 23 000 4924 100 2 0 094 & 0000 2 0 994 & 0000 2 0 0000 2 0 0 0000 2 0 0 0000 2 0 0

5.3.2.1(3)	at the interior supports, the knows flarge is considered to	3
	entend beyond the dide face of the beam for a distance given by	3
	Hoffic = 0.220.521 = 0.012 ( 100 = 20000) . The define of population	2
	invite the links with an additional the in the flange.	3
4)	Stean Deeran :	3
	Rinner requirements for vertical links are given by ;	В
3.2.2(5)	132/5 = (0, 02 5/2) bu / (4/2 = (0.28 32) × 300/50 = 0.27 mm -	20
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	5 5 0. Rd = 0. BX 38 = 25 mm	S
	The HI links @ 2Bron provides 0.36 mm3/mm The	2
	derign skrax revisionce from Jinks would be:	5
	VR15 = (Accord) General Z (at 0 = 0.36 × 0.87 × 50 × 0.7× 47 × 25 × 11-3	3
	Vp, 5 = 167.4 EN > All chear jorce on bean	
	Hence, links are appropriate & Safe. c	PP-
5)	Pellection :	P-2
	Since the bading and the open are beth two shere	P
	these for the main beams, there is no need to	2
	check for deflection requirements !	
6)	Gracking (Ship It) 5	
Ð	Detailing dequivements:	1
	History and I bratter all a first a first	5
	A min = 0.20 ( km ) by I - 0.20 ( 0.2 × 2 × 2 × 1 b) by 2:0 0.00 by 1	1
	Topper and the state of the sta	1
. 6	or interior support regions :	1
-	25,min = 0,00156 × 43 × (276+376) = 439.06 mm2 > 407.57 mm2	-
1	thin 7 At but ( 402 yes Minterer afon & 22 yer chere) (2)	5
		Frence

-91 10	Ravia the provided reinforcement to 242 (622 mm2) for
	all interior appeds !
-	For your segion,
	Bynin = 01001564 300 ×435 = 22 2.3 mm <sup>2</sup> > 185-25mm <sup>2</sup>
2	Again & Aspons (Und & All anno), Hence, Safe V
-9 .	At the bettern of the beam, 2416 in end ofon and 2410 in
9.2.1.502	interior span will be provided for the length of span. at the
3	support, where at least 34 of the area in the part to needer, 2410 well be linewild will a lab lively of so men.
	At the help of the beam, 2110 will be presided to support the
22	Sinks for the dough of out open. At the end support where the
22	Columns provide partial firity, 2110 will be previded, At
2	care support, the sure secure extend support and you of the support
2 2	Conditions, Dejection from pre of support = (50 + 158 5 ×10) = 800 mm
2	to stall support, entend top have for some from free
	of suffert.
	Tyng acquirements:
9+10-23	The clongitudinal scinforcement can be used to stedist the
Table NA.1	perperat de jace, Fi a = Marshore dellarama lix a, 20.
7.10.1(1)	812 to ENTRY FEELENZ 9:55×10 = 45 KN >76 FUL
19	dy = 70 m/p Hence, take Fright = 70 m
	Him mum are of swinfercement supported with 53 = 500 Ma
-	Ajuin = 70×1000 = 140 mm 2- , 400 1416 bar
5	The part on the inside face at the hip of the heaven with
-	fern the perpheral the.

$\rightarrow$	Column derien .
D	Actions on al
	10 column :
	ton the column on line 8, the sub frame analysis result •
	sheen on colouption sheet (5-9) Country gros been sheered alimen of
	moment for three load cases, and apply at 2nd 3nd and you fleer
	Avelogend also for loven flow such, at word level, the sub-preme
	and the leading are significantly different, and another analysis
	us required bading details an belaux :
	characteristic barling for steep plat,
	Slab & finisher (3. 7. + 1.5) = 5. 85 HM/m2 Sill voy4 = 3. 7 KM
	Imposed level = 0.6 m/m2
	Donign ultimak land = n= 1.25 x5 25 + 1.5 xaik = 7.5 keepin3
	Dengen UL for first interior road beam :
	HIX4.5X 7.5+ 23= 31.93 W/m (max) &
	1.1×45× (1.25×5(1.0) + 2,3= 33+74 (2)/me (minimum)
	land for play due to pell asight of celumn :
	Gluma = 1. 85 × 0.3×0.3× 85 × (3.5-0.535) = 8.4 KN
1991-1-1	(Swidy Leight - 2 of Som)
6.3.1.2	A suduction may be made in the total imposed floor lad,
	according to the number of alongs being supported at the
	level considered. For up to fire strays, this load may be
	multiplied by an= 11-1/10, n= number of storey.
	External Juma Blis
	At each level, the load applied is the sum of the chien force
	for the main beam at line 1, and the uniform load only on the
	elge beam. Thus, at east poor, the land from the edge beam
	the For 40. 18 44 Elec beam & valling). It soul, the load die to
	the sold weight of the beam to parapet its
	F= 1.20(x (a) 20013 + 2 ((xolo) x 25 × 4) 5 = 33.75 Kal

<ul> <li>Meneret and minimum come have bed ecute when dust one a difficial at such come have bed ecute when dust one a difficial at such commends of and to be difficul at an additional is and to be difficul at a difficul at a</li></ul>	9		when die	I case	2 10 01	flied to	all buels	· (seles table	) . Horsmum
$\begin{array}{c} is afflicit et lad consideral, and he be differed at excels above, the avangement are be cultural for wohne of the source of the sour$	-		moment	and min	inum a	conis tent	land occur	x when load	are 2
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{c} $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $$								C 1 1/11	
$ \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline $			as apple	ed at	such a	modered	, and li	o yk a uppu	est at
$\begin{array}{c} & \text{ANDERSON } \\ & \text{ANDESSERVED } \\ \\ & \text{ANDESSERVED } \\ & \text{ANDESSERVED } \\ \\ & \text{ANDESSERVED } \\ & \text{ANDESSERVED } \\ \\ $			levels as	bare 7	he away	yconant	car be l	ribial for val	us of
$\begin{array}{c} 3.1.4(2) & \text{New 2-Supp} & South $		20/1952-1-1	North	a uha		-			
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1	3.1.600	Ned or Nh	ax centes	~				land
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-		Nhal =	o.4 Acto	1 = 0,1	1× 200 X.	200 × 0.95	¥ 5.5 X/0 =	ws par
United         State           United         G. M. and Anal Sock M. (MD)           United         1.45 Get           United         1.47 Get         1.47 Get           United         1.47 Get         1.47 Get         1.45 Get           United         1.47 Get         1.47 Get         1.47 Get         1.47 Get           March         M. H         N         M. H         N         N           March         Gate         1.47 Get         1.47 Get         1.47 Get           Gate         1.47 Get         1.47 Get         1.47 Get         1.47 Get           Gate         1.47 Get         1.47 Get         1.47 Get         1.47 Get           Gate         1.47 Get         1.47 Get         1.47 Get			The = a	1.85× (4	X1537				
Vision of art and And fore n Call           India         1.5 %           India         1.7 %           India         1.7 %         1.7 %           India         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %           India         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %           India         India         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %           India         India         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %           India         India         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %           India         India         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %           India         India         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %         1.7 %           India         India         1.7 % <th1.7 %<="" th="">         1.7 %         <th1.7 %<="" <="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td>Ye</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th1.7></th1.7>			6	Ye	1				
$\begin{array}{c c} Londing \\ Londing$		Vine	yes of an	and	Arial to	ice N C	W)		
Andrew Line         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A <th< td=""><td>-</td><td>1 10</td><td>1-2</td><td>567 + 1.3</td><td>-au</td><td></td><td>1.5</td><td>OK</td><td></td></th<>	-	1 10	1-2	567 + 1.3	-au		1.5	OK	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		loading		-	-			0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	Remberlie				-		2	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+-	Moniber	N	M	N	MI	N		-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	Roof beams	> 1411.74	37.18	13,8.46	38.46	59.86		158.48 600
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c}  Milling and the last of the last of$		Column	8.4	68.99	8.4	7.05			aur 6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1301/7	02.77	146.970				Heinberry
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} (21) \\ (22) \\ (22) \\ (22) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\ (23) \\$		4th floor bear	n 210, 89	1000	272.72	10	138.38	142, 95	13.10740.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Calenna	361.17	6 4. 77	8.4	10:03			210170
Construction         District		wannes	369.6	62.99	427.98	70.05			186.94+4-
$ \begin{array}{c} Gdam, & J = & Grant & J = & J = & Grant & Gran$		3rd floer be	man . 210.98	19 00	\$72.72	Test	38.78	285-9	272172
2014         2014         2014           P flow latents         2017         34.11         36.05         152.21         152.25         152.25           China         2017         35.22         31.55         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25         152.25 <th< td=""><td></td><td>Column.</td><td>8.4</td><td>6.4577</td><td>8.4</td><td>20100</td><td>~~~~~</td><td></td><td>98.0+407</td></th<>		Column.	8.4	6.4577	8.4	20100	~~~~~		98.0+407
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	i.					1261			238.20
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			580	62.99	709.1	76-05			102.12+40.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	2 fleer been	1 210-98		272.72		139.78	142.95	142-95
Optimit         Optimit <t< td=""><td></td><td>~</td><td>-719.98</td><td>02.99</td><td>981.8</td><td>70105</td><td>416.34</td><td>428.85</td><td>1.1.1.11</td></t<>		~	-719.98	02.99	981.8	70105	416.34	428.85	1.1.1.11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		allumn	88.4	62.99	994+22	70.05			3 141- 24
Convert 1239.39 62.94 15.44.1 76.65 625.9 H4.55		It floor bean	1 2101 98°		272.72		138.78	142.95	07/2
Control 1978 (2) 19 (2) 23 20 (2) 29 (2) 29 (2) 25 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) 20 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)		121 .	10194	62.79	12 02.9	80102	535.12	5-71-8	2 128.46
Grand floor Andre 1272 12. 12. 21 14.15 - 52.8 born 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 123. 21 12		alum	1027.8	62.99	1271.34	12:05	- Kalal		83.36 +3
6000" Recordent 1233-38 62.99 15441 3.05 6329 344-36		Grand fleer	24.98		272.72		138.78	142.95	= 5.P. K.
bacomont 1298.38 62.99 1544.1 76.05 693.9 714.75		biam							
		hermont	1238.78	62.99	1594.1	70.05	6.93.9	714.75	
arable 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		usall							
			-*-				ISSI H		
		hivy Ky Ko	21-2+2.8	2 22.5	KAJ/m	11= 0	add + OSYSEL	12 8 10 2 - 10,0	5.

D Hay - 1	8 - Willion	
Hip. 21	14454 12545 = 32,24 Kalm	
Hin bod	2 32.5× 7 2 132.7	
Max bad	21.24×22 7. 137.8	
H11	12 13+ 054141878 + (1222-187.8)× 0.4.	1 = - 63. 5 WW m
Me 2 137	8+ 011(7×127.8+ (B2 7-137.8)× 0.467=	159.0 MAIN
1 A CONTRACT		
VR = 33	2 - (159-635) - 118.09- 15.9.	1= 102.17.
0.1.1		
Kerf Deam	All End memories	(Max)
11000	12	(
Mend =	$M_{pd} = \frac{32.74 \times 1^2}{12} = 127.78  \mu Nm$	(Min)
= 0.82	1× 163:05 = 37.18 KNm (load	are 1)
2 0.22	× 113.05 + (137.77-113.6)×-0.051 =	38.44 (level face 2)
FIN, ML	= - 163.05 + 0.543× 163.05 = -74.5	wa and
MA	- 163.05 + 0.169 × 163.05 = 190.61	KNM (LCD)
140	212242 - 10,11-245 - 11295	11. C - bit of 101
1 12	2 7 7	1X-6 13-11 PM
For 102,	14= 0.228× 163.05 + (137.70-1(3.05)	x-0.057 = 38.44 FNM
Mez	163.05 + 0.169 x 163.05 + 0.907 (137.7)	-163 (est) = 16.22 entres
V2 3	3.7×7 - ( 12.32-28.44) = 97.68	FN
	2 7	
for N (1.50)		
15×0.6=	0.9 pr/m2	

Cal	Mark = Min = 3.3x7 = 13.81 Min
63	12
< 2 1	H1 = 0.543× 29-31 = 13.0 Wm
63	M2 23. X + 0. 1 (9 x 24. 31 = 34.25 Mm
C3	V= 1247 / 24 25 22 - 4455 1.7= 21.81 44
~3 ~3	A ( P) = (SP) = ADD = DT = POIDS PN
~	
13	. For the story from ground to a floor, with dood case 2
-3	at ground floor sevel.
	Mart = Theor Winn, Map = -0.5 × Mart = -35.03 KNm
-3	arith lead care 2 at friel above : New = 1271. 34- 0.3× 571.8 How = 1099.8 JAN (max)
3	with 1.0 gr at such above, Net= [1019.4-(555.12.4 54.80)] ] /1.26 = 223.5 pt
-3	(min) Jalama D
	(1) Minimum total design mancent, with & = A = 300 7 20 mm
	Mmin = Nol X Co = 1099.8 X 0.02 = 21.995 HOM
	3) Expective length and stendness :
3.8.3	3.2 Electric member length for braced member in deciler frame is:
	here arsiched (100) (100), where at paint 1 & 2
	AZY 4 Kin relation through it such
	(0.457K)
	The condition 1 where the monolithic connection is assumed
	to beams at least all deep as the overall depth of the column)
	at step to bottom joint for all flears :
	& 2 0.75 K 2 2.75 X 3 478 (3.5-0.505) 2 2.23 m
12	
3 40	· Figt erder memore from imperfections :
3 2.41	H = N/h = 10993 × 2.23. = 6.13 KNm
	993
	Hist order memore including injuficitions.
3.	Hor = -35 03 + 6.13 = 28, 89 KNM, Hor Z Ziosia 6.13 Z R. 18 KNM
and the second se	

Padua of gyration of anciental amount section,  $i = k = C_{B}^{2} = a \cdot c \cdot f \cdot m$   $5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3$ Stendeness cutrice,  $A = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{2}$ Contract of the property of the substant of th • N = N = 1099.8 = 1099.9 = 0.674 Actu 300<sup>2</sup>X 0.5 × 12 157 Taking, A= 0.7, B=11 & C= 1.7 (Ho) = 2.08 Alm = \$1×0.7×11×2.08 = 39.02 > 25.6 V Joint 4 Since  $\Lambda_{2m} > A$ , decord order effect can be ground & Ach =  $M_{2n} > A$  (  $> M_{2n}$ ). Arz ellso. 1 x 300 300 x 32 = 403 2mm 2 Seo 5 2(2) Hinimum required orinforcement: Azmin = 01/X NEd / fyl = 01/X 1099.8 × 10 ( ( 500/1.5) = 329.94 mm? 0 Aznin, 7 0-202 Az = 0-022 300 x 300 = 180 mm² Aznin × 0-02 Az + 1, Azn = 42 min + 3

139

Stores (Max, 1440.) Mich Mich Mich Mich Baller Baller	Arber As (n bh. gen Jezerin	nate As (mat) as (mate)		Asperin	= <u>142,3 × 10</u> 500	= 2	94-6 mm			
44th-100g 1469/72.6 70.9 0.05/0.03 0.032	0124410 115	2 (4420		> take	nal aluma	A2 :	m-)	r ,	ica	
st-4th 305 1/ 12.53 72-2 0.15 0.06 0.884	0.1x1- 540	(204)	)	The la	ad from the	main h	com à d	to sotal	shear force	at line .
2Nt-3Nt 623-3/243.2 73.5 0.24/0.09 0.085	0.1 x0.7 518	. 4 4416	logding	Volues 125	of anial force	N GONT	BIT CR	Nm) 15 OK		
1-2nd 801.5/859 74.9 0.29/0.65 0.087	0.170.3 460	8 4416	Hombor	N	MN	M	N	N		
4-14 John 8/3225 76.18 0.38/0.11 0.09	0.7 × 0.1 455	2 15 9	Roy beam Column	317.17 8.4 220-6	8.32 258 3 8.4 14:59 264.8	7 13.88 17.45	52.8		258122	F=421.8
4) Ting acquirement:			4 th flas ber Column	n 431.3 763.4 8.4 773.8	14:09 GUZ	12.43	1843.3	169.21	185.55+22	2 385
For office buildings with phony between be provided vertically from bothom it	n 4-15, Sie to dop as shey	a should certify	3nd year been Column	a <u>438-8</u> 121216 8-4	288.5 195.12 8.4		486.6	208-19 416.59		
vertical load. The the should be cape	able of carrying	a sensile	2nd 66	1220,77 438,8 1659.8	14109 1058.6 388-5 1449-1	17.48	243.3	2.8.29		
force equal to the land sidely to be as wall from any one showy under the ad	udental dengr	vilemen en	Column 1st fb	14(3) 2 14(3) 2 1428-3 2106,97	19:09 1455.5 333.5 Our so	17-48	243.3	213,29		
EN 1990 The accidental design lad is dokon as			Column Ground th	8-4 2113:4 438.8	14.09 1352.4 388.5	17.48	243.3	3.8.28		
$\frac{41.3\cdot2}{7abk}$ for plab, accidental design lead = 5.8 $\frac{81.4}{10}$	+ 0.7×4.0 = 7.	P MU/m2 (Max)	Column Foundation	2554-2 8-4 2562-6	2246.9 8.4 2249.3		1216-5	1049.5		
For the first inking beam, accidental.	land is	m (ran).		The ma	x moment ac	ins who	in load	crae 3	is applied a	it He
$= (1.1 \times 4.5 \times 7.8) + (0.3 \times 0.3 \times 25) = 40.26$ $+ (1.1 \times 4.5 \times 5) + (0.3 \times 0.3 \times 25) = 27$	KN/m2 (Max.) KN/m2 (Min.)			luce con	railered, pres.	cocnieks	it had	occura ork	en lost case	1 is
For the column, approximate accidental	design lead for	had care 2		1:0 Gr. 1	is applied at	levels o	boxe, 7	the latte	arrangemen	et can
on main beem, llus cope beam it walk Not = (40.11 x 18) 94 + 40,78 =	142.3 HN.			be aitie	I for values	of Nes	653	VAN THE	maximum -	lead
67.66/ 1.25		(2)		is appl	Vied at all	levels	omene o	cours o	non and c	(a)

C.	For the berement story with band case I at all keels:
Co GIGN	NEW = 25626 - (0.4× 1216 5) = 2.76 4N
~	Ninimum plat design moment, with es = h = 300 > 20 mm
10	Moring = Not X Co = 2076 X 20 XJo 3 = 41.52 KAVm
03	ter the parament story with load case 3 at ground level :
the de	Map = 17.48 HAM, Max = -0.5 Map = -8.74 HAM
~5	Nel = 2115:4 + 323.5 - 0.4 (9.73.2 + 3.8. 4) = 2031.3 KM
20	Nol = 311.5+ [ 2115.4 - (993.2 + 59.8)]]1.25 = K60.02 KN
	Specie level and stealenese :
	So for column B1, b= 2,23m & 1= 25,6
5.2(9)	Einst order moment from imporprisons (with 13 at ground level):
-3	Hi= NB = 203113 × 2,23 - 11,32 KNm 400 400
27	First order momente, including the effect of importactions:
1	My = -8:74+ 11.32 = 2:58, My = 17:48+11.32 = 23.8 KNm
5.8.3.1(4)	Stendeuren within Ann & Lo (AXBXC) Jon
1	n= N = 2.41.3 = 1.25 , A= 0.7 , B= (1+20) 0.5
	Hejd 162
	C = 1.7-1m, Ym= 1865 = C = Ye/- 201 = 1.61 Roz
-	a= 15 tul = Axio = 1, Asioning 1482, a= 3917 = 0.86
	Hege 115 XILE XIE 354 359
	$B = (I + 2x_0 + 3y) = I + 6s$
-	$A \lim_{n \to \infty} = (2e \times e^{-f} \times 161 \times e^{-g}) f^{2} h^{2} = 33 \cdot 36 \geq A (RS \cdot G) V$
	to sciend order effect may be ignored & Mai = 1942 (Musin)
3	Koge of convection:
	The nominal coller is teken as 35mm inskad of 25 mm.
	because of sermin bac size. Assuming, simm die link :
	d= 3ec - (3578+32) = 249 mm
	by d = 241 = 0.8, the of scient can be calculat
	3
The second se	

	Nel =	2313/120-02	N/103 -	0.7/0.44	) clairy	dartes	13
	bala Hed = bh <sup>2</sup> kk	240 x 340 x 22 28.9x 10t (300 x 300 x 32)	= 0.0.	33 /	Ar lat	= 0.3 kod = 0.04	•
	As= o	3 X 300 X 300 X 3.	2 = 1728	rom <sup>2</sup>			R
	The caleur	blions are p	erformed	for each ,	floor :		5
Storey	NGI (MAN) / Han/Hin Ka	Yed Niel/blykk	Marfs#3pe	Asportanjek	As mat	A, Buster mint	3.8
4th Rey	3=5-5/249.04 10	7.2 0.106/0.08	0.022	0	min.	44.2	Ê
3rd_yth	931.7/776.7 Q	2.98 0.34/0.27	0.0266	0	min.	44.20	2
2nd 3nd	13315/23.8 2	4.19 0.46/0.32	0.028	0	mín.	44.20	•
1-2nd floor	1631.4/1698.9 9	198 0.53/0.38	0.011	0.0	min.	HH20	R
Grand- pt	2381.2/14230 30	0.8 0.83/0.49	0.036	0.4	2304	HH28 (2414)	
Basement	20313/460.00 2	8.8 0.7/0.44	0.033	0.3	1728	411.24 (US-8)	
	0 1	Eiset 2 f	lloors -	2H28 (1	8464 mm <sup>2</sup> 7 mm <sup>2</sup>	9	E d d
		Mer fue		20 (1KS	s min j		
4)	Thing stell	urements :					4
	Acidental o Nai= (4	lesign load ,	for sead = 264.	case 1 for 5 KN	main	beam:	4
	A min =	2.4.5 ×11531	500 F	5.2.8.95 mm	n= (4)	411- 804mm2	) V E
					- C		6
							5
						(	52

	Minimum land at bottom of calum. & stopp the aright of calumn!
-> Corner column Al :	Nor 2 5.45 X 176.185+ 0.585x 27+ 8.4/1.25
1) Form the celculations for column 61, it can be seen that the	C744
must oritical condition occurs at the bottom of the top storey,	Nov 2 38,86+ 14,18 + 672 = 39.76 PN
with minimum had I also at sweet loved and maximum design	5,2(9) First order moment from imperfections: (Simplified)
load at the 4th floor level.	H1= NA 2 59.7(x 2.23 2 0.33 Wm
Bram on Sine A (ENIM) Bram on time I DA	The the the the standard at it
Slab : 014×45×13.10= 23.58 31.3+30= 61.3	5.1.9 (2) Since imperfutions need to be show our account only in
Rum & abillips: 485945= 9.7	the direction where they will have the most implications office)
33.28	Maz = 34.71+ 0.33 = 3.04 KNm, May = 10.76 Mm
I column memorite for the prove on fine I gar be committed	a) side I gran metring -
from the sterillo for the sub-frame on line & mus, for	Inte of interestation
lost ax d:	Appuning 11.11.16, the design stimutures of the column for bending
14= (38.28) X 7 5 = 34.7 KNm	about either anis can be delervined som despen chart:
Column monsute for the brane on fac 1 can be colimated	Asher 16Bler = (1256 × 500)/(300×300×32)= 0.218
an the an atting that it always and beam early describe	New 1686 = 59.72×1031 (20x 20x 22) = 0.021
have description on lived and that the beam persones had its	Har ( hh 2 Up = 17.06 (d1hz 0.8)
article stations This	There Her - Her - and & low 300 x 12x 10-6 = 54.8 HAVM
We we have a second a	and there was a here to be the second to the second s
Shoud = (0.5 x 2/bick 10 / 10000 - 0. 10 has rom	5:89(4) In the absence of a place stage for bringer arraining, a compaging
Reaffer = Keylawer = 0.135 x10 mm	auxison deck for compliance may be made all follows:
Bourn fixed-end momente & scoulting column moments !	No = Acho P Holya = (300 2 0.85×32) + ( +25(× 500 × 100"
Mars= (a 144 6/13 + 21033 × 4218) × 4.5 2 43-98 KNm	Nev 9132 1 WAL
H 5 0.192 mm 1 ( 2×0192 + 0.402 ] × 42.93 2 10. 26 Valler	the part was a interest
and a survey consistence of the provide the survey of the	We = still = oracit, a do 1 for the 6 10
Himmum deading for beams at sleep level :	3. (Mede) at ( Hely) a = (35.00 1 + ( 10.76) 1.0 = 0.09 4 400
	(MH2/ (MH4)/ (518/ 5118/
Barn on sinc A (pa)(n) Beam on sinc 1 PA	Come is in less than he at west with a within
Slap: 0:4×4:5×5:25 = 9.115 (included in Sine A)	since a do see share in an incar custour concellent, a
Been & larghet 27/415 2 6 33 8/1.25 2 27	resonable awanyment would be previded 1112e ja all
ISINS PAIM 22 M	strip.
(3)	ting is some as alumn b1 = 4 H10 V (39)

C.	-> Eine Revietence for glumme: Safe
6	
53	> Kenforcoment detailing :
63	I Bacs bearing on TSMM ficker and cranked to fit acomplate
03	bars prejution from becoment wall. Inspection of starter
600	bars = 15x 35x d + 5 = 15x 35x 16+ 75 = 915 mm. Crank
-3	to begin is mon from end of starter bare. length of want
200	13 \$ = 13 × 16 = 2 v3 mm, averall offset dimension = 2\$
-3	XXII= 22mm. Since 4416 is precided at upper place to
1	we given preserves.
	Of al closed links, with 35mm nominal case, starting above bicker
1	and stalking when some at ment soon level. The following
-3	anniament ables
	Call with the still and the function line and
1	1313/ MUMMUM da. of sinks = 0103 March de of sufficience put + min
	spacing phonent not eared least of to k min dramote of leared dictions
1	bers, Jesser dimension of column cross-section or gornom. In
	segions within a distance of equal to larger dimension of
	alum aconsection above or below a beam or plat, link
- 10	appring should be not exceeding o is times the preceding values.
-	Thus, the max link apains is 300 mm and 300 xol = 15 mm
	at 300 min below & above the beam & date.
-	e Minimum dia of links = a 25×16 = 4m Z. 6 mm
12	Chica C Bullis 20 and Charles Mr 25 Charles
	· Sparry = he the F See With Undided Spo min U
	· speare betwee & Geomy distance = 180 mm 2 (150 mm)
	Jon plat f beam
	The later of the l
-3	in me sig and of main band, where the diameter of
3	apped bases \$ 2 2 mm, transience bens of tetal area nut
3 (0.	14 1) less than area of one latter bar should be provided within
3 0"	aller thirds of lefterice. Thus allerned by An I an Con
	and the second s

	total area of transcise bar for the full lef two should	1
	be no loss then are of one supped bar multiplied by	
	Is Byg for celum Bo, the following applies:	
	Asper (11×25×20/2)	
	Fundation - 11 floor : Ase = 1.5 × 2304 × 815 3= 863.7 mm <sup>2</sup>	
	2469 (12 H10 1712 Jane?)	r
	Sy Mar- 14 Shan - A4 = 15 × 1728 × (75×24/24) = 64.8.6 mm2	-
	141 (0 111 10 2)	
2	T the + 62mm	
	open a-bar, instead of closed links & restrain order	
	lengitivinal bars.	
04	Bara (meiler to be mark of cranked to fit alongside	
	base presider from boundation, hojection of starter.	
	barr = 15x 85x 28x 224 75 = 14996 mm (at 1 mm + 12 1)	
	2414 The Min ( as particular level)	
	En uple 1100 154 254 244 1779 1 75 - 1100 2	
	15 000 100 13X33XXXX 1M2 # 13 8 12 +3 2 1900 mm	
05	have appendent of standing to a 12+4-2 mm contraction	
05	have (Similar to of) . Since 1412 as sufficient for other	
05	have benefits to all since the a a first from the theorem flare benefits to all since the a sufficient for affer flares, legisland of since = 15% 35% to + to = 1125 mm	
05	have been to $0^{10}$ been a $10^{10}$ been a $10^{10}$ been a to $10^{10}$ been a to $0^{10}$ been a to $0^{10}$ been a $10^{10}$ been a to $10^{10}$ been a to $10^{10}$ been a $10^{10}$ been	
05	have been to off in 1997 to a sufficient for other flaces (contact off in 1997) in 1992 is sufficient for other flaces, layeding face = 108358 to + 75 = 1125 mm	
20	la appe jood assistent is a a children me channer baro (Scribe to off Since Wille in sufficient for oppor flasse, layedin y saca = 10835x to +35 = 1125 mm	
05	la appe good assistent <u>as</u> a la child nom conserva- baro (similar to oth since "Mille is sufficient for offer glasis, lagetion of sace = 14835x to +35 = 1125 mm	
05	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	
05	hange joer inserven is a a sufficient for other have (Scriber to 09). Since "1112 is sufficient for other glacet, lajethin y success 108358 to + 75 = 1125 mm	
05	la appe pour enservent is a a cher 2 mm entrem laro (service to off since "Mile is sufficient for oppo- flacet, lajedin y succe = 168355 to + 35 = 1125 mm	
25	la appe poor ensistent <u>es a</u> a cher d'a mo estaron laro (licailar to 09) ince <sup>1</sup> 1122 de sufficient for oppor glasis, lagislin y saco = 10835x to +35 = 1125 mm	
05	hers (Broiler to 59), Since 1142 is sufficient for other flood (Broiler to 59), Since 1142 is sufficient for other flood, Capithin J success 168358 to 7 to = 1125 mm	
05	land for fact the off is since "1122 is all that min is the off is since "1122 is sufficient for offic flacet, layer of success of the straight of the straig	
05	land for fair the off is the "UNE is all the for the off is the sufficient for offic for for the for the flacet, lagither of such a large to the 35's to + 35' = 1125 mm	
05	han for the stand of the second secon	
05	levo (Errile to 09 · Since 1142 é sulficent for offer fleve (Errile to 09 · Since 1142 é sulficent for offer flevet, lajetion of saco = 108358 to + 25 = 1145 mm	
25	leve (Brile to 09 inc "112 i a sufficient for offic fleve (Brile to 09 inc "112 i sufficient for offic flevet, lajelon of saw = 108358 to + 35 = 1125 mm	
05	her (Emile to 9) i since "1142 is a sufficient for Ale floor (Emile to 9) i since "1142 is sufficient for Ale floor (lightion of success 108355 to + 3 = 1125 mm)	

Column Char 200 1 Dia Works leggth Table aught Table 10 and a state a state a state a state of the s y 1" Har JSN O-32/12.2 44.15 22/12.22.28 13/12.22.062 9.201 4th Ry - labol to B1 10 14 1060 K1940 - starter burgend ž 4 915 2.5 9.15 200 3660 DC-35 16 112/12.2=15 27.87 4 4415 Lorgiliding 6-.0 4416-01 Storey height = + -Eline berling abalister : BBS - BH (slamer langth of bogs (kulinet ber = 3500 + 915 ) Recommender langth of bogs (kulinet ber = 3500 + 915 ) Recommender hussian 90 300 1-1 7 55' 350 5 SSimm 350 grd-gri ÿ 200 \$ . SDSmm 35in 525inn 35in 12 grd 4 535 mm 2650 - 535 mm 9 9- # 350 = 4415 mm Links, then 1 = 3ee + 3ee = low manThe links, the <math>2 = 3lee + 3ee = low manThe two <math>2 = 3lee + see = low manThe two <math>1, 4He links @ 3le num = 4 links The two 2, 4He links @ 3le num = 9.6 links x is links Length f 1 link = 2(a+b) + look looph - loonder for theTeal steel (45) = 44.15 + 4 (23.23) + (5 × 9.201)+ (9.15×2) B1 - 9428 = 379,74 Kg Gincek = 5:9m3 = 2 (30+(352) + 20-(352))+ (2x (0x10) - (3× 2×10) Volume of 1 column = 300 × 300 × 3500 = 3.15 × 108 mm3 = 1060 mm. Total volume = 5×3:15×10 ×16 = 26.2× 10° mm 3 = 26.2 m3 Total length of links = 1060 x 14 = 14840 mm 3 2034 (19) = 25.9 × 240 = 60,48 43 Art oright = (1926-184.94) = \$9,80.96 44 = \$8.92m^3/ (37) Elever Topcord mer Dia No dayth Take land another the oright Hain beare quartly colculation : Joe 100 ko so 130 300 good good you have mannanthallellellellelddddddddddddddd 4 4625 18,500 46.3 4th my longitubral 20 9.201 Sime -(lateral tics) 14 10 1060 14, 340 300 . 4 1 2.5 11.25 20 11.25 4,500 SHARAFENd 65.7 41 14 247112-2-20 6420 Lorgit. 24 45.25 19,500 9-11 14, 390 0.62 9.101 links 10 1060 4600 'y trong loss potof pilos poo y x 200 1 1300 1 2841122=4.8 89.4 28 4 41.25 long. Witter 300 minny Baromo links 10 14 1050 14,840 0162 9.201 TT ● Total stal for one be column = (4x4(03) + (6x9. 2. 1) + (2x 11. 23) 700 Tri In Hundre of B2 alumns = 8 = 212,91 kg Bottom Reinforcement : End span: 2420 , Interior span : 2412. Volume of 1 column = 300 × 300 × 3500 = 9.15 × 108 length @ end span = L + Lol (sixed) + length of hay alun Total volume = 8×5× 3.15×13 = 12.6×109 mm=15.12m3 legth & an open + 4 sty + 44 stight - bond. (Ex. 7. 112) = (200 - 32) + (5.8, 2) + 30, + (5.8, 2) Total weight = 18.12x 2400 = 36, 288 Kg Not aught = 36,389-2103,28 - 34,189.7 Kg = [14.34 m<sup>2</sup>] + PH - 4/160 power as B1 = 46.3 kg = 8736 2 8.74 m length @ mid apan = 1 + 1d & alumn length & half of lop length - Bend = (1000-30) + (50 x 12) + 300 + (50 x 12) - (2x 12) = 7876 × 7.38 m Total amonets volume = 3.15× 10°× 4× 6 = 7.6×10° mm<sup>3</sup> = 7.6 m<sup>3</sup> weight (4) = 7.6 × 2400 = 18, 240 Kg Total bottom barra = (8:74×2)×2 + (7:82×2) Net Concete workt = (13,240 - 1422,03) = 14,818 4g = [7,01 m3]1 = 50, 32 m. Stirups, a = 22mm, be 442 mm, length cut = 1.44 m. Total Column concrete = 22.73 + 14.24+7.01 = [44.98 m3] \* Total Column sheel = 3571:08+ 2003,28+ 1422.03 = [3,104.14] ]\* No. of Airrufs = (100+1100 -1)+ (2300 -1) = 15 abirrufs 5 Total anight for 3 bears = 3x35 x 144 x 87 = 25.6749 0 (39)

and and a second s

Gowad-t

۲

-

Glumm

Bil

.

K

3

142

	the Else prom :
· Top reinforcement:	
length of onknow support = 1 + 11 + longth of clumm + 4 + 100 - Dona	
7 (2H25) = (tone-300)+ (Sarker)+ sort - (tone)	
0 > Cfart 1850 of South 3 Star Car - 50	K
= 12, 13 2 12.2 m	(B.B) lingth @ end open = 1+ Sod + 30 + (Six16) + (-2×16)
140/11 = 4 + 4 2 670 + 50x 25 = 3 483.3 2 3.5m	(2HK) (2HK) 22
	= (45a - 3m) + (3ch/b) + 7ab - 32
Total end support scrip = 2x81 = 40 M	= 5368 mm 10 3:3+m
hergen & interior puppert a 10 to mm & hat m (10 d 0.3).	= 2×3,37 = 10.44 m (KX two end opena)
2435 for hell	(B.R) Cenyth @ interior offen = 4200 + 500 + 250 - 32 = 49/2mm
Table interior apport stight = 1:02×2 = 2:09 m	$(2H_{10}) = 5 \times 4.92 = 24.6 m$
End Spor H 20 & 17.48 m 2142 243 34.96 86.4	
bottom troigh	(T.A length @ entries support = 4 + bd = 4500 + (Sexle) = 2000 mm
Thereader 1912 2 T-318 nr 12/11.320 0:7 13:76 14:12	(2H16) = 2×20 = 40 m (For 2 energistrational)
Exterior offert H25 2 100 m 37/122-24 13 0 59/2	Ingelt @ Inking without = how they I have
	(3ul) = 24+25+20 = 24 mm - 2 24 m
Tutice support H25 \$2 0.80 m 319 1.2 4.63	(array) - and and - orginal - Orginal
HIR 8 144 m 019 11-52 10-368 -	BrR-End eper 416 2 10-24 18/16220 106 21.5 34.4
· Counte alana de la continence dans a Ca escra 24 212)	B.R Juking open HIO & 24.6 16/142+0.62 49.2 30.51
- 1.21.3	TIR- Extrin support H20 2 4.0 25/1122225 8.0 20
Could would the 2 th 200 - 4322 4	TR - Inking support 112 2 0:39 25 10B 422 1
White organ of a sind and a single a w	×6 [11.2 14]
Net oright = 1153 - 1163 = 1163 - 2 Rg	(48) - Stronge = 1 = 11 = 1100 - 30 - 1 = 14.3 to 15
Not volume = 3,24 m <sup>2</sup>	And along and the state of the
Total main brons atel (4) = 8× 161.35 = [1338.8 13]	stacking gamp a site (Alexed - 2) da lag some
Telal converte (23) = Tar 93 -37	u= soo+ (x)si/= (x) = dilmm i f
war white a passife m	b= 525-2x8-2x4 = 447mm
	Stirrel cutty length 2 22+ 26 + hole-kend
	= 444 + 344 + 160 - 13 - 48
(9)	- 4723 1111 12 10 100
Strand weider = 1.44 × 16 × #/Sten m.) × 3° = 39, # 19.	The Associates of Anna have a contract the law
58mil wild = 1.44×15×7(5pm m)×3° = 39.7 %.	IS Norder of brown basis = 000000 (it low) 0 0 marches 4 = 1 2000 + 17 + 17 States - ) + 17 = 9.31 21 9
String weight = 144×15×7 (Gen m)×3° = 39.7 (Gen m)×3° = 59.7 (Gen m)×3° = 59.7 (Gen m)×3° = 50.2 (Gen	IS Nombri of brance base = control (it base a - manufay a = { source + 17 + { Scalar - ) + 17 = 9-31 - 30 + a - manufay a = { source + 17 + { Scalar - ) + 17 = 9-31 - 30 + - 56 base •
String wight > 1.44 × 18 × 7 (gen m) × $\frac{3^2}{164.2}$ = 39, 9 1/2. $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2}$ $\frac{3}{164.2$	$\frac{TS}{2} \qquad \text{Normler, if frame laws = 2000, it for  0 & secondary = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{24\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$
String weight > 1.44 × 15 × 7 (gen m) × $\frac{3^2}{10^{12}}$ = 39, 9 kg. Note afge have well = (11, 9 + 59, 9) × 2 = (31, 2 kg) Noted concrete (m?) = 0.5x + 65 × (7×45 - 7×0.3) = 46.52 (m?) w = (B) = 4.69 × 2×24 = 28, 476.44 kg	Ib Nomber of branc bass = 2000 (it bass e shortby = = [[ <u>stance</u> +1]]+[[ <u>stance</u> ]+1]] = 9.31, 31, 9 e shortby = = [[ <u>stance</u> +1]]+[[ <u>stance</u> ]+1]] = 3.5 base Calling baydh of man bass = (24 Mes) + bak(ba) + ((xhas) = 5 Men
String weight > 1.44 × 15 × # (Spin m) × $\frac{3^2}{16^2}$ = $39.9$ Mg. 7.66 algo have sold = (11.9 + 54-9) × 2 = $\frac{59.2.7}{19}$ 7.66 (ancole (11.9 + 54-9) × 2 = $\frac{59.2.7}{19}$ ) 7.66 (ancole (11.9 + 54.65) × (11.44 - 130.3) = 41.671 m <sup>3</sup> 1. (B) = 9.151 × 2×24.02 = 23.46.4 Mg 1. (mode with = (23.60 × 14.872) = 43.46.4 Mg	$\frac{1}{16} \qquad \text{Aborder of from has a contain fit have e = e - priorder = e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{26} \frac{1}{2$
String weight = 1.44×16×4(5pm m)×3 <sup>2</sup> = 39.439. Tobe app have sold = (11.9 + 59.3)×2 = $[39.3.2 \text{ y}]$ Tobe app have sold = (11.9 + 59.3)×2 = $[39.3.2 \text{ y}]$ Tobe convert (11.9) = 0.50×60×6(7×4-7×0.3) = 4.621 m <sup>3</sup> $\mu = (B) = 0.60x, 2x, 2n_0 = 23, 276.4 \text{ yg}$ Not convert (11.3) = 17.62 m <sup>3</sup> /2	IS Norder of Anno have a compared for law " " " secondary " = [[ 3000 + 1]] + [[ 5000 + 1]] = 934 , 9, 4 " " secondary " = [[ 3000 + 1]] + [[ 500 + 1]] = 36 horder Calling height of man have a (28 Mar) + had (100 + (62 Mar) " M m Calling height of secondary barn = 3 = 3 = 2 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3
Strandy weight = 1.44×16×4(5pm m)×3 <sup>2</sup> = 54,459; 3.661 Apr have weil = (11-9 + 54-3)×2 = [31-2,79] 7.661 Apr have weil = (11-9 + 54-3)×2 = [31-2,79] 7.661 Apr have weil = (11-9 + 54-3)×2 = (14-57, 19] 1.651 m <sup>3</sup> 1.651 m <sup>3</sup> 1.651 m <sup>3</sup> 1.651 concrete (12) = (12,12)×3-(12) = 21,213 19 Net concrete (11 <sup>3</sup> ) = [7,12) m <sup>3</sup> ]	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Strucy weight = 1.44 × 1.5 × 7 (Spin m) × $\frac{3}{2}$ = $39/7 1/2$ . 7 Tobel afge have used = (111.7 + 5.9.3) × 2 = [24.2.7 J] Tobel conneck (m <sup>2</sup> ) = $9.5x \cdot 65 \times (7x \cdot 4 - 7x \cdot 2) = 14.631 \text{ m}^{3}$ $m = (12) = 9.61 \times 2 \times 21x = 3.7 \times 87 \times 91$ Not conneck cought = (27.24 × -97.2) = 14.31 × 12 Not conneck (m <sup>3</sup> ) = [7.16 m <sup>3</sup> ] Not conneck (m <sup>3</sup> ) = [7.16 m <sup>3</sup> ] Else Flat	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Stimuly acide = 1.44 × 1.5 × 7 (Spin m.) × $\frac{3^{2}}{162}$ = $31/7$ /g. 7 Table afge been stal = (111.7 + (31.3)× 2 = [21.7.7 g] Table anothe (11.7 + (31.3)× 2 = [21.7.7 g] Table anothe (11.7 + (31.3)× 2 = 3.7.47(-4.42) Not anothe acide = (21.24(-31.72) = 21.243 /g Not anothe acide = [21.24(-31.72) = 21.243 /g Not anothe (11.3 = [21.24(-31.72) = 21.243 /g] Not anothe (11.34(-31.24) = [21.243 /g] Not anothe (11.34(-31.24	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Stimp weight = 1.448 K X # (gen m) X $\frac{3}{2} = 37.9 \text{ B}$ . Note afge ham wat = (11-9 + 54-3) X 2 = [37.3 B] Red concrete (n <sup>2</sup> ) = 6.56.45 × (7.44 - 7.56.3) = 44.671 m <sup>3</sup> a (g) = 9.681 × 28.24 - 7.56.3 = 44.671 m <sup>3</sup> a (g) = 9.681 × 28.24 - 7.56.3 = 44.671 m <sup>3</sup> b concrete weight = (21.264 - 9.48.2 = 24.437 \text{ B}) Net concrete (n <sup>3</sup> ) = [7.16 m <sup>3</sup> ] Net concrete (n <sup>3</sup> ) = [7.16 m <sup>3</sup> ] Concrete (n <sup>3</sup> ) = [7.17 m <sup>3</sup> ] Concrete (n	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Strandy weight = 1.448 K X # (Spin m.) X $\frac{g^2}{16^2}$ = $34,949$ . 3664 alge hum soul = $(11,9,9,51-3)$ $X = [39.2,19]7664$ alge hum soul = $(11,9,9,51-3)$ $X = [39.2,19]7664 anothe (12^3) = 0.63 X_2 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5M_2 controls (12^3) = 0.63 X_2 X_4 X_4 Y_3M_2 controls (12^3) = [31, 20, 32] = 12, 413, 123M_2 controls (12^3) = [31, 20, 32] = 12, 413, 123M_2 controls (13^3) = [31, 20, 32] = 12, 413, 123M_2 controls (13^3) = [31, 20, 32] = 12, 123, 123M_2 controls (13^3) = [31, 20, 32] = 12, 123, 123M_2 controls (13^3) = [31, 20, 32] = 12, 123, 123M_2 controls (13^3) = [31, 20, 32] = 12, 123, 123, 123, 123, 123, 123, 123, $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Simply weight = 1,448,168,2 (5pm m) $\times 3^{2} = 39,919$ Tobal algo have sold = (11,9 + 59.3) $\times 2 = [93.279]$ Tobal and (11,9 + 59.3) $\times 2 = [93.279]$ Tobal and (11,9 + 59.3) $\times 2 = [93.279]$ Tobal and (11,9 + 59.3) $\times 2 = 35,876.4.99$ Not annote (11,9 + 6.30.65 & (7.4.9.4.93.3) = 4.621 m <sup>3</sup> Not annote (11,2,12,13,13) = 2.5,876.4.99 Not annote (11,3) = [7,12,13,13] = 2.5,876.4.99 Not annote (11,3) = [7,12,13,13] = 2.1,813.19 Not annote (11,3) = [7,12,13,13] = 2.1,133.19 Not annote (11,3) = [7,12,13,13] = [7,12,13] Not annote (11,3) = [7,12,13,13] = [7,12,13] Not annote (11,3) = [7,12,13] = [7,12,13] Not annote (11,3) = [7,13] Not annot	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c} Straidy couple = 1,448,168, #(Spin m.) X, S^{2} = Strat gr.\\ Bible algo been sold = (11.9 + 6.9.3) X = [37.2.7 M]\\ \hline \\ Bible algo been sold = (11.9 + 6.9.3) X = [37.2.7 M]\\ \hline \\ Bible anothe (10.3) = 0.565,60; X(38.45 - 330.2) = 14.631 m^{3}\\ \hline \\ e = (10) = 0.633, 234, 24.62 = 2.5,264,44.49\\ \hline \\ Mod concrele (10.3) = [7.1.8, 19.3] = 1,133, 19\\ \hline \\ Mod concrele (10.3) = [7.1.8, 19.3]\\ \hline \\ Bible anothe (10.3) = [10.3, 19.3] = 1.65m = 1.658, 95 = 3.655m^{3}\\ \hline \\ \\ Bible anothe (10.3) = [10.3, 19.3]\\ \hline \\ \\ Bible anothe (10.3) = [10.3, 19.3]\\ \hline \\ \\ Bible anothe (10.3, 19.3] = [10.3, 19.3]\\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	$\frac{1}{16} \begin{bmatrix} N_{0}mder, d & france, have = 2500e Al forw e = precodey = = \begin{bmatrix} 2500e + M & france \\ 2ee + M &$
$\begin{array}{c} Simuly couplet = 1149 \times 15 \times \#(Spin m) \times \underline{S}^{2} = Str# 19^{-1}\\ \hline Sibil clyp barn sold = (1117 + Str#) \times 9 = [71.7.7.8]\\ \hline Tibl clyp barn sold = (1117 + Str#) \times 9 = [71.7.7.8]\\ \hline Tibl concrete (m2) = n Streers (71.84 - 710.2) = 14.637 m2\\ \hline m & (19) = 0.011 \times 2 \times 2 trac = Sig. R(Su up the streer $	$\frac{15}{16} \qquad Norther of Inner have = 0.0000 for law = e prearby = = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0000 + 11 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c.0.000 + 17 \end{bmatrix} = 9.34 - 9.44 \\ = 56 hour = 56$
$\begin{array}{c} \text{String weight = } & 1.498 \text{ K } X \# (\text{Spin m}) X \underbrace{S}^{2} = & 91.9 \text{ B}.\\ & \text{Weight } & \text{Weight = } 1.498 \text{ K } X \# (\text{Spin m}) X \underbrace{S}^{2} = & 91.9 \text{ B}.\\ & \text{Weight } & \text{Weight } & (11.9 + 6.9) X 2 = \underbrace{[91.9.9]}{[91.9.9]} \underbrace{B}_{1} \\ & \text{Weight } & (12.9 + 6.65 \text{ K})(X + 1.95 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.9.9]}{[91.9]} \underbrace{B}_{1} \\ & \text{Weight } & (12.9 + 6.65 \text{ K})(X + 1.93 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.9.9]}{[91.9]} \underbrace{B}_{1} \\ & \text{Weight } & (12.9 + 9.65 \text{ K})(X + 1.93 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.9.9]}{[91.9]} \\ & \text{Weight } & (12.8 + 9.98 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.800 \text{ R}]}{[91.9]} \\ & \text{Weight } & (12.8 + 9.98 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.800 \text{ R}]}{[91.9]} \\ & \text{Weight } & (12.8 + 9.98 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.800 \text{ R}]}{[91.9]} \\ & \text{Weight } & (12.8 + 9.98 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.800 \text{ R}]}{[91.9]} \\ & \text{Weight } & (12.8 + 9.88 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.800 \text{ R}]}{[91.9]} \\ & \text{Weight } & (12.8 + 9.88 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.800 \text{ R}]}{[91.9]} \\ & \text{Weight } & (21.9 + 9.198 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.800 \text{ R}]}{[91.9]} \\ & \text{Weight } & (21.9 + 9.198 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.800 \text{ R}]}{[91.9]} \\ & \text{Weight } & (21.9 + 9.198 \text{ R}) 2 = \underbrace{[91.800 \text{ R}]}{[91.9]} \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Strand weight = 1.448.16.8.# (Gen m) $\times \frac{g^2}{16^2} = 34.9.19$ $3664 dec ham sout = (11.9 + 6.9 + 3) \times 2 = [39.2.19]$ $7664 dec ham sout = (11.9 + 6.9 + 3) \times 2 = [39.2.19]$ $7664 concrete (11.9 + 6.64.86.36.3 (Tr. 4.1 - 750.3) = 4.621 m^{2}$ $n = (12) + 0.631 \times 25.66.6 \times (Tr. 4.1 - 750.3) = 4.621 m^{2}$ $n = (12) + 0.631 \times 25.66.6 \times (Tr. 4.1 - 750.3) = 4.621 m^{2}$ $n = concrete (11.9 + 0.635 \times 25.66.6 \times 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + 10.61.8 + $	The Almike of know have a case of here have a secondary a of some will find the here of a secondary of a secondary of a secondary of the first of the secondary here of the secondary here here here here a secondary here here here a secondary here here here a secondary here here here here here here here he
Strandy weight = 1,448,15,8 # (Spin m.) $X, \frac{3}{1002} = 34,919$ . 3664 alge hum sout = (1119 + 519) $X = [73.2] y$ ] 7664 and $e(1129 + 519) X = [73.2] y$ ] 7664 and $e(1129 + 519) X = [73.2] y$ ] 166 connet (112) = 0.518,28,2000 = 25,486,499 166 connet (112) = (21,28,28,2000 = 25,486,499) 166 connet (113) = [74,173,193] = 11,413,193 166 connet (113) = [74,173,193] = 10,413,193 166 connet (113) = [74,173,193] = 10,413,193 $166 connet (113) = [74,173,193] = 10,413,193 = 2,405,10^{10}$ $166 connet (115,176,23) = [103,193] = 0,21,23,240 = 2,405,10^{10}$ 18000 18000 18000 18000 18000 18000 18000 = $1155 X + 60 X = [103,193] = 0,21,23,240 = 156,173180001800018000$ = $1155 X + 60 X = [103,193] = 0,21,23,240 = 1,255,1231800018000180000180000180000018000000180000000018000000000000000000000000000000000000$	$\begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraints} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Simily weight = 1.448.16.8.#(Spin m) $X_{abc}^{abc} = S_{abc}^{abc} B_{abc}^{bc}$ Tobal algo have sold = (11.9 + 5.9.2) $X_{abc}^{abc} = S_{abc}^{abc} B_{abc}^{bc}$ Tobal algo have sold = (11.9 + 5.9.2) $X_{abc}^{abc} = [9.2.7 M]$ Tobal anne (12) = 0.618.28.28.48.5 ( $1.8.4 - 750.2$ ) = 46.62 m <sup>3</sup> H = (B) = 0.618.28.28.28.2 = 25, 276.4 + 19 Not concele ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[7.16 m^{3}]$ Elect More $T_{abc} = (2.128.9 - 395.2) = 21.212 M$ Not concele ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[7.16 m^{3}]$ Elect More $T_{abc} = S_{abc} = (2.128.9 - 395.2) = 21.212 M$ Not concele ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[7.16 m^{3}]$ Elect More $T_{abc} = S_{abc} = [2.128.9]$ Not concele ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[2.128.9] = 10.28.85 = 2.405 m^{3}$ Mater $T_{abc} = S_{abc} = [2.128.9]$ Not concele ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[2.128.9] = 0.248.294 = [2.11.9]$ Not concele ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[2.128.9] = 0.28.92$ Mater $T_{abc} = S_{abc} = S_{abc} = [2.218.9]$ Not concele $T_{abc} = S_{abc} = S_{a$	IS Norther of Andre have = 000000 (it low) " " norther of Andre have = 000000 (it low) " " norther of States + 1)] + [[ (2000-1)+1]] = 9331 July " (2000-1)+1] + [[ (2000-1)+1]] + [[ (2000-1)]] (2000-1)+1] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)]] (2000-1)+1] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)]] + [(2000-1)]] (2000-1)+1] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)]] + [(2000-1)]] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1)] + [(2000-1] + [(2000-1
Straid weight > 1.448.16.8.#(Spin m.) $X_{1,0,2}^{S} = 54.9.8.9.$ Rold algo have sold = (11.9.9 + 5.9.3) $X = 54.9.7.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Simily weight = 1.448.18.8 # (Spin re) $X = 9.98 M$ 3.564 alge harm and = (11.9 + 59.3) $X = 9.98 M7.564$ alge harm and = (11.9 + 59.3) $X = 9.98 M6.6(M) = 9.618.28.4 (7.842 - 7.86.3) = 4.631 m^{3}a = 0.(M) = 9.618.28.4 (7.842 - 7.86.3) = 4.631 m^{3}a = 0.(M) = 9.618.28.4 (7.842 - 7.86.3) = 4.631 m^{3}M = 2000000 + (7.86.3) = 1.650 = 1.05.87 = 1.05.89 = 3.805 m^{3}Net concrete (m3) = [9.16 m3]1.660 = 7.918.89 = [9.171 m^{3}]1.660 = 7.918.89 = [9.181 m^{3}]1.660 = 9.918.89 = 9.918.89 = [9.181 m^{3}]1.660 = 9.918.89 = 9.918.89 = [9.181 m^{3}]1.660 = 9.918 m^{3}]1.660 = 9.918 m^{3}1.660 = 9.918 m^{3}1.$	$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:second} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Simily weight = 1.448 KX # (Spin re) X $\frac{g}{W^2} = 34.9 \text{ By}$ $3664 \text{ alge ham soul = (11.9 + 54.9) \times 2 = [39.9 \text{ By}]$ $3664 \text{ alge ham soul = (11.9 + 54.9) \times 2 = [39.9 \text{ By}]$ $3664 \text{ alge ham soul = (11.9 + 54.9) \times 2 = [39.9 \text{ By}]$ $466 \text{ ance (m^2) = 0.51 \times 2 \times 26.00 \times 37.00 \times 39.00 \text{ By}]$ $1000 \text{ ance (m^2) = 0.51 \times 2 \times 26.00 \times 39.00 \times 19}$ $1000 \text{ ance (m^2) = [31.6 \text{ m}^2]$ $1000 \text{ ance (m^2) = [31.6 \text{ m}^2]$ $1000 \text{ ance (m^2) = [31.6 \text{ m}^2]$ $1000 \text{ ance (m^2) = [31.6 \text{ m}^2]}$ $1000 \text{ and (m^2) = [31.6 \text{ m}^2]}$ $1000 \text{ ance (m^2) = [31.6 \text{ m}^2]}$ $1000 \text{ and (m^2) = [31.6 \text{ m}^2]}$ $1000  and (m^2) = [31$	$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \hline \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Simily acids = 1.448.16.8.2 (Gen m) $X \stackrel{g}{=} = 34.9 \frac{1}{2}$ $3664  alge been sout = (111.7 + 5(1.9) \times 2 = [31.2.7 M]$ $7664  alge been sout = (111.7 + 5(1.9) \times 2 = [31.2.7 M]$ $7664  alge been sout = (111.7 + 5(1.9) \times 2 = [31.2.7 M]$ $166  annote (117) = 0.50 \times 5(1.5 \times (1.5.4) = 1.50 \times 2 = 0.50 \text{ m}^{-1}$ $166  annote (117) = 0.50 \times 5(1.5.4) = 2.405 \text{ m}^{-1}$ $166  annote (117) = 0.50 \times 5(1.7 + 115) = 1.61.9 \times 10^{-2}$ $166  annote (117) = 0.50 \times 5(1.7 + 115) = 1.61.9 \times 10^{-2}$ $1660  annote (117) = [31.8 \text{ m}^{-2}]$ $1660  annote (117) = [31.8 \text{ m}^{-2}]$ $1670  annote (117) = [31.8 \text{ m}^{-2}]$ $1680  annote (117) = [31.8 \text{ m}^$	$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraints} 128 \qquad Aborder, 4 & hours have = 2000a. At leav \\ e & e heardey & = \left[ \left( \frac{2}{20} + 1 \right)^2 + \left[ \left( \frac{2}{20} + 1 \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2}{20$
Simily acysts = 1.448.16.8.# (Spin m) $X \frac{g}{g} = 39.9.9.9$ Tible algo ham wal = (11.9 + 5.9.3) $X = \frac{97.2.7}{91.2.7} \frac{1}{91}$ Tible algo ham wal = (11.9 + 5.9.3) $X = \frac{97.2.7}{91.2.7} \frac{1}{91}$ Tible anoth (12.9. + 0.50.2.8.5(n.s.t 70.0.2) = 4.621 m <sup>2</sup> H = (12.7.2.8.9.2.8.2) = 2.53.8.60.4.99 Not control (12.7) = $\frac{7}{10.8} \frac{1}{10.2}$ Not control (12.7) = $\frac{7}{10.8} \frac{1}{10.2}$ Tuble anoth (12.7) = $\frac{7}{10.8} \frac{1}{10.2}$ Not control (12.7) = $\frac{1}{10.8} \frac{1}{10.2}$ Tuble anoth (12.7) = $\frac{1}{10.8} \frac{1}{10.2}$ Not control (12.7) = $\frac{1}{10.8} \frac{1}{10.2}$ Not control (12.7) = $\frac{1}{10.8} \frac{1}{10.2}$ Not control (12.7) = $\frac{1}{10.8} \frac{1}{10.8} \frac{1}{10$	$ \begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 $
Simily weight = 1142 × 15 × 7 (Spin m) × $\frac{1}{8}^{2}$ = $39/9$ / $3^{2}$ Tible alge ham wal = (119 + 59.9) × 2 = $[92.7 \text{ J}]$ Table annel (19) = $9.5x \cdot 45 \times (7.8x - 7x_0.3) = 46.51 \text{ m}^{3}$ $n = (3) = 9.00 \times 2 \times 24x_0 = 8.5 \times 87.4 \times 19$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $(7.160 \times 9.95.2) = 11.637 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[7.16 \times 9.95.2) = 11.637 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[7.16 \times 9.95.2) = 11.637 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[7.16 \times 9.95.2) = 11.637 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[7.16 \times 9.95.2) = 11.637 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[7.16 \times 9.95.2] = 10.23 \times 9.10 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[7.16 \times 9.95.2] = [0.23 \times 9.1] = 0.23 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[0.23 \times 9.1] = 0.23 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[0.23 \times 9.1] = 0.23 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[0.20 \times 9.1] = 0.23 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[0.20 \times 9.1] = 0.23 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[0.20 \times 9.1] = 0.23 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[0.20 \times 9.1] = 0.23 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[0.20 \times 9.2] = 0.23 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $[0.20 \times 9.2] = 0.23 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $0.18 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $0.18 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $0.18 \times 270 = 1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $0.18 \times 270 = 5700 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $0.18 \times 270 = 5700 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ $m^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ $m^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ $m^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $1000 \text{ m}^{3}$ Not connel ( $m^{3}$ ) = $1000 \text{ m}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 $
Simily weight = 1.448 K X # (gen m) X $\frac{1}{2}^{2} = 91 + 95$ $3264 \ alge ham wat = (11 + 9 + 51 + 3) \times 2 = [91 + 95]$ $3664 \ alge ham wat = (11 + 9 + 51 + 3) \times 2 = [91 + 95]$ $3664 \ alge ham wat = (11 + 9 + 51 + 3) \times 2 = [91 + 95]$ $3664 \ alge ham wat = (11 + 9 + 51 + 3) \times 2 = [91 + 93]$ $3664 \ alge ham wat = (11 + 9 + 51 + 3) \times 2 = [91 + 93]$ $3664 \ alge ham have = (11 + 93 + 31 + 10) \times 10 $	$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:second} \end{tabular} & \end$
Simily weight = 1.448.85.8 # (Spin re) $\times \frac{1}{8}^{2} = 34.9 \frac{1}{9}$ $3564 alge have sout = (11.9, + (4.9)) \times 2 = [11.9, 1] y]$ $7664 concrete (11.9, + (4.9)) \times 2 = [11.9, 1] y]$ $166 concrete (11.9, + (4.9, 4.9)) \times 2 = [11.9, 1] y]$ $166 concrete (12.9) = 9.606.853 (15.44 - 150.0) = 14.631 m^{3}$ $16 - 0.000000 colors = [2.100 m^{3}]$ $166 concrete (12.9) = [2.100 m^{3}]$ $167 concrete (12.9) = [2.100 m^{3}]$ $168 concrete (12.9) = [2.100 m^{3}]$ 168 concrete (12.9) =	$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:second} \end{tabular} & \end$
Simily acyles INVESTER (Spin re) $X \stackrel{d}{=} = 34.9 \text{ B}$ The apple have some $e(1117 + 6.97 + 3) \times 2 = [312.7 \text{ B}]$ The apple have some $e(1117 + 6.97 + 3) \times 2 = [312.7 \text{ B}]$ The apple have some $e(1117 + 6.97 + 3) \times 2 = [312.7 \text{ B}]$ The apple have some $e(212.87 + 623.87 \times 10^{-2} + 25, 87.47 + 92)$ Not convert $(137 = 12.87 + 92) = 12.813.12$ Not convert $(137 = 12.137 + 92) = 12.813.12$ Not convert $(137 = 12.137 + 92) = 12.819 = 0.219.238 + 2.405 \text{ m}^{2}$ Not convert $(137 \times 10^{-2} \times 13^{-2} \times 10^{-2} \times 10^{$	$ \begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\$
Simily acycle = 1.448.16.8.# (Spin m) $X \stackrel{g}{=} = 39.9.9.4$ $X \stackrel{g}{=} X \stackrel{g}{=} A $	$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq: 1.5} \end{tabular} & t$
Simily acysts = 1.448.16.8.7 (Spin m) $X \stackrel{g}{=} = 39.9 \frac{g}{2}$ Tible alge ham wal = (11.9 + 5.9.2) $X = [93.2 \frac{g}{2}]$ Tible alge ham wal = (11.9 + 5.9.2) $X = [93.2 \frac{g}{2}]$ Tible anne (19) = 0.618.28.28.2010 = 25, 876.4 19 Not concele acyst = (21.2019-39.2) = 21.613.19 Not concele acyst = (21.2019-39.2) = 21.613.19 Not concele (19) = 0.618.28.2010 = 21.613.19 Not concele (19) = $(21.2019-39.2) = 21.613.19$ Not concele (19) = $(21.001-39.2) = 0.18.19$ Not concele (19) = $(21.001-39.2) = 0.18.19$ Not concele (19) = $(21.001-39.2) = 52.5 \text{ cm}^2$ (2013) Noted (19) = $(21.001, 420 = 32.613) = 52.5 \text{ cm}^2$ (2013) Noted (19) = $(21.001, 420 = 32.613) = 10.19.19$ Noted (19) = $(21.001, 420 = 32.613) = 10.19.19$ Noted (19) = $(21.001, 420 = 52.5 \text{ cm}^2$ (2013) Noted (19) = $(21.001, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2013) Noted (19) = $(21.001, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2014) = $(21.001, 420 = (21.001, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2015) = $(21.001, 420 = (21.001, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2015) = $(21.001, 420 = (21.001, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2015) = $(21.001, 420 = (21.001, 420 = (21.001, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2014) = $(21.001, 420 = (21.001, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2014) = $(21.001, 420 = (21.001, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2015) = $(21.001, 420 = (21.001, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2014) = $(21.001, 420 = (21.510, 420 = 52.55 \text{ cm}^2)$ (2014) = (	IS       Norder 4       Anno have = 2000       11 law         0       e       Manday       e       [1 state + 1]] + [[1 (state - 1)]] = 923, 49         0       e       Manday       e       [2 state + 1]] + [[1 (state - 1)]] = 923, 49         0       calley boyth       y man have > (28 most) + kallout + ((kno))       = 36 have         0       calley boyth       y man have > (28 most) + kallout + ((kno))       = 36 have         0       calley boyth       y man have > (28 most) + kallout + ((kno))       = 36 have         0       calley boyth       y man have > (28 most) + kallout + ((kno))       = 36 have         0       calley boyth       y man have > (28 most) + kallout + ((kno))       = 36 have         10       form have       g state + kallout + ((kno))       = 36 have         10       form have       g state + kallout + ((kno))       [10 a 20)         10       form have       g state + kallout + ((kno))       [10 a 20)         10       ford any det ((k) = 10 m + ((kno))       ((kno) have       (kno) have         10       ford any det ((k) = 10 m + ((kno))       ((kno) have       (kno) have         10       ford any det ((k) = 10 m + ((kno) have       (kno) have       (kno) have         10       ford any det ((k) = 10 m + ((k



```
function y = frame(x)
x = framemapvariables(x);
% 1. SLAB DESIGN
% 1.1 LOADING CALCULATIONS
bxdirection = x(2) * (17);
bydirection = x(19) * (20);
bbayarea = bxdirection*bydirection; % in m2
snominalcover = 25; % in mm
sa = snominalcover+(12/2); % in mm
simposedload = 2.5; % in KN/m2 for office building
spartitionwall = 1.5; % in KN/m2 for office building
sselfweight = x(1)*25/1000; % in KN/m2
sfinisheweight = 1.25; % in KN/m2
stotalpermanentload = sselfweight+sfinisheweight; % in KN/m2
stotalvariableload = simposedload+spartitionwall; % in KN/m2
sdesignload = (1.35*stotalpermanentload)+(1.65*stotalvariableload);% in KN/m2
sf = sdesignload*x(2); % in KN/m
% 1.2 BENDING MOMENT AND SHEAR FORCE CALCULATIONS
smpespan = 0.086*sf*x(2); % slab moment pinned end span
smfesup = -0.063*sf*x(2); % slab moment fixed end support
smfespan = 0.063*sf*x(2); % slab moment fixed end span
smfisupport = -0.086*sf*x(2); % slab moment first interior support
smaispan = 0.063*sf*x(2); % slab moment all interior span
smoisupport = -0.063*sf*x(2); % slab moment other interior support
sspesupport = 0.4*sf; % slab shear pinned end support
ssfesupport = 0.48*sf; % slab shear fixed end support
ssfisupport = 0.6*sf; % slab shear first interior support
ssoisupport = 0.5*sf; % slab shear other interior support
% 1.3 FLEXURE DESIGN/CHECK
seffectivedepth = x(1)-snominalcover-(12/2); % in mm
sbreadth = 1000; % in mm
smpespank = (smpespan*1000000)/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smpespanleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smpespank))^(1/2)); % in mm
smpespanmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
```

```
if smpespanleverarm <= smpespanmaxleverarm</pre>
```

```
smpespanz = smpespanleverarm;
```

# else

smpespanz = smpespanmaxleverarm;

# end

```
smpespanrequiredsteel = (smpespan*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smpespanz);
smpespanminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smpespanprovidedsteel = x(6)*3.14*x(5)*x(5)/4;
smpespanmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smpespanprovidedsteel);
```

smfesupk = (abs(smfesup)\*1000000)/(sbreadth\*seffectivedepth\*seffectivedepth\*x(3));

```
smfesupleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smfesupk))^(1/2)); % in mm
smfesupmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smfesupleverarm <= smfesupmaxleverarm</pre>
    smfesupz = smfesupleverarm;
 else
    smfesupz = smfesupmaxleverarm;
 end
smfesuprequiredsteel = (smfesup*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smfesupz);
smfesupminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smfesupprovidedsteel = x(8) \times 3.14 \times (7) \times (7)/4;
smfesupmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smfesupprovidedsteel);
smfespank = (smfespan*1000000)/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smfespanleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smfespank))^(1/2)); % in mm
smfespanmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smfespanleverarm <= smfespanmaxleverarm</pre>
    smfespanz = smfespanleverarm;
 else
    smfespanz = smfespanmaxleverarm;
 end
smfespanrequiredsteel = (smfespan*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smfespanz);
smfespanminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smfespanprovidedsteel = x(10) \times 3.14 \times (9) \times (9) / 4;
smfespanmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smfespanprovidedsteel);
smfisupportk = (abs(smfisupport)*1000000) / 
(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smfisupportleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smfisupportk))^(1/2)); % in ∠
mm
smfisupportmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smfisupportleverarm <= smfisupportmaxleverarm</pre>
    smfisupportz = smfisupportleverarm;
 else
    smfisupportz = smfisupportmaxleverarm;
 end
smfisupportrequiredsteel = (abs(smfisupport)*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smfisupportz);
smfisupportminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^{(2/3)}sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smfisupportprovidedsteel = x(12) \times 3.14 \times (11) \times (11)/4;
smfisupportmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smfisupportprovidedsteel);
smaispank = (smaispan*1000000)/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smaispanleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smaispank))^(1/2)); % in mm
smaispanmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smaispanleverarm <= smaispanmaxleverarm</pre>
    smaispanz = smaispanleverarm;
 else
    smaispanz = smaispanmaxleverarm;
 end
smaispanrequiredsteel = (smaispan*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smaispanz);
smaispanminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^{(2/3)*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smaispanprovidedsteel = x(14)*3.14*x(13)*x(13)/4;
smaispanmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smaispanprovidedsteel);
```

```
smoisupportk = (abs(smoisupport)*1000000) / 
(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smoisupportleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smoisupportk))^(1/2)); % in✔
mm
smoisupportmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smoisupportleverarm <= smoisupportmaxleverarm</pre>
    smoisupportz = smoisupportleverarm;
 else
    smoisupportz = smoisupportmaxleverarm;
 end
smoisupportrequiredsteel = (abs(smoisupport)*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smoisupportz);
smoisupportminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^{(2/3)}*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smoisupportprovidedsteel = x(16)*3.14*x(15)*x(15)/4;
smoisupportmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smoisupportprovidedsteel);
sbrsrequiredarea = 0.2*smpespanprovidedsteel;
sbrsprovidedarea = x(22) * 3.14 * x(21) * x(21) / 4;
% 1.4 SHEAR DESIGN/CHECK
srow = smpespanprovidedsteel/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth);
sk1 = 1+((200/seffectivedepth)^(1/2));
 if sk1>2
    sk1=2;
 else
    sk1= 1+ ((200/seffectivedepth)^(1/2));
 end
sresistanceshear = (0.12*sk1*((100*srow*x(3))^(1/3))/1000) ∠
*sbreadth*seffectivedepth;
sminshear = 0.035*((sk1)^{(3/2)})*(x(3)^{(1/2)});
sminresistanceshear = (sminshear+(sk1*(ssfisupport*1000)/sbreadth*seffectivedepth)) 
*sbreadth*seffectivedepth;
% 1.5 DEFLECTION DESIGN/CHECK
sk2 = 1.3 ; % for one way solid slab
srowzero = (x(3)^{(1/2)})/1000;
srowone = smpespanprovidedsteel/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth);
srowtwo = 0;
 if srowone<=srowzero
    sspantodepthratio = sk2*(11+(1.5*((x(3)^(1/2))*(srowzero/srowone)))+(3.2*(x(3)^ ✓
(1/2))*(((srowzero/srowone)-1)^(3/2))));
 else
    sspantodepthratio = sk2*(11+(1.5*((x(3)^(1/2))*(srowzero/(srowone-srowtwo))))+ ∠
((1/12)*(x(3)^(1/2))*((srowtwo/srowzero)^(1/2))));
 end
sf1 = (500*smpespanprovidedsteel)/(x(4)*smpespanrequiredsteel);
sbasicspantodepthratio = sspantodepthratio*sf1; % in mm
sactualspantodepthratio = x(2)*1000/seffectivedepth; % in mm
```

```
% 1.6 CRACKING DESIGN/CHECK
skc = 0.4;
smincrackingarea = (skc*0.3*(x(3)^{(3/2)})*sbreadth*x(1))/x(4);
% 1.7 QUANTITY CALCULATION
% 1.7.1 STEEL CALCULATION
sbrlx = (x(2) *x(17)) + (x(18)/1000) - (2*snominalcover/1000); % SLAB BOTTOM ∠
REINFORCEMENT IN X DIRECTION IN M
sbrly = (x(19)*x(20))+(x(18)/1000)-(2*snominalcover/1000)-0.040; % SLAB BOTTOM
REINFORCEMENT IN Y DIRECTION IN M
sbrnmespan = sbrly*1000/(1000/x(6)); % SLAB BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT NUMBER OF main 2
BARS IN END SPAN
sbrnmispan = sbrly*1000/(1000/x(14)); % SLAB BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT NUMBER OF main ∠
BARS IN INTERIOR SPAN
sbrnsispan = sbrlx*1000/(1000/x(14)); % SLAB BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT NUMBER OF ∠
secondary BARS
sbrmweight = ((sbrnmespan*(x(2)-snominalcover/1000-0.040)*2*x(5)*x(5))/162.2)+ ∠
(sbrnmispan*(x(2)-snominalcover/1000-0.040)*(x(17)-2)*x(14)*x(14)/162.2); % SLAB ∠
BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT MAIN BAR WEIGHT
sbrsweight = sbrnsispan*x(14)*x(2)*x(21)*x(21)/162.2; % WEIGHT IN KG
sbrtweight = sbrmweight+sbrsweight; % SLAB BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT TOTAL STEEL WEIGHT #
IN KG
strelx = 0.2*x(2)*2; % SLAB TOP REINFORCEMENT AT END SUPPORT IN X DIRECTION IN M
```

strilx = 0.3\*x(2)\*(x(17)-1); % SLAB TOP REINFORCEMENT AT INTERIOR SUPPORT IN X DIRECTION IN M strly = (x(19)\*x(20))+(x(18)/1000)-(2\*snominalcover/1000)-0.040; % SLAB TOP REINFORCEMENT IN Y DIRECTION IN M strmweight = ((strly\*strelx\*x(7)\*x(7))/162.2)+(strly\*strilx\*x(15)\*x(15)/162.2); % SLAB TOP REINFORCEMENT MAIN BAR WEIGHT IN KG strsweight = (strelx\*1000/(1000/x(14))\*x(21)\*x(21)/162.2)+(strilx\*1000/(1000/x(14)) \*x(21)\*x(21)/162.2); % WEIGHT IN KG strtweight = strmweight+strsweight; % TOTAL TOP REINFORCEMENT WEIGHT

slabtotalsteel = sbrtweight+strtweight; % TOTAL SLAB STEEL WEIGHT IN KG
slabtotalbuildingsteel = slabtotalsteel\*6; % TOTAL BUILDING SLAB STEEL WEIGHT IN KG

### % 1.7.2 CONCRETE CALCULATION

stc = ((x(2)\*x(17))+(x(19)\*x(20)))\*2\*x(18)\*x(1)/1000; % SLAB TOTAL CONCRETE in M3
stcweight = stc\*2400; % SLAB TOTAL CONCRETE in KG
sncweight = stcweight-slabtotalsteel; % NET AMOUNT OF CONCRETE IN KG
snc = sncweight/2400; % NET AMOUNT OF CONCRETE IN M3
sncbuilding = snc\*6; % NET AMOUNT OF BUILDING SLAB CONCRETE IN M3

## % 1.7.3 FORMWORK CALCULATION

sfa = (((x(2)-(x(18)/1000))\*x(17))\*((x(19)-(x(18)/1000)\*x(20))))\*6; % AREA OF \u03c4 FORMWORK FOR BUILDING sfweight = sfa\*4/1000\*2710; %WEIGHT OF FORMWORK FOR BUILDING

```
% 2. BEAM DESIGN
% 2.1 MAIN BEAM DESIGN
% 2.1.1 FIRE RESISTANCE/COVER DETERMINATION
bnominalcover = 25; % IN MM
baxisdistance = bnominalcover+8+(32/2); % AXIS DISTANCE FOR 1.5 HR OF FIRE
% 2.1.2 LOADING CALULATIONS
mbmaxdesignload = sdesignload; %MAIN BEAM MAXIMUM DESIGN LOAD
mbmindesignload = 1.25*stotalpermanentload; %MAIN BEAM MINIMUM DESIGN LOAD
mbmaxslab = 1.1*mbmaxdesignload*x(2); %MAIN BEAM MAXIMUM DESIGN LOAD DUE TO SLAB
mbminslab = 1.1*x(2)*mbmindesignload; %MAIN BEAM MINIMUM DESIGN LOAD DUE TO SLAB
mbmax = 1.25*x(23)*x(18)*25/1000000; %MAIN BEAM MAXIMUM DESIGN LOAD DUE TO MAIN ∠
BEAMS
mbmin = mbmax;
mbtoalmaxload = mbmaxslab+mbmax; %IN KN/M
mbtotalminload = mbminslab+mbmin; % IN KN/M
% 2.1.3 BENDING MOMENT AND SHEAR FORCE ANALYSIS/ SUB FRAME ANALYSIS
mbibeam = x(18) * (x(23) ^3) / 12; % MOMENT OF INERTIA OF BEAM
mbicolumn = x(24) * (x(25) ^3) / 12; % MOMENT OF INERTIA OF COLUMN
mbendk = mbibeam/x(19)*1000; %STIFFNESS OF END BEAM
mbintk = mbendk; %STIFFNESS OF INTERIOR BEAM
storeyheight = 3.5;
mbuppercolumnk = mbicolumn/storeyheight*1000; %STIFFNESS OF UPPER COLUMN
mblowercolumnk = mbicolumn/storeyheight*1000; %STIFFNESS OF LOWER COLUMN
mbdfendjointb = mbendk/(mbendk+(2*mbuppercolumnk)); % MAIN BEAM DISTRIBUTION FACTOR
AT END JOINT FOR BEAM
mbdfendjointc = (1-mbdfendjointb)/2; % COLUMN DISTRIBUTION FACTOR AT END JOINT FOR
COLUMN
mbdfinteriorjointendb = mbendk/(mbendk+(0.5*mbintk)+(2*mblowercolumnk)); % MAIN 
BEAM DISTRIBUTION FACTOR AT INTERIOR JOINT FOR END BEAM
mbdfinteriorjointintb = (0.5*mbendk) / (mbendk+(0.5*mbintk)+(2*mblowercolumnk)); % ∠
MAIN BEAM DISTRIBUTION FACTOR AT INTERIOR JOINT FOR INTERIOR BEAM
mbdfinteriorjointc = (mbuppercolumnk)/(mbendk+(0.5*mbintk)+(2*mblowercolumnk)); %
COLUMN DISTRIBUTION FACTOR AT END JOINT FOR COLUMN
mbendmomentmax = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19)*x(19))/12;
mbintmomentmax = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19) *x(19))/12;
mbendmomentmin = (mbtotalminload*x(19)*x(19))/12;
mbintmomentmin = (mbtotalminload*x(19)*x(19))/12;
rlejuc = mbdfendjointc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper column of end 4
joint (row1, column1)
rlejb = mbdfendjointb; % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of end joint "
(row1, column2)
rlejlc = rlejuc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column of end joint "
(row1, column3)
rlijuc = 0; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - upper column of interior ¥
joint (row1, column4)
rlijeb = rlejb/2; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - end beam of interior "
joint (row1, column5)
rlijib = 0; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - interior beam of interior ¥
joint (row1, column6)
```

rlijlc = 0; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior joint (row1, column7) r2ejuc = 0; % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper column of end joint (row2, " column1) r2ejb = mbdfinteriorjointendb/2; % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of end " joint (row2, column2) r2ejlc = 0; % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column of end joint (row2, 4 column3) r2ijuc = mbdfinteriorjointc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - upper column 4 of interior joint (row2, column4) r2ijeb = mbdfinteriorjointendb; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - end beam ¥ of interior joint (row2, column5) r2ijib = mbdfinteriorjointintb; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - interior beam of interior joint (row2,column6) r2ijlc = r2ijuc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior ¥ joint (row2, column7) r3ejuc = (r1ejuc/r1ijeb)-r2ejuc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper column # of end joint (row3, column1) r3ejb = (r1ejb/r1ijeb)-r2ejb; % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of end ⊭ joint (row3, column2) r3ejlc = (r1ejlc/r1ijeb)-r2ejlc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column # of end joint (row3, column3) r3ijuc = (r1ijuc/r1ijeb)-r2ijuc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - upper column of interior joint (row3,column4) r3ijeb = (r1ijeb/r1ijeb)-r2ijeb; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - end beam ⊭ of interior joint (row3, column5) r3ijib = (r1ijib/r1ijeb)-r2ijib; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - interior ⊻ beam of interior joint (row3,column6) r3ijlc = (r1ijlc/r1ijeb)-r2ijlc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior joint (row3,column7) r4ejuc = (r2ejuc/r2ejb)-r1ejuc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper column 4 of end joint (row4, column1) r4ejb = (r2ejb/r2ejb)-r1ejb; % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of end joint (row4, column2) r4ejlc = (r2ejlc/r2ejb)-r1ejlc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column # of end joint (row4, column3) r4ijuc = (r2ijuc/r2ejb)-r1ijuc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - upper column of interior joint (row4, column4) r4ijeb = (r2ijeb/r2ejb)-r1ijeb; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - end beam # of interior joint (row4, column5) r4ijib = (r2ijib/r2ejb)-r1ijib; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - interior beam of interior joint (row4, column6) r4ijlc = (r2ijlc/r2ejb)-r1ijlc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior joint (row4, column7) r5ejuc = (r3ejuc/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper⊻ column of end joint (row5, column1) r5ejb = (r3ejb/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of ∠ end joint (row5, column2) r5ejlc = (r3ejlc/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower⊻ column of end joint (row5, column3) r5ijuc = (r3ijuc/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint - 🖌 upper column of interior joint (row5, column4) r5ijeb = (r3ijeb/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint - ∠

end beam of interior joint (row5, column5) r5ijib = (r3ijib/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint - " interior beam of interior joint (row5, column6) r5ijlc = (r3ijlc/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint lower column of interior joint (row5,column7) r6ejuc = (r4ejuc/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint⊻ - upper column of end joint (row6, column1) r6ejb = (r4ejb/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint -⊻ beam of end joint (row6, column2) r6ejlc = (r4ejlc/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column of end joint (row6, column3) r6ijuc = (r4ijuc/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at interior ∠ joint - upper column of interior joint (row6, column4) r6ijeb = (r4ijeb/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at interior⊻ joint - end beam of interior joint (row6, column5) r6ijib = (r4ijib/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint - interior beam of interior joint (row6, column6) r6ijlc = (r4ijlc/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior joint (row6, column7) clrlejuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 1 - upper column of end joint (casel, 4 row1, column1) clrlejb = -mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - beam of end joint 4 (case1, row1, column2) clrlejlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 1 - beam of end joint (case1, row1, ¥ column3) clrlijuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 1 - upper column of interior joint # (case1, row1, column4) clrlijeb = mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - end beam of interior 4 joint (case1, row1, column5) clrlijib = -mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - interior beam of ¥ interior joint (case1,row1,column6) clrlijlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 1 - lower column of interior joint 🖌 (case1, row1, column7) clr2ejuc = r5ejuc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - upper column of 4 end joint (case1,row2,column1) clr2ejb = r5ejb\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - beam of end joint " (case2,row1,column2) clr2ejlc = r5ejlc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - beam of end joint (case1, row2, column3) clr2ijuc = r5ijuc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - upper column of ¥ interior joint (case1, row2, column4) clr2ijeb = r5ijeb\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - end beam of *v* interior joint (case1, row2, column5) clr2ijib = r5ijib\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - interior beam of " interior joint (case1, row2, column6) clr2ijlc = r5ijlc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - lower column of # interior joint (case1,row2,column7) clr3ejuc = r6ejuc\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 upper column of end joint (case1, row3, column1) clr3ejb = r6ejb\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 beam of end joint (case2,row3,column2) clr3ejlc = r6ejlc\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 beam of end joint (case1, row3, column3)

clr3ijuc = r6ijuc\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1, row3, column4) clr3ijeb = r6ijeb\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 end beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column5) clr3ijib = r6ijib\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column6) clr3ijlc = r6ijlc\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1,row3,column7) clr4ejuc = clr1ejuc+clr2ejuc+clr3ejuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - 4 upper column of end joint (case1, row4, column1) clr4ejb = clr1ejb+clr2ejb+clr3ejb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - beam of end joint (case2,row4,column2) clr4ejlc = clr1ejlc+clr2ejlc+clr3ejlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - 4 beam of end joint (case1, row4, column3) clr4ijuc = clr1ijuc+clr2ijuc+clr3ijuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - ⊮ upper column of interior joint (case1, row4, column4) clr4ijeb = clr1ijeb+clr2ijeb+clr3ijeb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - end⊻ beam of interior joint (case1, row4, column5) clr4ijib = clr1ijib+clr2ijib+clr3ijib; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1, row4, column6) clr4ijlc = clr1ijlc+clr2ijlc+clr3ijlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1,row4,column7) c2rlejuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 2 - upper column of end joint (case1, ¥ row1, column1) c2rlejb = -mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - beam of end joint 4 (case1, row1, column2) c2r1ejlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 2 - beam of end joint (case1,row1, ∠ column3) c2rlijuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 2 - upper column of interior joint " (case1, row1, column4) c2rlijeb = mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - end beam of interior 4 joint (case1, row1, column5) c2rlijib = -mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 2 - interior beam of ¥ interior joint (case1, row1, column6) c2rlijlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 2 - lower column of interior joint ¥ (case1, row1, column7) c2r2ejuc = r5ejuc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - upper column of " end joint (case1, row2, column1) c2r2ejb = r5ejb\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - beam of end joint ¥ (case2,row1,column2) c2r2ejlc = r5ejlc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - beam of end 4 joint (case1,row2,column3) c2r2ijuc = r5ijuc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - upper column of ¥ interior joint (case1, row2, column4) c2r2ijeb = r5ijeb\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - end beam of ¥ interior joint (case1, row2, column5) c2r2ijib = r5ijib\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - interior beam of ∠ interior joint (case1, row2, column6) c2r2ijlc = r5ijlc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - lower column of interior joint (case1, row2, column7) c2r3ejuc = r6ejuc\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 🖌 upper column of end joint (case1, row3, column1) c2r3ejb = r6ejb\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4

beam of end joint (case2,row3,column2) c2r3ejlc = r6ejlc\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 beam of end joint (case1, row3, column3) c2r3ijuc = r6ijuc\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1,row3,column4) c2r3ijeb = r6ijeb\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 end beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column5) c2r3ijib = r6ijib\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1,row3,column6) c2r3ijlc = r6ijlc\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1, row3, column7) c2r4ejuc = c2r1ejuc+c2r2ejuc+c2r3ejuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - 4 upper column of end joint (case1, row4, column1) c2r4ejb = c2r1ejb+c2r2ejb+c2r3ejb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - beam of ∠ end joint (case2,row4,column2) c2r4ejlc = c2r1ejlc+c2r2ejlc+c2r3ejlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - 4 beam of end joint (case1, row4, column3) c2r4ijuc = c2r1ijuc+c2r2ijuc+c2r3ijuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1,row4,column4) c2r4ijeb = c2r1ijeb+c2r2ijeb+c2r3ijeb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - end beam of interior joint (case1, row4, column5) c2r4ijib = c2r1ijib+c2r2ijib+c2r3ijib; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - ⊻ interior beam of interior joint (case1,row4,column6) c2r4ijlc = c2r1ijlc+c2r2ijlc+c2r3ijlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - ¥ lower column of interior joint (case1, row4, column7) c3rlejuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 3 - upper column of end joint (case1, 4 row1, column1) c3rlejb = -mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - beam of end joint 4 (case1, row1, column2) c3r1ejlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 3 - beam of end joint (case1, row1, 4 column3) c3rlijuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 3 - upper column of interior joint 🖌 (case1, row1, column4) c3rlijeb = mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - end beam of interior " joint (case1,row1,column5) c3rlijib = -mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 3 - interior beam of ¥ interior joint (case1, row1, column6) c3r1ijlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 3 - lower column of interior joint 2 (case1, row1, column7) c3r2ejuc = r5ejuc\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - upper column of ∠ end joint (case1, row2, column1) c3r2ejb = r5ejb\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - beam of end joint # (case2,row1,column2) c3r2ejlc = r5ejlc\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - beam of end ¥ joint (case1, row2, column3) c3r2ijuc = r5ijuc\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - upper column of ¥ interior joint (case1,row2,column4) c3r2ijeb = r5ijeb\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - end beam of ¥ interior joint (case1, row2, column5) c3r2ijib = r5ijib\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - interior beam of " interior joint (case1, row2, column6) c3r2ijlc = r5ijlc\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - lower column of " interior joint (case1, row2, column7)

c3r3ejuc = r6ejuc\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 upper column of end joint (case1, row3, column1) c3r3ejb = r6ejb\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 beam of end joint (case2,row3,column2) c3r3ejlc = r6ejlc\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 beam of end joint (case1, row3, column3) c3r3ijuc = r6ijuc\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1,row3,column4) c3r3ijeb = r6ijeb\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 end beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column5) c3r3ijib = r6ijib\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column6) c3r3ijlc = r6ijlc\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1,row3,column7) c3r4ejuc = c3r1ejuc+c3r2ejuc+c3r3ejuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - ∠ upper column of end joint (case1, row4, column1) c3r4ejb = c3r1ejb+c3r2ejb+c3r3ejb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - beam of ∠ end joint (case2,row4,column2) c3r4ejlc = c3r1ejlc+c3r2ejlc+c3r3ejlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - 4 beam of end joint (case1, row4, column3) c3r4ijuc = c3r1ijuc+c3r2ijuc+c3r3ijuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1, row4, column4) c3r4ijeb = c3r1ijeb+c3r2ijeb+c3r3ijeb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - end **k** beam of interior joint (case1, row4, column5) c3r4ijib = c3r1ijib+c3r2ijib+c3r3ijib; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1,row4,column6) c3r4ijlc = c3r1ijlc+c3r2ijlc+c3r3ijlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1,row4,column7) % 2.1.3.1 FINAL BENDING MOMENT AND SHEAR FORCE ANALYSIS/ SUB FRAME ANALYSIS clr1bendsupport = clr4ejb; % Load case 1 - Beam moment end support mbvl = (mbtoalmaxload\*x(19)/2)-((clr4ijeb-abs(clr4ejb))/x(19)); % Main beam - Left¥ support moment for load case 1 mbvr = (mbtoalmaxload\*x(19))-(mbvl); % Main beam - Right support moment for load ¥ case 1 mba = mbvl/mbtoalmaxload; % Main beam load case 1 - distance to zero shear c1mbmaxsagging = (mbvl\*mba/2) - abs(c1r4ejb); % Load case 1 main beam - maximum ⊭ sagging clrlbendspan = clmbmaxsagging; % Load case 1 - Beam moment end span clrlbisleft = clr4ijeb; % Load case 1 - Beam moment interior left support clrlbisright = clr4ijib; % Load case 1 - Beam moment interior right support clrlbinteriorspan = ((mbtoalmaxload\*x(19)\*x(19))/8)-abs(clrlbisright); % Load case **x** 1 - Beam moment interior span clr2ucendsupport = clr4ejuc; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment end support clr2ucendspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment end span clr2ucisleft = clr4ijuc; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior left support clr2ucisright = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior right support clr2ucinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior span clr3lcendsupport = clr4ejlc; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment end support clr3lcendspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment end span clr3lcisleft = clr4ijlc; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior left support clr3lcisright = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior right support clr3lcinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior span

```
c2r1bendsupport = c2r4ejb; % Load case 2 - Beam moment end support
c2mbvl = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19)/2)-((c2r4ijeb-abs(c2r4ejb))/x(19)); % Main beam -∠
Left support moment for load case 2
c2mbvr = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19))-(c2mbvl); % Main beam - Right support moment for ∠
load case 2
c2mba = c2mbvl/mbtoalmaxload; % Main beam load case 2 - distance to zero shear
c2mbmaxsagging = (c2mbvl*mba/2) - abs(c2r4ejb); % Load case 2 main beam - maximum 
sagging
c2rlbendspan = c2mbmaxsagging; % Load case 2 - Beam moment end span
c2r1bisleft = c2r4ijeb; % Load case 2 - Beam moment interior left support
c2r1bisright = c2r4ijib; % Load case 2 - Beam moment interior right support
c2rlbinteriorspan = ((mbtoalmaxload*x(19)*x(19))/8)-abs(c2rlbisright); % Load case 
2 - Beam moment interior span
c2r2ucendsupport = c2r4ejuc; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment end support
c2r2ucendspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment end span
c2r2ucisleft = c2r4ijuc; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior left support
c2r2ucisright = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior right support
c2r2ucinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior span
c2r3lcendsupport = c2r4ejlc; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment end support
c2r3lcendspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment end span
c2r3lcisleft = c2r4ijlc; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior left support
c2r3lcisright = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior right support
c2r3lcinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior span
c3r1bendsupport = c3r4ejb; % Load case 3 - Beam moment end support
c3mbvl = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19)/2)-((c3r4ijeb-abs(c3r4ejb))/x(19)); % Main beam -∠
Left support moment for load case 3
c3mbvr = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19))-(c3mbvl); % Main beam - Right support moment for ∠
load case 3
c3mba = c3mbvl/mbtoalmaxload; % Main beam load case 3 - distance to zero shear
c3mbmaxsagging = (c3mbvl*mba/2) - abs(c3r4ejb); % Load case 3 main beam - maximum 🖌
sagging
c3rlbendspan = c3mbmaxsagging; % Load case 3 - Beam moment end span
c3r1bisleft = c3r4ijeb; % Load case 3 - Beam moment interior left support
c3r1bisright = c3r4ijib; % Load case 3 - Beam moment interior right support
c3rlbinteriorspan = ((mbtoalmaxload*x(19)*x(19))/8)-abs(c3rlbisright); % Load case⊭
3 - Beam moment interior span
c3ucendsupport = c3r4ejuc; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment end support
c3r2ucendspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment end span
c3r2ucisleft = c3r4ijuc; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior left support
c3r2ucisright = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior right support
c3r2ucinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior span
c3r3lcendsupport = c3r4ejlc; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment end support
c3r3lcendspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment end span
c3r3lcisleft = c3r4ijlc; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior left support
c3r3lcisright = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior right support
c3r3lcinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior span
clrlsfbendsupport = mbvl; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force end support
```

clrlsfbendendspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force end support
clrlsfbisleft = mbvr; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force interior left support
clrlsfbisright = (mbtoalmaxload\*x(19))/2; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force interior Left support

```
right support
clrlsfbinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force interior span
c2r2sfbendsupport = abs(c2mbvl); % Load case 2 - Beam shear force end support
c2r2sfbendendspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Beam shear force end span
c2r2sfbisleft = c2mbvr; % Load case 2 - Beam shear force interior left support
c2r2sfbisright = (mbtotalminload*x(19))/2; % Load case 2 - Beam shear force
interior right support
c2r2sfbinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Beam shear force interior span
c3r3sfbendsupport = c3mbvl; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force end support
c3r3sfbendendspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force end span
c3r3sfbisleft = c3mbvr; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force interior left support
c3r3sfbisright = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19))/2; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force interior⊻
right support
c3r3sfbinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force interior span
if abs(c2r1bendsupport)>abs(c1r1bendsupport)
   mbendsupportmoment = abs(c2r1bendsupport);% At end support
else
   mbendsupportmoment = abs(c2r1bendsupport); % At end support
end
mbinteriorsupportmoment = abs(c2r1bisleft); % At interior support
mbinteriorspanmoment = ((mbtoalmaxload*x(19))*x(19))/8)-mbinteriorsupportmoment; % ∠
At interior span
% 2.1.4 FLEXURE DESIGN/CHECK
% 2.1.4.1 At interior support
mbeffectivedepth = x(23) - bnominal cover - 10 - (18/2); % Effective depth of the main beam
mbk = (mbinteriorsupportmoment*1000000)/(x(18)*mbeffectivedepth*mbeffectivedepth*x ¥
(3));
mbkdash = 0.168;
mbleverarm = 0.5*mbeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*mbk))^(1/2)); % in mm
mbmaxleverarm = 0.95*mbeffectivedepth;
 if mbleverarm <= mbmaxleverarm</pre>
    mbz = mbleverarm;
 else
   mbz = mbmaxleverarm;
 end
mbrequiredsteel = (mbinteriorsupportmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*mbz); % Main beam 
tensile steel at interior support
mbminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*mbeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam⊻
minimum tensile steel at interior support
mbprovidedsteel = x(26)*3.14*x(27)*x(27)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at 
interior support
% 2.1.4.2 At end support
```

```
mbkes = (mbendsupportmoment*1000000)/(x(18)*mbeffectivedepth*mbeffectivedepth*x 4
(3));
mbkdashes = 0.168;
mbleverarmes = 0.5*mbeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*mbkes))^(1/2)); % in mm
```

```
mbmaxleverarmes = 0.95*mbeffectivedepth;
 if mbleverarmes <= mbmaxleverarmes</pre>
    mbzes = mbleverarmes;
 else
    mbzes = mbmaxleverarmes;
 end
mbrequiredsteeles = (mbendsupportmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*mbzes); % Main beam 
tensile steel at interior support
mbminimumsteeles = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*mbeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam≰
minimum tensile steel at interior support
mbprovidedsteeles = x(28)*3.14*x(29)*x(29)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at 
interior support
% 2.1.4.3 At interior span
mbkis = (mbinteriorspanmoment*1000000)/(x(18)*mbeffectivedepth*mbeffectivedepth*x ∠
(3));
mbkdashis = 0.168;
mbleverarmis = 0.5*mbeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*mbkis))^(1/2)); % in mm
mbmaxleverarmis = 0.95*mbeffectivedepth;
 if mbleverarmis <= mbmaxleverarmis</pre>
    mbzis = mbleverarmis;
 else
    mbzis = mbmaxleverarmis;
```

```
end
```

```
mbrequiredsteelis = (mbinteriorspanmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*mbzis); % Main beam 
tensile steel at interior span
mbminimumsteelis = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*mbeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam≰
minimum tensile steel at interior span
mbprovidedsteelis = x(30)*3.14*x(31)*x(31)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at ¥
interior span
```

```
% 2.1.4.4 At exterior span
```

```
mbkespan = (abs(c2r1bendspan)*1000000)/(x(18)*mbeffectivedepth*mbeffectivedepth*x 
(3));
mbkdashespan = 0.168;
mbleverarmespan = 0.5*mbeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*mbkespan))^(1/2)); % in mm
mbmaxleverarmespan = 0.95*mbeffectivedepth;
 if mbleverarmespan <= mbmaxleverarmespan</pre>
    mbzespan = mbleverarmespan;
 else
    mbzespan = mbmaxleverarmespan;
 end
mbrequiredsteelespan = (abs(c2r1bendspan)*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*mbzespan); % Main⊻
beam tensile steel at exterior span
mbminimumsteelespan = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*mbeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main 4
beam minimum tensile steel at exterior span
mbprovidedsteelespan = x(32)*3.14*x(33)*x(33)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel ¥
at exterior span
```

```
% 2.1.5 SHEAR DESIGN/CHECK
```

mbcriticaldistance = mbeffectivedepth+(x(24)/2);

% 2.1.5.1 At End Support

```
mbsdendsupport = c2r2sfbendsupport-(mbtoalmaxload*mbcriticaldistance/1000); % Main &
beam shear design end support
mbsdesroww = mbsdendsupport/(x(18)*0.9*mbeffectivedepth*(1-(x(3))/250)*x(3)); % &
Main beam shear design factor(row)
mbsdesrowwlimit = 0.138;
mbcottheta = 2.5;
mbsdesrequiredsteel = (mbsdendsupport*1000*1000)/(0.87*x(4)*0. &
9*mbeffectivedepth*mbcottheta); % Main beam shear design end support required steel
mbsdesprovidedsteel = x(34)*3.14*x(35)*x(35)/4; % % Main beam shear design end &
support provided steel
```

% 2.1.5.2 At Interior Support

```
mbsdisleft = abs(clr1sfbisleft)-(mbtoalmaxload*mbcriticaldistance/1000); % Main &
beam shear design interior support
mbsdisleftroww = mbsdisleft/(x(18)*0.9*mbeffectivedepth*(1-(x(3))/250)*x(3)); % &
Main beam shear design factor(row)
mbsdisleftrowwlimit = 0.138;
mbsdisleftcottheta = 2.5;
mbsdisleftrequiredsteel = (mbsdisleft*1000*1000)/(0.87*x(4)*0. &
9*mbeffectivedepth*mbsdisleftcottheta); % Main beam shear design end support &
required steel
mbsdisleftprovidedsteel = x(36)*3.14*x(37)*x(37)/4; % % Main beam shear design end &
support provided steel
```

```
mbsdisright = abs(clrlsfbisright)-(mbtoalmaxload*mbcriticaldistance/1000); % Main 
beam shear design interior support
mbsdisrightroww = mbsdisright/(x(18)*0.9*mbeffectivedepth*(1-(x(3))/250)*x(3)); % 
Main beam shear design factor(row)
mbsdisrightrowwlimit = 0.138;
mbsdisrightcottheta = 2.5;
mbsdisrightrequiredsteel = (mbsdisright*1000*1000)/(0.87*x(4)*0. 
9*mbeffectivedepth*mbsdisrightcottheta); % Main beam shear design end support 
required steel
mbsdisrightprovidedsteel = x(38)*3.14*x(39)*x(39)/4; % % Main beam shear design end 
support provided steel
```

#### % 2.1.6 DEFLECTION DESIGN/CHECK

```
mbactualspantodepth = x(19)/mbeffectivedepth;
mbdeflectionload = sf;
mbbeta = (500/x(4))/(mbprovidedsteelis/mbrequiredsteelis);
mbdeflectioneffectivebreadth = ((x(18)+(0.28*x(19)*1000))*x(1))+(x(18)* 
(mbeffectivedepth-x(1)));
mbalpha = (0.55+(0.0075*x(3)/(100*mbrequiredsteelis/mbdeflectioneffectivebreadth))) 
+(0.005*(x(3)^0.5)*(((x(3)^0.5)/ 
(100*mbrequiredsteelis/mbdeflectioneffectivebreadth))-10)^1.5);
mblimitingratio = 30*0.8*(x(19)/(mbdeflectioneffectivebreadth/x(18))) 
*mbbeta*mbalpha;
```

```
% 2.1.7 REINFOREMENT REQUIREMETNS/DETAILING
% 2.1.7.1 STEEL CALCULATION
mbbrespan1 = ((x(19)*1000-x(24))+(50*x(33))+(x(24)/2)+(50*x(33)/2)-(2*x(33))) \checkmark
/1000;% Main beam bottom reinforcement end span cut length
mbbrespanw = mbbrespan1*2*x(33) *x(33) /162.2; % Weight of end span bottom ∠
reinforcement in Kg
mbbrispanl = ((x(19)*1000-x(24))+(50*x(31))+(x(24)/2)+(50*x(31)/2)-(2*x(31)))
/1000;% Main beam bottom reinforcement interior span cut length
mbbrispanw = mbbrispanl*(x(20)-2)*x(31)*x(31)/162.2; % Weight of interior span ∠
bottom reinforcement in Kg
mbtresupport1 = ((((x(19)*1000)-x(24))/3)+(50*x(29)))/1000;% Main beam top∠
reinforcement exterior support cut length
mbbresupportw = mbtresupport1*2*x(29)*x(29)/162.2; % Weight of exterior support #
bottom reinforcement in Kg
mbtrisupport1 = ((((0.2*0.15*(x(19)*1000*2))*2)+x(24)))/1000; % Main beam top∠
interior support cut length
mbbrisupportw = mbtrisupportl*(x(20)-1)*x(27)*x(27)/162.2; % Weight of interior ∠
support bottom reinforcement in Kg
mbstirrupa = x(18) - (2*bnominalcover) - (2*x(37)/2); % Main beam stirrup breadth
mbstirrupb = x(23) - (2*bnominalcover) - (2*x(37)/2); % Main beam stirrup breadth
mbstirrup = ((2*(mbstirrupa+mbstirrupb))+(10*2*x(37))-(3*4*x(37)))/1000; % Main 
beam stirrup length
mbstirrupnumber = ((x(19)*1000-x(18))/(1000/x(36)))-1; % Number of stirrups
mbstirrupweight = mbstirrup*mbstirrupnumber*x(20)*x(37)/162.2; %Total stirrup⊮
weight for entire spans
mbtotalsteelonebeam = \mathbf{k}
mbstirrupweight+mbbrespanw+mbbresupportw+mbbrisupportw; % Total steel ¥
weight for one main beam
mbtotalsteel = mbtotalsteelonebeam*(x(17)+1)*6; % Total main beam steel for entire 4
building
```

# % 2.1.7.2 Concrete CALCULATION

```
mbcvolume = x(18)*x(23)*x(20)*x(19)/1000000;% Main beam volue of one total beam in 
m3
mbcfloorvolume = mbcvolume*(x(17)+1); % Main beam volume on one floor in m3
mbcbuildingvolume = mbcfloorvolume*6; % Main beam volume on one floor in m3
mbcbuildingnetweight = (mbcbuildingvolume*2400)-(mbtotalsteel); % Main beam net 
weight for whole building in m3
mbcbuildingnetvolume = mbcbuildingnetweight/2400; % Main beam net volume on whole 
builsing in m3
```

% 2.1.7.3 Formwork CALCULATION

```
mbfarea = (2*x(23)/1000+x(18)/1000)*x(19)*x(20)*(x(17)+1)*6; % Main beam formwork
area of whole building in m2
mbfweight = mbfarea*(4/1000)*2710; % Main beam formwork weight of whole building in
Kg
```

% 2.2 EDGE BEAM DESIGN
% 2.2.1 LOADING CALULATIONS

```
ebtarea = 0.5*(x(2)-x(24)/1000)*(1/3); % Edge beam triangular area m2
ebwcw = 5; % Edge beam loading due to walling, cladding, windows in Kn/m
ebwb = 1.25*(ebwcw+(25*x(18)*x(23))/1000000)*x(2); % Edge beam load plus walling in 
KN
ebsdload = (1.25*ebtarea*stotalpermanentload); % Edge beam dead load due to slab in 4
KN
ebslload = (1.25*ebtarea*stotalvariableload); % Edge beam live load due to slab in ¥
KN
% 2.2.2 BENDING MOMENT AND SHEAR FORCE ANALYSIS/ SUB FRAME ANALYSIS
ebr1bmes = ((0.078*ebwb)+(0.105*ebsdload)+(0.135*ebslload))*x(2); % Edge beam ∠
bending moment in end span Knm
ebr2bmisupport = ((0.105*ebwb)+(0.132*ebsdload)+(0.132*ebslload))*x(2); % Edge beam∉
bending moment in first interior support KNm
ebr3bmispan = ((0.046*ebwb)+(0.068*ebsdload)+(0.117*ebslload))*x(2); % Edge beam≰
bending moment in interior span KNm
ebr4bmosupport = ((0.079*ebwb)+(0.099*ebsdload)+(0.099*ebslload))*x(2); % Edge beam⊭
bending moment in other support KNm
ebr1sfes = ((0.395*ebwb)+(0.369*ebsdload)+(0.434*ebslload)); % Edge beam shear ∠
force in end span Knm
ebr2sfisupport = ((0.605*ebwb)+(0.631*ebsdload)+(0.649*ebslload)); % Edge beam⊭
shear force in first interior support KNm
ebr3sfispan = ((0.526*ebwb)+(0.532*ebsdload)+(0.622*ebslload)); % Edge beam shear 
force in interior span KNm
ebr4sfosupport = ((0.5*ebwb)+(0.5*ebsdload)+(0.614*ebslload)); % Edge beam shear
force in other support KNm
% 2.2.3 FLEXURE DESIGN/CHECK
ebeffectivedepth = mbeffectivedepth; % Edge beam effective depth
ebeffectivebreadth = x(18) + (0.2*0.7*x(2)*1000);
% 2.2.3.1 At END SPAN
ebkes = (ebr1bmes*1000000)/(ebeffectivebreadth*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivedepth*x ∠
(3)); % Edge beam end span
ebkdashes = 0.168;
ebleverarmes = 0.5*ebeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*ebkes))^(1/2)); % in mm
ebmaxleverarmes = 0.95*ebeffectivedepth;
 if ebleverarmes <= ebmaxleverarmes</pre>
    ebzes = ebleverarmes;
 else
    ebzes = ebmaxleverarmes;
 end
ebrequiredsteeles = (ebrlbmes*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*ebzes); % Main beam tensile steel⊻
at interior support
ebminimumsteeles = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivebreadth)/x(4); ∠
% Main beam minimum tensile steel at interior support
ebprovidedsteeles = x(40) *3.14*x(41) *x(41) /4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at 
interior support
```

```
% 2.2.3.2 At 1st INTERIOR SUPPORT
```

```
ebkis = (ebr2bmisupport*1000000)/(x(18)*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivedepth*x(3)); % ∠
Edge beam end span
ebkdashis = 0.168;
ebleverarmis = 0.5*ebeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*ebkis))^(1/2)); % in mm
ebmaxleverarmis = 0.95*ebeffectivedepth;
 if ebleverarmis <= ebmaxleverarmis
    ebzis = ebleverarmis;
 else
    ebzis = ebmaxleverarmis;
 end
ebrequiredsteelis = (ebr2bmisupport*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*ebzis); % Main beam tensile
steel at interior support
ebminimumsteelis = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*ebeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam \boldsymbol{\ell}
minimum tensile steel at interior support
ebprovidedsteelis = x(42)*3.14*x(43)*x(43)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at 
interior support
% 2.2.3.3 At Interior SPAN
ebkispan = (ebr3bmispan*1000000)/ 🖌
(ebeffectivebreadth*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivedepth*x(3)); % Edge beam interior ∠
span
ebkdashispan = 0.168;
ebleverarmispan = 0.5*ebeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*ebkispan))^(1/2)); % in mm
ebmaxleverarmispan = 0.95*ebeffectivedepth;
 if ebleverarmispan <= ebmaxleverarmispan</pre>
    ebzispan = ebleverarmispan;
 else
    ebzispan = ebmaxleverarmispan;
 end
ebrequiredsteelispan = (ebr3bmispan*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*ebzispan); % Main beam¥
tensile steel at interior span
ebminimumsteelispan = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivebreadth)/x ¥
(4); % Main beam minimum tensile steel at interior span
ebprovidedsteelispan = x(44)*3.14*x(45)*x(45)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel 2
at interior span
% 2.2.3.4 At OTHER INTERIOR SUPPORT
ebkos = (ebr4bmosupport*1000000)/(x(18)*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivedepth*x(3)); % ∠
Edge beam OTHER INTERIOR SUPPORT
ebkdashos = 0.168;
ebleverarmos = 0.5*ebeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*ebkos))^(1/2)); % in mm
ebmaxleverarmos = 0.95*ebeffectivedepth;
 if ebleverarmos <= ebmaxleverarmos</pre>
    ebzos = ebleverarmos;
 PISP
    ebzos = ebmaxleverarmos;
 end
ebrequiredsteelos = (ebr4bmosupport*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*ebzos); % Main beam tensile¥
```

```
steel at interior support
ebminimumsteelos = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*ebeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam⊻
minimum tensile steel at interior support
ebprovidedsteelos = x(46)*3.14*x(47)*x(47)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at
interior support
% 2.2.4 SHEAR DESIGN/CHECK
ebsdrow = ebr2sfisupport/(x(18)*0.87*0.9*ebeffectivedepth/1000*(1-(x(3))/250)*x ¥
(3)); % Main beam shear design factor(row)
ebsdrowlimit = 0.138;
ebcottheta = 2.5;
ebsdrequiredsteel = (ebr2sfisupport*1000)/(0.87*x(4)*0. ∠
9*ebeffectivedepth/1000*mbcottheta); % Main beam shear design end support required ¥
steel
ebsdprovidedsteel = x(48)*3.14*x(49)*x(49)/4; % % Main beam shear design end∠
support provided steel
% 2.2.5 REINFOREMENT REQUIREMETNS/DETAILING
% 2.2.5.1 STEEL CALCULATION
ebbrespanl = ((x(2)*1000-x(24))+(50*x(41))+(x(24)/2)+(50*x(41)/2)-(2*x(41)))/1000; 
Edge beam bottom reinforcement end span cut length
ebbrespanw = ebbrespanl*2*x(41)*x(41)/162.2; % Weight of end span bottom ∠
reinforcement in Kg
ebbrispanl = ((x(2)*1000-x(24))+(50*x(45))+(x(24)/2)+(50*x(45)/2)-(2*x(45)))/1000; % ∠
Edge beam bottom reinforcement interior span cut length
ebbrispanw = ebbrispanl*(x(17)-2)*x(45)*x(45)/162.2; % Weight of interior span ✓
bottom reinforcement in Kg
ebtrisupportl = (((x(2)*1000)/3)+(50*x(43)))/1000;% Edge beam top reinforcement⊻
first interior support cut length
ebtrisupportw = ebtrisupport1*2*x(43)*x(43)/162.2; % Weight of first interior ∠
support top reinforcement in Kg
ebtrosupportl = (((0.9*x(24))*2)+x(24))/1000;% Edge beam top other interior support ∠
cut length
ebtrosupportw = ebtrosupportl*(x(17)-1)*x(47)*x(47)/162.2; % Weight of other ∠
interior support top reinforcement in Kg
ebstirrupa = x(18)-(2*bnominalcover)-(2*x(49)/2); % Edge beam stirrup breadth
ebstirrupb = x(23) - (2*bnominalcover) - (2*x(49)/2); % Edge beam stirrup breadth
ebstirrup = ((2*(ebstirrupa+ebstirrupb))+(10*2*x(49))-(6*2*x(49)))/1000; % Edge⊭
beam stirrup length
ebstirrupnumber = ((x(2)*1000-x(18))/(1000/x(48)))-1; % Number of stirrups
ebstirrupweight = ebstirrup*ebstirrupnumber*x(17)*x(49)/162.2; %Total stirrup⊮
weight for entire spans
ebtotalsteelonebeam = ¥
ebstirrupweight+ebbrespanw+ebbrispanw+ebtrisupportw+ebtrosupportw; % Total steel ¥
weight for one main beam
ebtotalsteel = ebtotalsteelonebeam*2*6; % Total edge beam steel for entire building
```

# % 2.2.5.2 Concrete CALCULATION

ebcvolume = x(18)\*x(23)\*x(17)\*x(2)/1000000; % Main beam volue of one total beam in¥ m3

ebcfloorvolume = ebcvolume\*2; % Main beam volume on one floor in m3
ebcbuildingvolume = ebcfloorvolume\*6; % Main beam volume for whole building in m3
ebcbuildingnetweight = (ebcbuildingvolume\*2400)-(ebtotalsteel); % Main beam net \u00cc
weight on one floor in m3
ebcbuildingnetvolume = ebcbuildingnetweight/2400 ; % Edge beam net volume on whole \u00cc
builsing in m3

# % 2.5.5.3 Formwork CALCULATION

```
ebfarea = (2*x(23)/1000+x(18)/1000)*x(17)*x(2)*2*6; % Edge beam formwork area of 
whole building in m2
ebfweight = ebfarea*(4/1000)*2710; % Edge beam formwork weight of whole building in 
Kg
```

```
% 3. COLUMN DESIGN
% 3.1 LOADING
```

weight per floor

moment

```
cdf = (1.25*stotalpermanentload)+(1.5*0.6); % Column design load in KN/m2
croofbeammax = (1.1*x(2)*cdf)+mbmax; % Column max interior roof KN/m
croofbeammin = (1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalpermanentload)+mbmax; % Column min interior \u03c4
roof KN/m
cswperfloor = 1.25*(x(24)/1000)*(x(25)/1000)*25*(3.5-(x(23)/1000)); % Column self \u03c4
```

```
% 3.2 EXTERNAL COLUMN
% 3.2.1 BENDING MOMENT AND AXIAL FORCE ANALYSIS
```

```
ecfeb = ebwb; % External column load due to edge beam KN
exroof = (1.25*((x(24)*x(25))+0.15*1)*25*x(2)); % External column roof load due to
self weight of beam and parapet
```

```
ecfminload = (1.1*x(2)*1.5*stotalvariableload)+(mbmax/1000000); % External column¥
minimum load
ecfmaxload = 1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalpermanentload; % External column maximum load
ecmend = (ecfminload*x(19)*x(19))/12; % External column end moment minimum
ecmendmax = (ecfmaxload*x(19))/12; % External column end moment maximum
ecmleft = -ecmend+(0.543*ecmend);
ecmright = ecmend+(0.169*ecmend);
ecsright = (ecfminload*x(19)/2)-((ecmright+ecmleft)/x(19)); % External column shear ∠
right
ecmleft1 = -ecmendmax+(0.543*ecmendmax)+((ecmend-ecmendmax)*0.102);
ecmright1 = ecmendmax+(0.169*ecmendmax)+((ecmend-ecmendmax)*0.407);
ecsright1 = (ecmendmax*x(19)/2)-((ecmright1+ecmleft1)/x(19)); % External column ¥
shear right
ecmendminr = (croofbeammin*x(19))/12; % External column end moment minimum ¥
roof beam
ecmendmaxr = (croofbeammax*x(19)*x(19))/12; % External column end moment maximum ¥
roof beam
ecmrlc1 = 0.228*ecmendmaxr; % For load case 1 moment
ecmrlc2 = (0.228*ecmendmaxr)+((ecmendminr-ecmendmaxr)*(-0.051)); % For load case 2⊻
```

```
ecmrlc1left = -ecmendmaxr+(0.543*ecmendmaxr); % Left moment load case 1
ecmrlc1right = ecmendmaxr+(0.169*ecmendmaxr); % Right moment load case 1
ecsrlc1 = (croofbeammin*x(19)/2)-((ecmrlc1right+ecmrlc1left)/x(19)); % Shear load
case 1
ecmrlc2left = 0.228*ecmendmaxr+((ecmendminr-ecmendmaxr)*(-0.051)); % Left moment⊻
load case 2
ecmrlc2right = ecmendmaxr+(0.169*ecmendmaxr)+(0.407*(ecmendminr-ecmendmaxr)); % ∠
Right moment load case 2
ecsrlc2 = (croofbeammin*x(19)/2)-((ecmrlc2right+ecmrlc2left)/x(19)); % Shear load
case 2
ecroofbeam = (1.1*x(2)*1.5*0.6)+mbmax; % Column max interior roof imposed load KN/m
ecmroofbeam = (ecroofbeam * x (19) * x (19)) / 12; % External column end moment due to \boldsymbol{\ell}
imposed load
ecmroofbeamleft = 0.543*ecmroofbeam;
ecmroofbeamright = ecmroofbeam+(0.169*ecmroofbeam);
ecsroofbeam = (ecroofbeam*x(19)/2) - ((ecmroofbeamright-ecmroofbeamleft)/x(19));
ec11r1n = ecsrlc1+ecfeb; % Roof beam
ecl1r1m = ecmrlc1;
ec12r1n = ecsrlc2+ecfeb;
ec12r1m = ecmrlc2;
ec21r1n = ecsroofbeam+exroof;
ec11r2n = cswperfloor; % Column
ec12r2n = cswperfloor;
ecl1r3n = ecl1r2n+ecl1r1n;
ec11r3m = c1r2ucendsupport;
ec12r3n = ec12r2n+ec12r1n;
ec12r3m = c2r2ucendsupport;
ecl1r4n = clr1sfbendsupport+ecfeb; % 4th floor beam
ec12r4n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec21r4n = ecsright+ecfeb;
ec22r4n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ecl1r5n = ecl1r4n+ecl1r3n;
ec11r5m = c1r2ucendsupport;
ec12r5n = ec12r4n+ec12r3n;
ec12r5m = c2r2ucendsupport;
ec11r6n = cswperfloor;
ec12r6n = cswperfloor;
ecl1r7n = ecl1r6n+ecl1r5n;
ecl1r7m = ecl1r5m;
ec12r7n = cswperfloor+ec12r5n;
ec12r7m = ec12r5m;
ecl1r8n = clr1sfbendsupport+ecfeb; % 3th floor beam
ec12r8n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec21r8n = ecsright+ecfeb;
```

```
ec22r8n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ecllr9n = ecllr8n+ecllr7n;
ecl1r9m = ecl1r5m;
ec12r9n = ec12r7n+ec12r8n;
ec12r9m = ec12r5m;
ec21r9n = ec21r8n+ec21r4n;
ec22r9n = ec22r8n+ec22r4n;
ec11r10n = cswperfloor;
ec12r10n = cswperfloor;
ecl1r11n = ecl1r10n+ecl1r9n;
ecl1r11m = ecl1r5m;
ec12r11n = ec12r10n+ec12r9n;
ec12r11m = ec12r5m;
ec11r12n = c1r1sfbendsupport+ecfeb; % 2th floor beam
ec12r12n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec21r12n = ecsright+ecfeb;
ec22r12n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ec11r13n = ec11r12n+ec11r11n;
ec11r13m = ec11r5m;
ec12r13n = ec12r12n+ec12r11n;
ec12r13m = ec12r5m;
ec21r13n = ec21r12n+ec21r9n;
ec22r13n = ec22r12n+ec22r9n;
ec11r14n = ec11r5m;
ec12r14n = ec12r5m;
ecl1r15n = ecl1r14n+ecl1r13n; % 1th floor beam
ec11r15m = ec11r5m;
ec12r15n = ec12r14n+ec12r13n;
ec12r15m = ec12r5m;
ecl1r16n = c1r1sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec12r16n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec21r16n = ecsright+ecfeb;
ec22r16n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ec11r17n = ec11r16n+ec11r15n;
ec11r17m = ec11r5m;
ec12r17n = ec12r16n+ec12r15n;
ec12r17m = ec12r5m;
ec21r17n = ec21r16n+ec21r13n;
ec22r17n = ec22r16n+ec22r13n;
ecl1r18n = ecl1r5m;
ec12r18n = ec12r5m;
ec11r19n = ec11r18n+ec11r17n; % Ground floor beam
```

```
ec11r19m = ec11r5m;
ec12r19n = ec12r18n+ec12r17n;
ec12r19m = ec12r5m;
ec11r20n = c1r1sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec12r20n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec21r20n = ecsright+ecfeb;
ec22r20n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ec11r21n = ec11r20n+ec11r19n; % Basement wall
ec11r21m = ec11r5m;
ec12r21n = ec12r20n+ec12r19n;
ec12r21m = ec12r5m;
ec21r21n = ec21r20n+ec21r17n;
ec22r21n = ec22r20n+ec22r17n;
ecmbotlc2 = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from ground to first floor
ecmtoplc2 = -0.5*ecmbotlc2; % Moment at bottom from ground to first floor
ecnedmax = ec12r19n-0.3*ec22r17n; % Ned maximum
ecnedmin = (ec11r17n-(ec21r17n+ec21r1n))/1.25; % Ned minimum
ecnedmintotal = ecnedmax*x(25)/30 ; % Ned minimum total in KNm
```

```
% 3.2.2 EFFECTIVE LENGTH AND SLENDERNESS
```

```
eclo = 0.75*(3.5-x(23)/1000);% Effective legth
ecm1 = (ecnedmax*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm01i = ecmtoplc2+ecm1; % First order moment with imperfections
ecm02i = ecmbotlc2+ecm1; % First order moment with imperfections
eci = x(25)/(12^(1/2));% Radius of gyration
ecslenderness = eclo/eci; % Slenderness ratio
ecn = (ecnedmax)/(x(24)*x(25)*0.85*x(3)/1.5);
ecc = 1.7-(ecm01i/ecm02i);
ecslendernesslimit = (20*0.7*1.1*ecc)/(ecn^(1/2)); % Slenderness ratio limit
```

## % 3.2.3 DESIGN OF CROSS-SECTION

```
eced = x(25)-(35+8+20/2); % External column effective depth
ecedratio = eced/x(24); % External column effective depth to height
ecg1nedratio = (ecnedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % ground-first floor axial force ¥
ratio
ecg1medratio = (ecm02i*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % ground-first floor ∠
moment ratio
ecg1rs = ((ecg1nedratio*ecg1medratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel ∠
for ground floor to first floor
ecg1ps = x(50)*3.14*x(51)*x(51)/4; % provided steel for ground floor to first floor
ecmbot12 = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from first to second floor
ecnedmax12 = ec12r15n-0.3*ec22r13n; % Ned maximum
ecm12 = (ecnedmax12*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm02i12 = ecmbot12+ecm12; % First order moment with imperfections
ecglnedratio12 = (ecnedmax12*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % first to second floor
axial force ratio
ecg1medratio12 = (ecm02i12*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % first to second
```

```
floor moment ratio
ec12rs = ((ecg1nedratio12*ecg1medratio12*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required 
steel for first to second floor
ec12ps = x(52) \times 3.14 \times (53) \times (53)/4; % provided steel for first to second floor
ecmbot23 = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from second to third floor
ecnedmax23 = ec12r11n-0.3*ec22r9n; % Ned maximum
ecm23 = (ecnedmax23*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm02i23 = ecmbot23+ecm23; % First order moment with imperfections
ecglnedratio23 = (ecnedmax23*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % second to third floor \checkmark
floor axial force ratio
ecg1medratio23 = (ecm02i23*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % second to third 
floor moment ratio
ec23rs = ((ecg1nedratio23*ecg1medratio23*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required 
steel for second to third floor
ec23ps = x(54) \times 3.14 \times (55) \times x(55)/4; % provided steel for second to third floor
ecmbot34 = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from third to fourth floor
ecnedmax34 = ec12r7n-0.3*ec22r4n; % Ned maximum
ecm34 = (ecnedmax34*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm02i34 = ecmbot34+ecm34; % First order moment with imperfections
ecg1nedratio34 = (ecnedmax34*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % third to fourth floor ∠
floor axial force ratio
ecg1medratio34 = (ecm02i34*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % third to fourth 
floor moment ratio
ec34rs = ((ecg1nedratio34*ecg1medratio34*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required 
steel for third to fourth floor
ec34ps = x(56) \times 3.14 \times (57) \times (57)/4; % provided steel for third to fourth floor
ecmbot4r = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from fourth to roof floor
ecnedmax4r = ec12r7n-0.3*ec22r4n; % Ned maximum
ecm4r = (ecnedmax4r*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm02i4r = ecmbot4r+ecm4r; % First order moment with imperfections
ecglnedratio4r = (ecnedmax4r*1000) / (x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % fourth to roof floor axial
force ratio
ecg1medratio4r = (ecm02i4r*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % fourth to roof ∠
floor moment ratio
ec4rrs = ((ecg1nedratio4r*ecg1medratio4r*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required 
steel for fourth to roof floor
ec4rps = x(58) * 3.14 * x(59) * x(59) / 4; % provided steel for fourth to roof floor
% 3.2.3.1 DESIGN OF TIES
ectalsmax = stotalpermanentload+(0.7*stotalvariableload); %External column ties ∠
accidental load slab max
ectalsmin = 1.25*stotalvariableload; %External column ties accidental load slab min
ectalbmax = (1.1*x(2)*ectalsmax)+(x(24)*x(25)*25); %External column ties accidental⊻
load beam max
ectalbmin = (1.1*x(2)*ectalsmin)+(x(24)*x(25)*25); %External column ties accidental⊻
load beam min
ectaltotal = ((ecfeb/(ectalbmax+ectalbmin))*c2r2sfbendsupport)+(ecfeb/1.25);
```

```
ectrr = (ectaltotal*1000)/x(4); % External column ties required reinforcement
ectpr = x(60)*3.14*x(61)*x(61)/4; % External column ties provided reinforcement
```
% 3.2.4 REINFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS/DETAILING

```
ec4rsbl = ((1.5*35*x(59))+75)/1000;% External column fourth-roof floor starter bar⊻
length
ec4rl = (3500+ec4rsbl)/1000;% External column fourth-roof floor bar length
ec4rz1links = 600/150; % Links in zone 1
ec4rz2links = (3500-600)/(1000/x(60)); % Links in zone 2
ec4rlinkl = ((2*((x(24)-35*2)+(x(25)-35*2)))+(2*10*x(61))+(3*2*x(61)))/1000; % Link⊭
Length
ec4rlinkltotal = ec4rlinkl*(ec4rz1links+ec4rz2links);% Link Length total
ec4rsteelw = ec4rl*x(58) * (x(59) *x(59) /162.2) + (ec4rlinkltotal*x(61) *x(61) /162.2) + 4
(ec4rsbl*x(58)*x(58)/162.2); %Total weight 4-roof floor one column
ec34steelw = ec4rl*x(56)*(x(57)*x(57)/162.2)+(ec4rlinkltotal*x(61)*x(61)/162.2); %
Total weight 3-4 floor one column
ec23steelw = ec4rl*x(54)*(x(55)*x(55)/162.2)+(ec4rlinkltotal*x(61)*x(61)/162.2); %∠
Total weight 2-3 floor one column
ec12steelw = ec4rl*x(52)*(x(53)*x(53)/162.2)+(ec4rlinkltotal*x(61)*x(61)/162.2); % ∠
Total weight 1-2 floor one column
ecg1sbl = ((1.5*35*x(51))+75)/1000;% External column fourth-roof floor starter bar⊻
length
ecq1steelw = ec4rl*x(50)*(x(51)*x(51)/162.2)+(ec4rlinkltotal*x(61)*x(61)/162.2)+
(ecg1sbl*x(50)); %Total weight g-1 floor one column
ecsteeltotalone = ec4rsteelw+ec34steelw+ec23steelw+ec12steelw+ecg1steelw; %Total
steel in kg for one column whole building
ecsteeltotal = ecsteeltotalone*(((x(17)-1)*2)+((x(20)-1)*2)); %Total steel in kg \boldsymbol{\ell}
for external columns in whole building
ecconcretetotal = (x(24)*x(25)*3.5*5/1000000)*(((x(17)-1)*2)+((x(20)-1)*2));%Total ∠
concrete in m3 for external columns in whole building
ecconcretenet = ((ecconcretetotal*2400)-ecsteeltotal)/2400; % Net concrete in m3 4
for external columns in whole building
ecformwork = (2*((x(24)/1000)+(x(25)/1000)))*(3.5*5)*(((x(17)-1)*2)+((x(20)-1))
*2));% External column formwork m2
ecformworkw = ecformwork* (4/1000) *2710; % External column formwork weight kg
% 3.3 INTERNAL COLUMN
% 3.3.1 BENDING MOMENT AND AXIAL FORCE ANALYSIS
icfminload = 1.1*x(2)*stotalpermanentload; % Internal column minimum load
icmendmin = (icfminload*x(19)*x(19))/12; % Internal column end moment minimum
iclc1left = ecsrlc1; % Internal column load case 1 left
iclclright = (croofbeammax*x(19))-iclclleft; % Internal column load case 1 right
iclclrightis = (croofbeammax*x(19))/2; % Internal column load case 1 left internal "
support
iclclrn = iclclrightis+iclclright; % Internal column load case 1 axial force
iclc3mendmax = ecmendmaxr; % Load case 3 maximum moment
iclc3mendmin = ecmendminr; % Load case 3 minimum moment
iclc3mleft = (0.228*iclc3mendmin)+(-0.051*(iclc3mendmax-iclc3mendmin)); % Internal
column load case 3 moment left
iclc3mright = iclc3mendmin+(0.169*iclc3mendmin)+((iclc3mendmax-iclc3mendmin)*0. 
407); % Internal column load case 3 moment right
iclc3sleft = ((croofbeammin*x(19))/2)-((iclc3mright-iclc3mleft)/7); % Internal
```

```
column load case 3 shear left
iclc3sright = (croofbeammin*x(19))-iclc3sleft; % Internal column load case 3 shear 4
right
iclc3rn = (croofbeammin*x(19)/2)+iclc3sright; % Internal column load case 1 axial 
force roof
iclclsleftl2r = ecsroofbeam; % Internal column load case 1 shear left load setting 4
2
iclc1sright12r = (ecroofbeam*x(19))-iclc1sleft12r; % Internal column load case 14
shear right load setting 2
iclc1l2rn = ((ecroofbeam*x(19))/2)+iclc1sright12r; % Internal column load case 14
axial force roof load setting 2
iclc1sleft12 = ecsright; % Internal column load case 1 shear left load setting 2
iclc1sright12 = (ecfminload*x(19))-iclc1sleft12; % Internal column load case 1 
shear right load setting 2
iclc1l2n = (ecfminload*x(19)/2)+iclc1sright12;% Internal column load case 1 axial
force roof load setting 2
icmendmax = ecmendmaxr; % Internal column end moment maximum
icmendmin = (1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalvariableload*x(19)*x(19))/12; % Internal column⊭
end moment minimum
iclc3mleftl2 = -icmendmin+(0.543*icmendmin)+((icmendmax-icmendmin)*0.102);
iclc3mright12 = icmendmin+(0.169*icmendmin)+((icmendmax-icmendmin)*0.407);
iclc3sleft12 = (((1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalvariableload)*x(19))/2)-((iclc3mright12- ∠
iclc3mleftl2)/x(19)); % Internal column load case 1 shear left load setting 2
iclc3sright12 = ((1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalvariableload)*x(19))-iclc3sleft12; % Internal
column load case 1 shear right load setting 2
iclc3l2n = ((1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalvariableload)*x(19)/2)+iclc3srightl2; % Internal ∠
column load case 1 axial force roof load setting 2
icl1r1n = iclc1rn;
icllrlm = abs(-0.051*ecmendmaxr);
icl3r1n = iclc3rn;
ic13r1m = ic11r1m+((ecmend-icmendmin)*0.177);
ic21r1n = iclc1l2rn;
icl1r2n = cswperfloor;
ic13r2n = cswperfloor;
icl1r3n = icl1r2n+icl1r1n;
icl1r3m = abs(clr2ucisleft);
ic13r3n = ic13r2n+ic13r1n;
ic13r3m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r4n = c1r1sfbisleft+c1r1sfbisright;
ic13r4n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r4n = ic1c112n;
ic23r4n = iclc3l2n;
icl1r5n = icl1r4n+icl1r3n;
ic13r5n = ic13r4n+ic13r3n;
```

```
icl1r6n = cswperfloor;
ic13r6n = cswperfloor;
icl1r7n = icl1r6n+icl1r5n;
icl1r7m = abs(c1r2ucisleft);
ic13r7n = ic13r6n+ic13r5n;
ic13r7m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r8n = c1r1sfbisleft+c1r1sfbisright;
ic13r8n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r8n = iclc1l2n;
ic23r8n = iclc3l2n;
icl1r9n = icl1r8n+icl1r7n;
ic13r9n = ic13r8n+ic13r7n;
ic21r9n = ic21r8n+ic21r4n;
ic23r9n = ic23r8n+ic23r4n;
icl1r10n = cswperfloor;
ic13r10n = cswperfloor;
icl1r11n = icl1r10n+icl1r9n;
icl1r11m = abs(c1r2ucisleft);
ic13r11n = ic13r10n+ic13r9n;
ic13r11m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r12n = clr1sfbisleft+clr1sfbisright;
ic13r12n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r12n = iclc1l2n;
ic23r12n = iclc3l2n;
ic11r13n = ic11r12n+ic11r11n;
ic13r13n = ic13r12n+ic13r11n;
ic21r13n = ic21r12n+ic21r9n;
ic23r13n = ic23r12n+ic23r9n;
icl1r14n = cswperfloor;
ic13r14n = cswperfloor;
ic11r15n = ic11r14n+ic11r13n;
icl1r15m = abs(c1r2ucisleft);
ic13r15n = ic13r14n+ic13r13n;
ic13r15m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r16n = clr1sfbisleft+clr1sfbisright;
icl3r16n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r16n = iclc1l2n;
ic23r16n = iclc312n;
icl1r17n = icl1r16n+icl1r15n;
ic13r17n = ic13r16n+ic13r15n;
ic21r17n = ic21r16n+ic21r13n;
ic23r17n = ic23r16n+ic23r13n;
```

```
icl1r18n = cswperfloor;
ic13r18n = cswperfloor;
ic11r19n = ic11r18n+ic11r17n;
icl1r19m = abs(c1r2ucisleft);
ic13r19n = ic13r18n+ic13r17n;
ic13r19m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r20n = clr1sfbisleft+clr1sfbisright;
ic13r20n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r20n = iclc1l2n;
ic23r20n = iclc3l2n;
icl1r21n = icl1r20n+icl1r19n;
ic13r21n = ic13r20n+ic13r19n;
ic21r21n = ic21r20n+ic21r17n;
ic23r21n = ic23r20n+ic23r17n;
icl1r22n = cswperfloor;
ic13r22n = cswperfloor;
ic11r23n = ic11r22n+ic11r21n;
ic13r23n = ic13r22n+ic13r21n;
% 3.3.2 EFFECTIVE LENGTH AND SLENDERNESS
icmtoplc3 = ic13r19m; % Moment at top from basement to ground floor load case 3
icmbotlc3 = -0.5*icmtoplc3; % Moment at bottom from basement to ground floor load #
case 3
icnedmax = ic11r19n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r17n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum
icnedmin = ic13r20n+((ic11r19n-(ic21r17n+ic21r1n))/1.25); % Ned minimum
iclo = 0.75*(3.5-x(23)/1000); % Effective legth
icslenderness = ecslenderness; % Slenderness ratio
icm1 = (icnedmax*iclo)/400; % First order moment
icm01i = icmbotlc3+icm1; % First order moment with imperfections
icm02i = icmtoplc3+icm1; % First order moment with imperfections
icc = 1.7 - (icm01i/icm02i);
icn = (icnedmax) / (x(24) * x(25) * 0.85 * x(3) / 1.5);
icslendernesslimit = (20*0.7*1.1*icc)/(icn^(1/2)); % Slenderness ratio limit
% 3.3.3 DESIGN OF CROSS-SECTION
```

```
icbgnedmax = icllr23n+icl3r20n-(0.4*(ic21r21n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum basement- 
ground floor
icbgmedmax = icmtoplc3+((icbgnedmax*iclo)/400);
icbgnedratio = (icbgnedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % basement-ground floor axial 
force ratio
icbgmedratio = (icbgmedmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % basement-ground 
floor moment ratio
icbgrs = ((icbgnedratio*icbgmedratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel 
for basement-ground floor
```

```
icbgps = x(62) \times 3.14 \times (63) \times x(63)/4;  provided steel for basement-ground floor
icglnedmax = icllr19n+icl3r20n-(0.4*(ic21r17n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum ground-∠
first floor
icg1medmax = icmtoplc3+((icg1nedmax*iclo)/400);
icglnedratio = (icglnedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % ground-first floor axial ¥
force ratio
icg1medratio = (icg1medmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % ground-first floor ∠
moment ratio
icg1rs = ((icg1nedratio*icg1medratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel ∠
for ground-first floor
icg1ps = x(64)*3.14*x(65)*x(65)/4; % provided steel for ground-first floor
icl2nedmax = icl1r15n+icl3r20n-(0.4*(ic21r13n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum first-
second floor
ic12medmax = icmtoplc3+((ic12nedmax*iclo)/400);
ic12nedratio = (ic12nedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % first-second floor axial \boldsymbol{\ell}
force ratio
ic12medratio = (ic12medmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % first-second floor ∠
moment ratio
ic12rs = ((ic12nedratio*ic12medratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel ∠
for first-second floor
ic12ps = x(66)*3.14*x(67)*x(67)/4; % provided steel for first-second floor
ic23nedmax = ic11r11n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r9n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum second-third ∠
floor
ic23medmax = icmtoplc3+((ic23nedmax*iclo)/400);
ic23nedratio = (ic23nedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % second-third floor axial 4
force ratio
ic23medratio = (ic23medmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % second-third floor ∠
moment ratio
ic23rs = ((ic23nedratio*ic23medratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel
for second-third floor
ic23ps = x(68) \times 3.14 \times (69) \times (69) / 4; % provided steel for second-third floor
ic34nedmax = ic11r7n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r4n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum third-fourth
floor
ic34medmax = icmtoplc3+((ic34nedmax*iclo)/400);
ic34nedratio = (ic34nedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % third-fourth floor axial 
force ratio
ic34medratio = (ic34medmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % third-fourth floor ∠
moment ratio
ic34rs = ((ic34nedratio*ic34medratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel
for third-fourth floor
ic34ps = x(70)*3.14*x(71)*x(71)/4; % provided steel for third-fourth floor
ic4rnedmax = ic11r3n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r1n)); % Ned maximum fourth-roof floor
ic4rmedmax = icmtoplc3+((ic4rnedmax*iclo)/400);
ic4rnedratio = (ic4rnedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % fourth-roof floor axial ∠
force ratio
ic4rmedratio = (ic4rmedmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % fourth-roof floor ∠
moment ratio
ic4rrs = ((ic4rnedratio*ic4rmedratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel ∠
```

```
for fourth-roof floor
ic4rps = x(72)*3.14*x(73)*x(73)/4; % provided steel for fourth-roof floor
% 3.3.3.1 DESIGN OF TIES - INTERNAL COLUMN
ictaltotal = (ecfeb/(ectalbmax+ectalbmin))*ic11r4n; % Internal column ties 4
accidental load beam min
ictrr = (ictaltotal*1000) /x (4); % Internal column ties required reinforcement
ictpr = x(74) \times 3.14 \times (75) \times (75) / 4; % Internal column ties provided reinforcement
% 3.3.4 REINFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS/DETAILING
ic4rsbl = ((1.5*35*x(73))+75)/1000;% Internal column fourth-roof floor starter bar⊻
length
ic4rl = (3500+ic4rsbl)/1000;% Internal column fourth-roof floor bar length
ic4rz1links = 600/150; % Links in zone 1
ic4rz2links = (3500-600)/(1000/x(74)); % Links in zone 2
ic4rlinkl = ((2*((x(24)-35*2)+(x(25)-35*2)))+(2*10*x(75))+(3*2*x(75)))/1000; % Link≰
Length
ic4rlinkltotal = ic4rlinkl*(ic4rz1links+ic4rz2links);% Link Length total
ic4rsteelw = ic4rl*x(72)*(x(73)*x(73)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2)+
(ic4rsbl*x(72)*x(72)/162.2); %Total weight 4-roof floor one column
ic34steelw = ic4rl*x(70)*(x(71)*x(71)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2); %
Total weight 3-4 floor one column
ic23steelw = ic4rl*x(68)*(x(69)*x(69)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2); %✓
Total weight 2-3 floor one column
ic12steelw = ic4rl*x(66)*(x(67)*x(67)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2); %
Total weight 1-2 floor one column
icg1steelw = ic4rl*x(64)*(x(65)*x(65)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2); %
Total weight g-1 floor one column
icbgsbl = ((1.5*35*x(63))+75)/1000;% Internal column basement-ground floor starter⊻
bar length
icbgsteelw = ic4rl*x(62)*(x(63)*x(63)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2)+
(icbqsbl*x(62)); %Total weight basement-ground floor one column
icsteeltotalone = ¥
ic4rsteelw+ic34steelw+ic23steelw+ic12steelw+icg1steelw+icbgsteelw; %Total steel in 
kg for one column whole building
icsteeltotal = icsteeltotalone*((x(17)-1)*(x(20)-1)); %Total steel in kg for
internal columns in whole building
icconcretetotal = (x(24) *x(25) *3.5*6/1000000) * ((x(17) -1) * (x(20) -1)); %Total concrete ∠
in m3 for internal columns in whole building
icconcretenet = ((icconcretetotal*2400)-icsteeltotal)/2400; % Net concrete in m3 4
for Internal columns in whole building
icformwork = (2*((x(24)/1000)+(x(25)/1000)))*(3.5*6)*((x(17)-1)*(x(20)-1)); % ∠
Internal column formwork m2
icformworkw = icformwork*(4/1000)*2710; % Internal column formwork weight kg
% 3.4 CORNER COLUMN
% 3.4.1 BENDING MOMENT AND AXIAL FORCE ANALYSIS
ccslinea = 0.4*x(2)*sdesignload; % Load due to slab on line A
```

```
ccblinea = ebwb/x(2); % Load due to beam and waling on line A
ccsline1 = ebsdload+ebslload; % Load due to slab on line 1
ccbline1 = ecfeb; % Load due to beam and waling on line 1
cctotallinea = ccslinea+ccblinea; % Total load on line A
cctotalline1 = ccsline1+ccbline1; % Total load on line 1
ccmz = (cctotallinea/mbtoalmaxload)*c2r2ucendsupport; % Column moment of frame on ¥
line A
ccbendk = (0.5*mbendk) / (x(2)*1000); % Stiffness of end beam
ccuck = mbicolumn/storeyheight*1000; %STIFFNESS OF UPPER COLUMN
cclck = mbicolumn/storeyheight*1000; %STIFFNESS OF LOWER COLUMN
ccbfem = ((0.104 \times ccsline1) + (0.083 \times ccbline1)) / x(2);  Beam fix end moment
ccmy = (ccuck/((2*cclck)+ccbendk))*ccbfem; % Column moment
ccslinear = 0.4*x(2)*ebtarea; % Load due to slab on roof level at line A
ccblinealr = mbtotalminload/1.25; % Load due to beam and waling on roof level at "
line 1
ccblinear = ccblinealr/x(2); % Load due to beam and waling on roof level at line A
cctotallinear = ccslinear+ccblinear; % Total load on line A
cctotalline1r = ccblinea1r; % Total load on line 1
ccned = (((cctotallinear/mbtoalmaxload)*abs(c1r1sfbendsupport))+(0.525 
*cctotalline1r)+(abs(cswperfloor)/1.25))/1000;
ccmi = (ccned*iclo)/(400); % First order moments from imperfections
ccm0z = ccmi+ccmz; % First order moments from imperfections
ccm0y = ccmy; % First order moments from imperfections
% 3.4.2 DESIGN OF CROSS-SECTION
ccnedratio = (ccned*1000) / (x(24) *x(25) *x(3)); % fourth-roof floor axial force ratio
ccmedratio = (ccm0z*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % fourth-roof floor moment
ratio
ccrs = ((ccnedratio*ccmedratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel for ∠
fourth-roof floor
ccps = x(76) * 3.14 * x(77) * x(77) / 4; % provided steel for fourth-roof floor
% 3.4.3 REINFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS/DETAILING
cc4rsbl = ((1.5*35*x(77))+75)/1000; % Corner column fourth-roof floor starter bar⊻
length
cc4rl = (3500+cc4rsbl)/1000;% Corner column fourth-roof floor bar length
cc4rz1links = 600/150; % Links in zone 1
cc4rz2links = (3500-600)/(1000/x(74)); % Links in zone 2
cc4rlinkl = ((2*((x(24)-35*2)+(x(25)-35*2)))+(2*10*x(75))+(3*2*x(75)))/1000; % Link⊭
Length
```

```
cc4rlinkltotal = cc4rlinkl*(cc4rz1links+cc4rz2links);% Link Length total
cctotalsteelonew = 5*(cc4rl*x(76)*(x(77)*x(77)/162.2))+5*(cc4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x 
(75)/162.2)+2*(cc4rsbl*x(77)*x(77)/162.2); %Total weight 4-roof floor o
cctotalsteelbuildingw = 4*cctotalsteelonew; % Total building steel in corner 
columns
```

```
ccconcretetotal = (x(24) *x(25) *3.5*5/1000000) *4;%Total concrete in m3 for internal ∠
columns in whole building
ccconcretenet = ((ccconcretetotal*2400)-cctotalsteelbuildingw)/2400; % Net concrete ∠
in m3 for Internal columns in whole building
ccformwork = (2*((x(24)/1000)+(x(25)/1000)))*(3.5*5)*4; % Internal column formwork ∠
m2
ccformworkw = ccformwork*(4/1000)*2710; % Internal column formwork weight kg
% 4 QUANTITY CALCULATION
bsteel= slabtotalbuildingsteel; % Building slab steel in kg
bbsteel = mbtotalsteel+ebtotalsteel; % Building beams steel in kg
bcsteel = ecsteeltotal+icsteeltotal+cctotalsteelbuildingw; % Building column steel ¥
in kg
btotalsteel = bsteel+bbsteel+bcsteel; %Building total steel in kg
bsconcrete= sncbuilding; % Building slab concrete in m3
bbconcrete = mbcbuildingnetvolume+ebcbuildingnetvolume; % Building beams concrete 4
in m3
bcconcrete = ecconcretenet+icconcretenet+ccconcretenet; % Building column concrete ¥
in m3
btotalconcrete = bsconcrete+bbconcrete; %Building total concrete in m3
bsfa = sfa; % Building slab formwork in m2
bbfa = mbfarea+ebfarea; % Building beams formwork in m2
bcfa = ecformwork+icformwork+ccformwork; % Building column formwork in m2
btotalfa = bsfa+bbfa+bcfa; %Building total formwork in m2
bsfw = sfweight; % Building slab formwork in kg
bbfw = mbfweight+ebfweight; % Building beams formwork in kg
bcfw = ecformworkw+icformworkw+ccformworkw; % Building column formwork in kg
btotalfw = bsfw+bbfw+bcfw; %Building total formwork in kg
% OUTPUT FUNCTION
y(1) = (btotalconcrete*110)+(btotalsteel*0.8)+(btotalfa*6/300); % Total cost
function
%y(1) = (btotalconcrete*338)+(btotalsteel*0.87)+(btotalfw*0.79/300); % Total carbon ∠
```

end

function

function x = framemapvariables(x) allX1 = [150,175,200,225,250,275,300,325,350,375,400]; %DEPTH OF SLAB allX2 = [3,3.5,4,4.5,5,5.5,6,6.5,7]; % CLEAR SPANS IN X-DIRECTION allX3 = [20,25,28,30,35,40,45]; %CONCRETE STRENGTH allX4 = [500,550]; %STEEL STRENGTH allX5 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for smpespan allX6 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for **4** SMPESPAN allX7 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for smfesup allX8 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for ∠ smfesup allX9 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for smfespan allX10 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for ∠ smfespan allX11 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for smfisupport allX12 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for smfisupport allX13 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for smaispan allX14 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for smaispan allX15 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for smoisupport allX16 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for 2 smoisupport allX17 = [5,6,7,8,9,10,11]; % NUMBER OF SPANS IN X-DIRECTION allX18 = [200,225,250,275,300,325,350,375,400,425,450,475,500,525,550,575,600]; BREADTH OF MAIN BEAM allX19 = [3,3.5,4,4.5,5,5.5,6,6.5,7]; % CLEAR SPANS IN Y-DIRECTION allX20 = [3,4,5,6,7]; % NUMBER OF SPANS IN Y-DIRECTION allX21 = [8,10,12]; % SECONDARY BAR PROVIDED DIAMETER allX22 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF ∠ SECONDARY REINFORCEMENT BARS allX23 = [250,275,300,325,350,375,400,425,450,475,500,525,550,575,600]; %DEPTH OF ∠ MAIN BEAM allX24 =**∠** [125,150,175,200,225,250,275,300,325,350,375,400,425,450,475,500,525,550,575,600]; **∠** %BREADTH OF COLUMN allx25 = [200,225,250,275,300,325,350,375,400,425,450,475,500,525,550,575,600]; % DEPTH OF COLUMN allX26 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for ∠ main beam interior support allX27 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for main beam interior support allX28 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for ∠ main beam end support

% ₽

allX29 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for main beam end support

allX30 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for main beam interior span

```
allX31 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for main beam interior span
allX32 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for
main beam exterior span
```

```
allX33 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for main beam exterior span
allX34 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS ∠
(shear design at end support)
```

```
allX35 = [8,10,12]; % LINK DIAMETER for main beam end support (shear design/links 2
dia)
allX36 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS ∠
(shear design at interior left support)
allX37 = [8,10,12]; % LINK DIAMETER for main beam interior left support (shear 🖌
design/links dia)
allX38 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS &
(shear design at interior right support)
allX39 = [8,10,12]; % LINK DIAMETER for main beam interior right support (shear 4
design/links dia)
allX40 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for
edge beam end span
allX41 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for edge beam end span
allX42 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for⊻
edge beam first interior support
allX43 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for edge beam first interior support
allX44 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for ∠
edge beam interior span
allX45 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for edge beam interior span
allX46 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for
edge beam other interior support
allx47 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for edge beam other interior support
allX48 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for
edge beam shear force
allX49 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for edge beam shear force
allX50 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for
external column g-1
allX51 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for external column g-1
allX52 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for ∠
external column 1-2 floor
allX53 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for external column 1-2 floor
allX54 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for
external column 2-3 floor
allX55 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for external column 2-3 floor
allX56 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for
external column 3-4 floor
allX57 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for external column 3-4 floor
allX58 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for ∠
external column 4-roof floor
allX59 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for external column 4-roof floor
allX60 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for ∠
external column ties
allX61 = [8,10,12]; % DIAMETER for external column ties
allX62 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for ∠
internal column basement-ground floor
allX63 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for internal column basement-ground 
floor
allX64 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for
internal column ground-1 floor
allX65 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for internal column ground-1 floor
allX66 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for
internal column 1-2 floor
allX67 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for internal column 1-2 floor
```

allX68 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for internal column 2-3 floor allX69 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for internal column 2-3 floor allX70 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for internal column 3-4 floor allX71 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for internal column 3-4 floor allX72 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for internal column 4-r floor allX73 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for internal column 4-r floor allX74 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for internal column ties allX75 = [8,10,12]; % DIAMETER for internal column ties allX76 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]; % NUMBER OF BARS for corner column allX77 = [12,14,16,20,25,28,32]; % DIAMETER for corner column

```
x(1) = all X1(x(1));
x(2) = all X2(x(2));
x(3) = all X3(x(3));
x(4) = allX4(x(4));
x(5) = all X5(x(5));
x(6) = all X6(x(6));
x(7) = all X7(x(7));
x(8) = all X8(x(8));
x(9) = all X9(x(9));
x(10) = all X10(x(10));
x(11) = all X11(x(11));
x(12) = all X12(x(12));
x(13) = all X13(x(13));
x(14) = allX14(x(14));
x(15) = all X15(x(15));
x(16) = all X16(x(16));
x(17) = all X17(x(17));
x(18) = all X18 (x(18));
x(19) = all X19(x(19));
x(20) = all X20(x(20));
x(21) = all X21(x(21));
x(22) = all X22 (x(22));
x(23) = all X23(x(23));
x(24) = all X24(x(24));
x(25) = all X25(x(25));
x(26) = all X26(x(26));
x(27) = all X27 (x(27));
x(28) = all X28(x(28));
x(29) = all X29(x(29));
x(30) = all X30 (x(30));
x(31) = allX31(x(31));
x(32) = all X32(x(32));
x(33) = all X33(x(33));
x(34) = all X34(x(34));
x(35) = all X35(x(35));
```

x(36)	=	allX36(x(36));
x(37)	=	allX37(x(37));
x(38)	=	allX38(x(38));
x(39)	=	allX39(x(39));
x(40)	=	allX40(x(40));
x(41)	=	allX41(x(41));
x(42)	=	allX42(x(42));
x(43)	=	allX43(x(43));
x(44)	=	allX44(x(44));
x(45)	=	allX45(x(45));
x(46)	=	allX46(x(46));
x(47)	=	allX47(x(47));
x(48)	=	allX48(x(48));
x(49)	=	allX49(x(49));
x(50)	=	allX50(x(50));
x(51)	=	allX51(x(51));
x(52)	=	allX52(x(52));
x(53)	=	allX53(x(53));
x(54)	=	allX54(x(54));
x(55)	=	allX55(x(55));
x(56)	=	allX56(x(56));
x(57)	=	allX57(x(57));
x(58)	=	allX58(x(58));
x(59)	=	allX59(x(59));
x(60)	=	allX60(x(60));
x(61)	=	allX61(x(61));
x(62)	=	allX62(x(62));
x(63)	=	allX63(x(63));
x(64)	=	allX64(x(64));
x(65)	=	allX65(x(65));
x(66)	=	allX66(x(66));
x(67)	=	allX67(x(67));
x(68)	=	allX68(x(68));
x(69)	=	allX69(x(69));
x(70)	=	allX70(x(70));
x(71)	=	allX71(x(71));
x(72)	=	allX72(x(72));
x(73)	=	allX73(x(73));
x(74)	=	allX74(x(74));
x(75)	=	allX75(x(75));
x(76)	=	allX76(x(76));
x(77)	=	allX77(x(77));

```
function [Cineq,Ceq] = framenonlcon(x)
x = framemapvariables(x);
% 1. SLAB DESIGN
% 1.1 LOADING CALCULATIONS
bxdirection = x(2) * (17);
bydirection = x(19) * (20);
bbayarea = bxdirection*bydirection; % in m2
snominalcover = 25; % in mm
sa = snominalcover+(12/2); % in mm
simposedload = 2.5; % in KN/m2 for office building
spartitionwall = 1.5; % in KN/m2 for office building
sselfweight = x(1)*25/1000; % in KN/m2
sfinisheweight = 1.25; % in KN/m2
stotalpermanentload = sselfweight+sfinisheweight; % in KN/m2
stotalvariableload = simposedload+spartitionwall; % in KN/m2
sdesignload = (1.35*stotalpermanentload)+(1.65*stotalvariableload);% in KN/m2
sf = sdesignload*x(2); % in KN/m
% 1.2 BENDING MOMENT AND SHEAR FORCE CALCULATIONS
smpespan = 0.086*sf*x(2); % slab moment pinned end span
smfesup = -0.063*sf*x(2); % slab moment fixed end support
smfespan = 0.063*sf*x(2); % slab moment fixed end span
smfisupport = -0.086*sf*x(2); % slab moment first interior support
smaispan = 0.063*sf*x(2); % slab moment all interior span
smoisupport = -0.063*sf*x(2); % slab moment other interior support
sspesupport = 0.4*sf; % slab shear pinned end support
ssfesupport = 0.48*sf; % slab shear fixed end support
ssfisupport = 0.6*sf; % slab shear first interior support
```

```
% 1.3 FLEXURE DESIGN/CHECK
```

```
seffectivedepth = x(1)-snominalcover-(12/2); % in mm
sbreadth = 1000; % in mm
```

ssoisupport = 0.5\*sf; % slab shear other interior support

```
smpespank = (abs(smpespan)*100000)/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x 4
(3));
smpespanleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smpespank))^(1/2)); % in mm
smpespanmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
if smpespanleverarm <= smpespanmaxleverarm
smpespanz = smpespanleverarm;
else
smpespanz = smpespanmaxleverarm;
end
smpespanrequiredsteel = (abs(smpespan)*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smpespanz);
smpespanminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smpespanprovidedsteel = x(6)*3.14*x(5)*x(5)/4;
```

```
smpespanmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smpespanprovidedsteel);
```

```
smfesupk = (abs(smfesup)*1000000)/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smfesupleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smfesupk))^(1/2)); % in mm
smfesupmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smfesupleverarm <= smfesupmaxleverarm</pre>
    smfesupz = smfesupleverarm;
 else
    smfesupz = smfesupmaxleverarm;
 end
smfesuprequiredsteel = (smfesup*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smfesupz);
smfesupminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smfesupprovidedsteel = x(8) \times 3.14 \times (7) \times (7)/4;
smfesupmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smfesupprovidedsteel);
smfespank = (smfespan*1000000)/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smfespanleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smfespank))^(1/2)); % in mm
smfespanmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smfespanleverarm <= smfespanmaxleverarm</pre>
    smfespanz = smfespanleverarm;
 else
    smfespanz = smfespanmaxleverarm;
 end
smfespanrequiredsteel = (smfespan*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smfespanz);
smfespanminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smfespanprovidedsteel = x(10) \times 3.14 \times (9) \times (9) / 4;
smfespanmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smfespanprovidedsteel);
smfisupportk = (abs(smfisupport)*100000)/ 
(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smfisupportleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smfisupportk))^(1/2)); % in ∠
mm
smfisupportmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smfisupportleverarm <= smfisupportmaxleverarm</pre>
    smfisupportz = smfisupportleverarm;
 else
    smfisupportz = smfisupportmaxleverarm;
 end
smfisupportrequiredsteel = (abs(smfisupport)*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smfisupportz);
smfisupportminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^{(2/3)}*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smfisupportprovidedsteel = x(12) \times 3.14 \times (11) \times (11)/4;
smfisupportmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smfisupportprovidedsteel);
smaispank = (smaispan*1000000)/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smaispanleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smaispank))^(1/2)); % in mm
smaispanmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smaispanleverarm <= smaispanmaxleverarm
    smaispanz = smaispanleverarm;
 else
    smaispanz = smaispanmaxleverarm;
 end
smaispanrequiredsteel = (smaispan*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smaispanz);
smaispanminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smaispanprovidedsteel = x(14) \times 3.14 \times (13) \times (13)/4;
smaispanmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smaispanprovidedsteel);
```

```
smoisupportk = (abs(smoisupport)*1000000) / ¥
(sbreadth*seffectivedepth*seffectivedepth*x(3));
smoisupportleverarm = 0.5*seffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*smoisupportk))^(1/2)); % in ∠
mm
smoisupportmaxleverarm = 0.95*seffectivedepth;
 if smoisupportleverarm <= smoisupportmaxleverarm</pre>
    smoisupportz = smoisupportleverarm;
 else
    smoisupportz = smoisupportmaxleverarm;
 end
smoisupportrequiredsteel = (abs(smoisupport)*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*smoisupportz);
smoisupportminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^{(2/3)}*sbreadth*seffectivedepth)/x(4);
smoisupportprovidedsteel = x(16) \times 3.14 \times (15) \times (15)/4;
smoisupportmaximumsteel = 0.04*(sbreadth*x(1)-smoisupportprovidedsteel);
sbrsrequiredarea = 0.2*smpespanprovidedsteel;
sbrsprovidedarea = x(22) * 3.14 * x(21) * x(21) / 4;
% 1.4 SHEAR DESIGN/CHECK
srow = smpespanprovidedsteel/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth);
sk1 = 1+((200/seffectivedepth)^{(1/2)});
 if sk1>2
    sk1=2;
 else
    sk1= 1+ ((200/seffectivedepth)^(1/2));
 end
sresistanceshear = (0.12*sk1*((100*srow*x(3))^(1/3))/1000) ∠
*sbreadth*seffectivedepth;
sminshear = 0.035*((sk1)^{(3/2)})*(x(3)^{(1/2)});
sminresistanceshear = (sminshear+(sk1*(ssfisupport*1000)/sbreadth*seffectivedepth)) 
*sbreadth*seffectivedepth;
% 1.5 DEFLECTION DESIGN/CHECK
sk2 = 1.3 ; % for one way solid slab
srowzero = (x(3)^{(1/2)})/1000;
srowone = smpespanprovidedsteel/(sbreadth*seffectivedepth);
srowtwo = 0;
 if srowone<=srowzero
    sspantodepthratio = sk2*(11+(1.5*((x(3)^(1/2))*(srowzero/srowone)))+(3.2*(x(3)^ ∠
(1/2) * (((srowzero/srowone) -1)^(3/2)));
 else
    sspantodepthratio = sk2*(11+(1.5*((x(3)^(1/2))*(srowzero/(srowone-srowtwo))))+
((1/12)*(x(3)^(1/2))*((srowtwo/srowzero)^(1/2))));
 end
```

```
sf1 = (500*smpespanprovidedsteel)/(x(4)*smpespanrequiredsteel);
sbasicspantodepthratio = sspantodepthratio*sf1; % in mm
sactualspantodepthratio = x(2)*1000/seffectivedepth; % in mm
```

```
skc = 0.4;
smincrackingarea = (skc*0.3*(x(3)^{(3/2)})*sbreadth*x(1))/x(4);
% 1.7 QUANTITY CALCULATION
% 1.7.1 STEEL CALCULATION
sbrlx = (x(2)*x(17))+(x(18)/1000)-(2*snominalcover/1000); % SLAB BOTTOM⊻
REINFORCEMENT IN X DIRECTION IN M
sbrly = (x(19)*x(20))+(x(18)/1000)-(2*snominalcover/1000)-0.040; % SLAB BOTTOM⊻
REINFORCEMENT IN Y DIRECTION IN M
sbrnmespan = sbrly*1000/(1000/x(6)); % SLAB BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT NUMBER OF main #
BARS IN END SPAN
sbrnmispan = sbrly*1000/(1000/x(14)); % SLAB BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT NUMBER OF main ∠
BARS IN INTERIOR SPAN
sbrnsispan = sbrlx*1000/(1000/x(14)); % SLAB BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT NUMBER OF ∠
secondary BARS
sbrmweight = ((sbrnmespan*(x(2)-snominalcover/1000-0.040)*2*x(5)*x(5))/162.2)+ ∠
(sbrnmispan*(x(2)-snominalcover/1000-0.040)*(x(17)-2)*x(14)*x(14)/162.2); % SLAB⊭
BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT MAIN BAR WEIGHT
sbrsweight = sbrnsispan*x(14)*x(2)*x(21)*x(21)/162.2; % WEIGHT IN KG
sbrtweight = sbrmweight+sbrsweight; % SLAB BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT TOTAL STEEL WEIGHT "
IN KG
strelx = 0.2*x(2)*2; % SLAB TOP REINFORCEMENT AT END SUPPORT IN X DIRECTION IN M
strilx = 0.3*x(2)*(x(17)-1); % SLAB TOP REINFORCEMENT AT INTERIOR SUPPORT IN X⊻
DIRECTION IN M
```

```
strly = (x(19)*x(20))+(x(18)/1000)-(2*snominalcover/1000)-0.040; % SLAB TOP #
REINFORCEMENT IN Y DIRECTION IN M
strmweight = ((strly*strelx)*(x(7)*x(7)/162.2))+((strly*strilx)*(x(15)*x(15)/162. #
2)); % SLAB TOP REINFORCEMENT MAIN BAR WEIGHT IN KG
strsweight = (strelx*1000/(1000/x(14))*x(21)*x(21)/162.2)+(strilx*1000/(1000/x(14)) #
*x(21)*x(21)/162.2); % WEIGHT IN KG
strtweight = strmweight+strsweight; % TOTAL TOP REINFORCEMENT WEIGHT
```

slabtotalsteel = sbrtweight+strtweight; % TOTAL SLAB STEEL WEIGHT IN KG
slabtotalbuildingsteel = slabtotalsteel\*6; % TOTAL BUILDING SLAB STEEL WEIGHT IN KG

# % 1.7.2 CONCRETE CALCULATION

% 1.6 CRACKING DESIGN/CHECK

stc = ((x(2)\*x(17))\*(x(19)\*x(20)))\*x(1)/1000; % SLAB TOTAL CONCRETE in M3
stcweight = stc\*2400; % SLAB TOTAL CONCRETE in KG
sncweight = stcweight-slabtotalsteel; % NET AMOUNT OF CONCRETE IN KG
snc = sncweight/2400; % NET AMOUNT OF CONCRETE IN M3
sncbuilding = snc\*6; % NET AMOUNT OF BUILDING SLAB CONCRETE IN M3

## % 1.7.3 FORMWORK CALCULATION

sfa = (((x(2)-(x(18)/1000))\*x(17))\*((x(19)-(x(18)/1000)\*x(20))))\*6; % AREA OF ∠
FORMWORK FOR BUILDING

```
sfweight = sfa*4/1000*2710; %WEIGHT OF FORMWORK FOR BUILDING
% 2. BEAM DESIGN
% 2.1 MAIN BEAM DESIGN
% 2.1.1 FIRE RESISTANCE/COVER DETERMINATION
bnominalcover = 25; % IN MM
baxisdistance = bnominalcover+8+(32/2); % AXIS DISTANCE FOR 1.5 HR OF FIRE
% 2.1.2 LOADING CALULATIONS
mbmaxdesignload = sdesignload; %MAIN BEAM MAXIMUM DESIGN LOAD
mbmindesignload = 1.25*stotalpermanentload; %MAIN BEAM MINIMUM DESIGN LOAD
mbmaxslab = 1.1*mbmaxdesignload*x(2); %MAIN BEAM MAXIMUM DESIGN LOAD DUE TO SLAB
mbminslab = 1.1*x(2)*mbmindesignload; %MAIN BEAM MINIMUM DESIGN LOAD DUE TO SLAB
mbmax = 1.25*x(23)*x(18)*25/1000000; %MAIN BEAM MAXIMUM DESIGN LOAD DUE TO MAIN⊻
BEAMS
mbmin = mbmax;
mbtoalmaxload = mbmaxslab+mbmax; %IN KN/M
mbtotalminload = mbminslab+mbmin; % IN KN/M
% 2.1.3 BENDING MOMENT AND SHEAR FORCE ANALYSIS/ SUB FRAME ANALYSIS
mbibeam = x(18) * (x(23) ^3) / 12; % MOMENT OF INERTIA OF BEAM
mbicolumn = x(24) * (x(25) ^3) /12; %MOMENT OF INERTIA OF COLUMN
mbendk = mbibeam/x(19)*1000; %STIFFNESS OF END BEAM
mbintk = mbendk; %STIFFNESS OF INTERIOR BEAM
storeyheight = 3.5;
mbuppercolumnk = mbicolumn/storeyheight*1000; %STIFFNESS OF UPPER COLUMN
mblowercolumnk = mbicolumn/storeyheight*1000; %STIFFNESS OF LOWER COLUMN
mbdfendjointb = mbendk/(mbendk+(2*mbuppercolumnk)); % MAIN BEAM DISTRIBUTION FACTOR¥
AT END JOINT FOR BEAM
mbdfendjointc = (1-mbdfendjointb)/2; % COLUMN DISTRIBUTION FACTOR AT END JOINT FOR ¥
COLUMN
mbdfinteriorjointendb = mbendk/(mbendk+(0.5*mbintk)+(2*mblowercolumnk)); % MAIN 
BEAM DISTRIBUTION FACTOR AT INTERIOR JOINT FOR END BEAM
mbdfinteriorjointintb = (0.5*mbendk) / (mbendk+(0.5*mbintk)+(2*mblowercolumnk)); % ∠
MAIN BEAM DISTRIBUTION FACTOR AT INTERIOR JOINT FOR INTERIOR BEAM
mbdfinteriorjointc = (mbuppercolumnk)/(mbendk+(0.5*mbintk)+(2*mblowercolumnk)); %
column DISTRIBUTION FACTOR AT END JOINT FOR COLUMN
mbendmomentmax = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19)*x(19))/12;
mbintmomentmax = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19)*x(19))/12;
mbendmomentmin = (mbtotalminload*x(19)*x(19))/12;
mbintmomentmin = (mbtotalminload*x(19)*x(19))/12;
rlejuc = mbdfendjointc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper column of end "
joint (row1, column1)
rlejb = mbdfendjointb; % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of end joint "
(row1, column2)
rlejlc = rlejuc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column of end joint #
(row1, column3)
rlijuc = 0; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - upper column of interior ¥
joint (row1, column4)
rlijeb = rlejb/2; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - end beam of interior 🖌
joint (row1, column5)
rlijib = 0; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - interior beam of interior ¥
```

joint (row1, column6) rlijlc = 0; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior ¥ joint (row1, column7) r2ejuc = 0; % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper column of end joint (row2, ¥ column1) r2ejb = mbdfinteriorjointendb/2; % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of end ¥ joint (row2, column2) r2ejlc = 0; % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column of end joint (row2, 4 column3) r2ijuc = mbdfinteriorjointc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - upper column # of interior joint (row2, column4) r2ijeb = mbdfinteriorjointendb; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - end beam 4 of interior joint (row2, column5) r2ijib = mbdfinteriorjointintb; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - interior ¥ beam of interior joint (row2,column6) r2ijlc = r2ijuc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior **v** joint (row2, column7) r3ejuc = (r1ejuc/r1ijeb)-r2ejuc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper column 4 of end joint (row3, column1) r3ejb = (r1ejb/r1ijeb)-r2ejb; % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of end " joint (row3, column2) r3ejlc = (r1ejlc/r1ijeb)-r2ejlc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column 4 of end joint (row3, column3) r3ijuc = (r1ijuc/r1ijeb)-r2ijuc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - upper ⊭ column of interior joint (row3, column4) r3ijeb = (r1ijeb/r1ijeb)-r2ijeb; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - end beam⊻ of interior joint (row3, column5) r3ijib = (r1ijib/r1ijeb)-r2ijib; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - interior ⊭ beam of interior joint (row3, column6) r3ijlc = (r1ijlc/r1ijeb)-r2ijlc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior joint (row3, column7) r4ejuc = (r2ejuc/r2ejb)-r1ejuc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper column ¥ of end joint (row4, column1) r4ejb = (r2ejb/r2ejb)-r1ejb; % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of end joint (row4, column2) r4ejlc = (r2ejlc/r2ejb)-r1ejlc; % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column # of end joint (row4, column3) r4ijuc = (r2ijuc/r2ejb)-r1ijuc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - upper column of interior joint (row4, column4) r4ijeb = (r2ijeb/r2ejb)-r1ijeb; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - end beam 4 of interior joint (row4, column5) r4ijib = (r2ijib/r2ejb)-r1ijib; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - interior 🖌 beam of interior joint (row4, column6) r4ijlc = (r2ijlc/r2ejb)-r1ijlc; % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior joint (row4, column7) r5ejuc = (r3ejuc/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper⊻ column of end joint (row5,column1) r5ejb = (r3ejb/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint - beam of end joint (row5, column2) r5ejlc = (r3ejlc/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower⊻ column of end joint (row5, column3) r5ijuc = (r3ijuc/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint - " upper column of interior joint (row5, column4)

r5ijeb = (r3ijeb/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint -∠ end beam of interior joint (row5, column5) r5ijib = (r3ijib/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint - 4 interior beam of interior joint (row5, column6) r5ijlc = (r3ijlc/(r3ejuc+r3ejb+r3ejlc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint lower column of interior joint (row5,column7) r6ejuc = (r4ejuc/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint - upper column of end joint (row6, column1) r6ejb = (r4ejb/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint -∠ beam of end joint (row6, column2) r6ejlc = (r4ejlc/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at end joint - lower column of end joint (row6, column3) r6ijuc = (r4ijuc/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at interior ∠ joint - upper column of interior joint (row6, column4) r6ijeb = (r4ijeb/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at interior ∠ joint - end beam of interior joint (row6, column5) r6ijib = (r4ijib/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at interior ∠ joint - interior beam of interior joint (row6, column6) r6ijlc = (r4ijlc/(r4ijlc+r4ijib+r4ijeb+r4ijuc)); % Unit moment applied at interior joint - lower column of interior joint (row6, column7) clrlejuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 1 - upper column of end joint (case1, 4 row1, column1) clrlejb = -mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - beam of end joint # (case1, row1, column2) clrlejlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 1 - beam of end joint (case1, row1, ∠ column3) clrlijuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 1 - upper column of interior joint " (case1, row1, column4) clrlijeb = mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - end beam of interior ¥ joint (case1, row1, column5) clrlijib = -mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - interior beam of 4 interior joint (case1, row1, column6) clrlijlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 1 - lower column of interior joint 🖌 (case1, row1, column7) clr2ejuc = r5ejuc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - upper column of end joint (case1, row2, column1) clr2ejb = r5ejb\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - beam of end joint 4 (case2,row1,column2) clr2ejlc = r5ejlc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - beam of end ¥ joint (case1, row2, column3) clr2ijuc = r5ijuc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - upper column of " interior joint (case1, row2, column4) clr2ijeb = r5ijeb\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - end beam of ¥ interior joint (case1, row2, column5) clr2ijib = r5ijib\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - interior beam of **r** interior joint (case1, row2, column6) clr2ijlc = r5ijlc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 1 - lower column of ¥ interior joint (case1, row2, column7) clr3ejuc = r6ejuc\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 upper column of end joint (case1, row3, column1) clr3ejb = r6ejb\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 🖌 beam of end joint (case2,row3,column2) clr3ejlc = r6ejlc\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4

beam of end joint (case1, row3, column3) clr3ijuc = r6ijuc\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1, row3, column4) clr3ijeb = r6ijeb\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 end beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column5) clr3ijib = r6ijib\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column6) c1r3ijlc = r6ijlc\*(mbendmomentmax-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 1 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1, row3, column7) clr4ejuc = clr1ejuc+clr2ejuc+clr3ejuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - 4 upper column of end joint (case1, row4, column1) clr4ejb = clr1ejb+clr2ejb+clr3ejb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - beam of end joint (case2, row4, column2) clr4ejlc = clr1ejlc+clr2ejlc+clr3ejlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - 4 beam of end joint (case1,row4,column3) clr4ijuc = clr1ijuc+clr2ijuc+clr3ijuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1, row4, column4) clr4ijeb = clr1ijeb+clr2ijeb+clr3ijeb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - end **K** beam of interior joint (case1,row4,column5) clr4ijib = clr1ijib+clr2ijib+clr3ijib; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1, row4, column6) clr4ijlc = clr1ijlc+clr2ijlc+clr3ijlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 1 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1,row4,column7) c2r1ejuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 2 - upper column of end joint (case1, ∠ row1, column1) c2rlejb = -mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - beam of end joint # (case1,row1,column2) c2r1ejlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 2 - beam of end joint (case1,row1, ∠ column3) c2rlijuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 2 - upper column of interior joint ¥ (case1, row1, column4) c2rlijeb = mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - end beam of interior  $\mathbf{r}$ joint (case1, row1, column5) c2rlijib = -mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 2 - interior beam of " interior joint (case1,row1,column6) c2r1ijlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 2 - lower column of interior joint ¥ (case1, row1, column7) c2r2ejuc = r5ejuc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - upper column of *L* end joint (case1, row2, column1) c2r2ejb = r5ejb\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - beam of end joint 4 (case2,row1,column2) c2r2ejlc = r5ejlc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - beam of end ¥ joint (case1, row2, column3) c2r2ijuc = r5ijuc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - upper column of ¥ interior joint (case1, row2, column4) c2r2ijeb = r5ijeb\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - end beam of ¥ interior joint (case1,row2,column5) c2r2ijib = r5ijib\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - interior beam of **r** interior joint (case1, row2, column6) c2r2ijlc = r5ijlc\*mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 2 - lower column of 4 interior joint (case1, row2, column7) c2r3ejuc = r6ejuc\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 upper column of end joint (case1,row3,column1)

c2r3ejb = r6ejb\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 beam of end joint (case2,row3,column2) c2r3ejlc = r6ejlc\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 beam of end joint (case1, row3, column3) c2r3ijuc = r6ijuc\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1,row3,column4) c2r3ijeb = r6ijeb\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 end beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column5) c2r3ijib = r6ijib\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column6) c2r3ijlc = r6ijlc\*(mbintmomentmin-mbintmomentmax); % Moment in members for case 2 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1, row3, column7) c2r4ejuc = c2r1ejuc+c2r2ejuc+c2r3ejuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - ¥ upper column of end joint (case1, row4, column1) c2r4ejb = c2r1ejb+c2r2ejb+c2r3ejb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - beam of ∠ end joint (case2,row4,column2) c2r4ejlc = c2r1ejlc+c2r2ejlc+c2r3ejlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - 4 beam of end joint (case1, row4, column3) c2r4ijuc = c2r1ijuc+c2r2ijuc+c2r3ijuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1, row4, column4) c2r4ijeb = c2r1ijeb+c2r2ijeb+c2r3ijeb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - end ∠ beam of interior joint (case1, row4, column5) c2r4ijib = c2r1ijib+c2r2ijib+c2r3ijib; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - K interior beam of interior joint (case1,row4,column6) c2r4ijlc = c2r1ijlc+c2r2ijlc+c2r3ijlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 2 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1,row4,column7) c3r1ejuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 3 - upper column of end joint (case1, 4 row1, column1) c3rlejb = -mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - beam of end joint 4 (case1, row1, column2) c3r1ejlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 3 - beam of end joint (case1,row1, ∠ column3) c3r1ijuc = 0; % Moment in members for case 3 - upper column of interior joint ⊻ (case1, row1, column4) c3rlijeb = mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - end beam of interior **v** joint (case1, row1, column5) c3rlijib = -mbendmomentmax; % Moment in members for case 3 - interior beam of ¥ interior joint (case1, row1, column6) c3r1ijlc = 0; % Moment in members for case 3 - lower column of interior joint 🖌 (case1, row1, column7) c3r2ejuc = r5ejuc\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - upper column of " end joint (case1, row2, column1) c3r2ejb = r5ejb\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - beam of end joint 4 (case2, row1, column2) c3r2ejlc = r5ejlc\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - beam of end ¥ joint (case1,row2,column3) c3r2ijuc = r5ijuc\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - upper column of ∠ interior joint (case1, row2, column4) c3r2ijeb = r5ijeb\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - end beam of ¥ interior joint (case1, row2, column5) c3r2ijib = r5ijib\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - interior beam of 🖌 interior joint (case1, row2, column6) c3r2ijlc = r5ijlc\*mbintmomentmin; % Moment in members for case 3 - lower column of "

interior joint (case1, row2, column7) c3r3ejuc = r6ejuc\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - ∠ upper column of end joint (case1, row3, column1) c3r3ejb = r6ejb\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 beam of end joint (case2, row3, column2) c3r3ejlc = r6ejlc\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - ∠ beam of end joint (case1, row3, column3) c3r3ijuc = r6ijuc\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1,row3,column4) c3r3ijeb = r6ijeb\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 end beam of interior joint (case1, row3, column5) c3r3ijib = r6ijib\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1,row3,column6) c3r3ijlc = r6ijlc\*(mbintmomentmax-mbintmomentmin); % Moment in members for case 3 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1,row3,column7) c3r4ejuc = c3r1ejuc+c3r2ejuc+c3r3ejuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - 4 upper column of end joint (case1, row4, column1) c3r4ejb = c3r1ejb+c3r2ejb+c3r3ejb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - beam of end joint (case2,row4,column2) c3r4ejlc = c3r1ejlc+c3r2ejlc+c3r3ejlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - 4 beam of end joint (case1, row4, column3) c3r4ijuc = c3r1ijuc+c3r2ijuc+c3r3ijuc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - 4 upper column of interior joint (case1,row4,column4) c3r4ijeb = c3r1ijeb+c3r2ijeb+c3r3ijeb; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - end⊻ beam of interior joint (case1, row4, column5) c3r4ijib = c3r1ijib+c3r2ijib+c3r3ijib; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - 4 interior beam of interior joint (case1,row4,column6) c3r4ijlc = c3r1ijlc+c3r2ijlc+c3r3ijlc; % Sum of Moments in members for case 3 - 4 lower column of interior joint (case1, row4, column7) % 2.1.3.1 FINAL BENDING MOMENT AND SHEAR FORCE ANALYSIS/ SUB FRAME ANALYSIS clrlbendsupport = clr4ejb; % Load case 1 - Beam moment end support mbvl = (mbtoalmaxload\*x(19)/2)-((clr4ijeb-abs(clr4ejb))/x(19)); % Main beam - Left support moment for load case 1 mbvr = (mbtoalmaxload\*x(19))-(mbvl); % Main beam - Right support moment for load case 1 mba = mbvl/mbtoalmaxload; % Main beam load case 1 - distance to zero shear clmbmaxsagging = (mbvl\*mba/2) - abs(clr4ejb); % Load case 1 main beam - maximum 2 sagging clrlbendspan = clmbmaxsagging; % Load case 1 - Beam moment end span clrlbisleft = clr4ijeb; % Load case 1 - Beam moment interior left support clr1bisright = clr4ijib; % Load case 1 - Beam moment interior right support clrlbinteriorspan = ((mbtoalmaxload\*x(19)\*x(19))/8)-abs(clrlbisright); % Load case 4 1 - Beam moment interior span clr2ucendsupport = clr4ejuc; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment end support clr2ucendspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment end span clr2ucisleft = clr4ijuc; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior left support clr2ucisright = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior right support clr2ucinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior span clr3lcendsupport = clr4ejlc; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment end support clr3lcendspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment end span clr3lcisleft = clr4ijlc; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior left support clr3lcisright = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior right support

```
clr3lcinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Upper column moment interior span
c2r1bendsupport = c2r4ejb; % Load case 2 - Beam moment end support
c2mbvl = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19)/2)-((c2r4ijeb-abs(c2r4ejb))/x(19)); % Main beam -
Left support moment for load case 2
c2mbvr = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19))-(c2mbvl); % Main beam - Right support moment for ∠
load case 2
c2mba = c2mbvl/mbtoalmaxload; % Main beam load case 2 - distance to zero shear
c2mbmaxsagging = (c2mbvl*mba/2) - abs(c2r4ejb); % Load case 2 main beam - maximum ∠
sagging
c2rlbendspan = c2mbmaxsagging; % Load case 2 - Beam moment end span
c2r1bisleft = c2r4ijeb; % Load case 2 - Beam moment interior left support
c2r1bisright = c2r4ijib; % Load case 2 - Beam moment interior right support
c2r1binteriorspan = ((mbtoalmaxload*x(19)*x(19))/8)-abs(c2r1bisright); % Load case⊭
2 - Beam moment interior span
c2r2ucendsupport = c2r4ejuc; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment end support
c2r2ucendspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment end span
c2r2ucisleft = c2r4ijuc; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior left support
c2r2ucisright = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior right support
c2r2ucinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior span
c2r3lcendsupport = c2r4ejlc; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment end support
c2r3lcendspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment end span
c2r3lcisleft = c2r4ijlc; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior left support
c2r3lcisright = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior right support
c2r3lcinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Upper column moment interior span
c3r1bendsupport = c3r4ejb; % Load case 3 - Beam moment end support
c3mbvl = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19)/2)-((c3r4ijeb-abs(c3r4ejb))/x(19)); % Main beam -∠
Left support moment for load case 3
c3mbvr = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19))-(c3mbvl); % Main beam - Right support moment for ∠
load case 3
c3mba = c3mbvl/mbtoalmaxload; % Main beam load case 3 - distance to zero shear
c3mbmaxsagging = (c3mbvl*mba/2) - abs(c3r4ejb); % Load case 3 main beam - maximum 4
sagging
c3rlbendspan = c3mbmaxsagging; % Load case 3 - Beam moment end span
c3r1bisleft = c3r4ijeb; % Load case 3 - Beam moment interior left support
c3r1bisright = c3r4ijib; % Load case 3 - Beam moment interior right support
c3rlbinteriorspan = ((mbtoalmaxload*x(19)*x(19))/8)-abs(c3rlbisright); % Load case \boldsymbol{\ell}
3 - Beam moment interior span
c3ucendsupport = c3r4ejuc; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment end support
c3r2ucendspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment end span
c3r2ucisleft = c3r4ijuc; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior left support
c3r2ucisright = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior right support
c3r2ucinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior span
c3r3lcendsupport = c3r4ejlc; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment end support
c3r3lcendspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment end span
c3r3lcisleft = c3r4ijlc; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior left support
c3r3lcisright = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior right support
c3r3lcinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Upper column moment interior span
clrlsfbendsupport = mbvl; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force end support
clrlsfbendendspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force end span
```

clrlsfbisleft = mbvr; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force interior left support

```
clrlsfbisright = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19))/2; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force interior ∠
right support
clrlsfbinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 1 - Beam shear force interior span
c2r2sfbendsupport = abs(c2mbvl); % Load case 2 - Beam shear force end support
c2r2sfbendendspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Beam shear force end span
c2r2sfbisleft = c2mbvr; % Load case 2 - Beam shear force interior left support
c2r2sfbisright = (mbtotalminload*x(19))/2; % Load case 2 - Beam shear force ∠
interior right support
c2r2sfbinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 2 - Beam shear force interior span
c3r3sfbendsupport = c3mbvl; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force end support
c3r3sfbendendspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force end span
c3r3sfbisleft = c3mbvr; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force interior left support
c3r3sfbisright = (mbtoalmaxload*x(19))/2; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force interior⊻
right support
c3r3sfbinteriorspan = 0; % Load case 3 - Beam shear force interior span
if abs(c2r1bendsupport)>abs(c1r1bendsupport)
   mbendsupportmoment = abs(c2r1bendsupport);% At end support
else
   mbendsupportmoment = abs(c2r1bendsupport); % At end support
end
mbinteriorsupportmoment = abs(c2r1bisleft); % At interior support
mbinteriorspanmoment = ((mbtoalmaxload*x(19) *x(19))/8)-mbinteriorsupportmoment; % ✓
At interior span
% 2.1.4 FLEXURE DESIGN/CHECK
% 2.1.4.1 At interior support
mbeffectivedepth = x(23) - bnominal cover - 10 - (18/2); % Effective depth of the main beam
mbk = (mbinteriorsupportmoment*1000000)/(x(18)*mbeffectivedepth*mbeffectivedepth*x 
(3));
mbkdash = 0.168;
mbleverarm = 0.5*mbeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*mbk))^(1/2)); % in mm
mbmaxleverarm = 0.95*mbeffectivedepth;
 if mbleverarm <= mbmaxleverarm</pre>
   mbz = mbleverarm;
 else
    mbz = mbmaxleverarm;
 end
mbrequiredsteel = (mbinteriorsupportmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*mbz); % Main beam 
tensile steel at interior support
mbminimumsteel = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*mbeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam⊻
minimum tensile steel at interior support
mbprovidedsteel = x(26)*3.14*x(27)*x(27)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at 
interior support
```

% 2.1.4.2 At end support

mbkes = (mbendsupportmoment\*1000000)/(x(18)\*mbeffectivedepth\*mbeffectivedepth\*x 
(3));
mbkdashes = 0.168;

```
mbleverarmes = 0.5*mbeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*mbkes))^(1/2)); % in mm
mbmaxleverarmes = 0.95*mbeffectivedepth;
 if mbleverarmes <= mbmaxleverarmes</pre>
    mbzes = mbleverarmes;
 else
    mbzes = mbmaxleverarmes;
 end
mbrequiredsteeles = (mbendsupportmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*mbzes); % Main beam⊻
tensile steel at interior support
mbminimumsteeles = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*mbeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam 
minimum tensile steel at interior support
mbprovidedsteeles = x(28)*3.14*x(29)*x(29)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at 4
interior support
% 2.1.4.3 At interior span
mbkis = (mbinteriorspanmoment*1000000)/(x(18)*mbeffectivedepth*mbeffectivedepth*x ∠
(3));
mbkdashis = 0.168;
mbleverarmis = 0.5*mbeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*mbkis))^(1/2)); % in mm
mbmaxleverarmis = 0.95*mbeffectivedepth;
 if mbleverarmis <= mbmaxleverarmis</pre>
    mbzis = mbleverarmis;
 else
    mbzis = mbmaxleverarmis;
 end
mbrequiredsteelis = (mbinteriorspanmoment*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*mbzis); % Main beam 4
tensile steel at interior span
mbminimumsteelis = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*mbeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam⊻
minimum tensile steel at interior span
mbprovidedsteelis = x(30)*3.14*x(31)*x(31)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at 
interior span
% 2.1.4.4 At exterior span
mbkespan = (abs(c2r1bendspan)*1000000)/(x(18)*mbeffectivedepth*mbeffectivedepth*x 
(3));
mbkdashespan = 0.168;
mbleverarmespan = 0.5*mbeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*mbkespan))^(1/2)); % in mm
mbmaxleverarmespan = 0.95*mbeffectivedepth;
 if mbleverarmespan <= mbmaxleverarmespan
    mbzespan = mbleverarmespan;
 else
    mbzespan = mbmaxleverarmespan;
 end
mbrequiredsteelespan = (abs(c2r1bendspan)*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*mbzespan); % Main¥
beam tensile steel at exterior span
mbminimumsteelespan = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*mbeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main⊻
beam minimum tensile steel at exterior span
mbprovidedsteelespan = x(32)*3.14*x(33)*x(33)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel 4
at exterior span
```

```
% 2.1.5 SHEAR DESIGN/CHECK
```

```
mbcriticaldistance = mbeffectivedepth+(x(24)/2);
```

#### % 2.1.5.1 At End Support

```
mbsdendsupport = c2r2sfbendsupport-(mbtoalmaxload*mbcriticaldistance/1000); % Main &
beam shear design end support
mbsdesroww = mbsdendsupport/(x(18)*0.9*mbeffectivedepth*(1-(x(3))/250)*x(3)); % 
Main beam shear design factor(row)
mbsdesrowwlimit = 0.138;
mbcottheta = 2.5;
mbsdesrequiredsteel = (mbsdendsupport*1000*1000)/(0.87*x(4)*0. 
9*mbeffectivedepth*mbcottheta); % Main beam shear design end support required steel
mbsdesprovidedsteel = x(34)*3.14*x(35)*x(35)/4; % % Main beam shear design end 
support provided steel
```

#### % 2.1.5.2 At Interior Support

```
mbsdisleft = abs(clr1sfbisleft)-(mbtoalmaxload*mbcriticaldistance/1000); % Main &
beam shear design interior support
mbsdisleftroww = mbsdisleft/(x(18)*0.9*mbeffectivedepth*(1-(x(3))/250)*x(3)); % &
Main beam shear design factor(row)
mbsdisleftrowwlimit = 0.138;
mbsdisleftcottheta = 2.5;
mbsdisleftrequiredsteel = (mbsdisleft*1000*1000)/(0.87*x(4)*0. &
9*mbeffectivedepth*mbsdisleftcottheta); % Main beam shear design end support &
required steel
mbsdisleftprovidedsteel = x(36)*3.14*x(37)*x(37)/4; % % Main beam shear design end &
support provided steel
```

```
mbsdisright = abs(clrlsfbisright)-(mbtoalmaxload*mbcriticaldistance/1000); % Main &
beam shear design interior support
mbsdisrightroww = mbsdisright/(x(18)*0.9*mbeffectivedepth*(1-(x(3))/250)*x(3)); % 
Main beam shear design factor(row)
mbsdisrightrowwlimit = 0.138;
mbsdisrightcottheta = 2.5;
mbsdisrightrequiredsteel = (mbsdisright*1000*1000)/(0.87*x(4)*0.  
9*mbeffectivedepth*mbsdisrightcottheta); % Main beam shear design end support  
required steel
mbsdisrightprovidedsteel = x(38)*3.14*x(39)*x(39)/4; % % Main beam shear design end  
support provided steel
```

### % 2.1.6 DEFLECTION DESIGN/CHECK

```
mbactualspantodepth = x(19)/mbeffectivedepth;
mbdeflectionload = sf;
mbbeta = (500/x(4))/(mbprovidedsteelis/mbrequiredsteelis);
mbdeflectioneffectivebreadth = ((x(18)+(0.28*x(19)*1000))*x(1))+(x(18)* 
(mbeffectivedepth-x(1)));
mbalpha = (0.55+(0.0075*x(3)/(100*mbrequiredsteelis/mbdeflectioneffectivebreadth))) 
+(0.005*(x(3)^0.5)*(((x(3)^0.5)/ 
(100*mbrequiredsteelis/mbdeflectioneffectivebreadth))-10)^1.5);
mblimitingratio = 30*0.8*(x(19)/(mbdeflectioneffectivebreadth/x(18)))
```

```
% 2.1.7 REINFOREMENT REOUIREMETNS/DETAILING
% 2.1.7.1 STEEL CALCULATION
mbbrespan1 = ((x(19)*1000-x(24))+(50*x(33))+(x(24)/2)+(50*x(33)/2)-(2*x(33))) ∠
/1000;% Main beam bottom reinforcement end span cut length
mbbrespanw = mbbrespanl*2*x(33)*x(33)/162.2; % Weight of end span bottom #
reinforcement in Kg
mbbrispanl = ((x(19)*1000-x(24))+(50*x(31))+(x(24)/2)+(50*x(31)/2)-(2*x(31))) ∠
/1000;% Main beam bottom reinforcement interior span cut length
mbbrispanw = mbbrispanl*(x(20)-2)*x(31)*x(31)/162.2; % Weight of interior span ∠
bottom reinforcement in Kg
mbtresupport1 = ((((x(19)*1000)-x(24))/3)+(50*x(29)))/1000;% Main beam top⊻
reinforcement exterior support cut length
mbbresupportw = mbtresupport1*2*x(29)*x(29)/162.2; % Weight of exterior support 
bottom reinforcement in Kg
mbtrisupport1 = (((((0.2*0.15*(x(19)*1000*2))*2)+x(24)))/1000; % Main beam top⊻
interior support cut length
mbbrisupportw = mbtrisupportl*(x(20)-1)*x(27)*x(27)/162.2; % Weight of interior ∠
support bottom reinforcement in Kg
mbstirrupa = x(18)-(2*bnominalcover)-(2*x(37)/2); % Main beam stirrup breadth
mbstirrupb = x(23)-(2*bnominalcover)-(2*x(37)/2); % Main beam stirrup breadth
mbstirrup = ((2*(mbstirrupa+mbstirrupb))+(10*2*x(37))-(3*4*x(37)))/1000; % Main⊻
beam stirrup length
mbstirrupnumber = ((x(19)*1000-x(18))/(1000/x(36)))-1; % Number of stirrups
mbstirrupweight = mbstirrup*mbstirrupnumber*x(20)*x(37)/162.2; %Total stirrup⊻
weight for entire spans
mbtotalsteelonebeam = \mathbf{k}
mbstirrupweight+mbbrespanw+mbbresupportw+mbbrisupportw; % Total steel ¥
weight for one main beam
mbtotalsteel = mbtotalsteelonebeam*(x(17)+1)*6; % Total main beam steel for entire 
building
```

### % 2.1.7.2 Concrete CALCULATION

\*mbbeta\*mbalpha;

```
mbcvolume = x(18)*x(23)*x(20)*x(19)/1000000;% Main beam volue of one total beam in 
m3
mbcfloorvolume = mbcvolume*(x(17)+1); % Main beam volume on one floor in m3
mbcbuildingvolume = mbcfloorvolume*6; % Main beam volume on one floor in m3
mbcbuildingnetweight = (mbcbuildingvolume*2400)-(mbtotalsteel); % Main beam net 
weight for whole building in m3
mbcbuildingnetvolume = mbcbuildingnetweight/2400; % Main beam net volume on whole 
builsing in m3
```

% 2.1.7.3 Formwork CALCULATION

```
mbfarea = (2*x(23)/1000+x(18)/1000)*x(19)*x(20)*(x(17)+1)*6 % Main beam formwork #
area of whole building in m2
mbfweight = mbfarea*(4/1000)*2710; % Main beam formwork weight of whole building in #
Kg
```

% 2.2 EDGE BEAM DESIGN

### % 2.2.1 LOADING CALULATIONS

```
ebtarea = 0.5*(x(2)-x(24)/1000)*(1/3); % Edge beam triangular area m2
ebwcw = 5; % Edge beam loading due to walling, cladding, windows in Kn/m
ebwb = 1.25*(ebwcw+(25*x(18)*x(23))/1000000)*x(2); % Edge beam load plus walling in 4
KN
ebsdload = (1.25*ebtarea*stotalpermanentload); % Edge beam dead load due to slab in ¥
KN
ebslload = (1.25*ebtarea*stotalvariableload); % Edge beam live load due to slab in ¥
KN
% 2.2.2 BENDING MOMENT AND SHEAR FORCE ANALYSIS/ SUB FRAME ANALYSIS
ebr1bmes = ((0.078*ebwb)+(0.105*ebsdload)+(0.135*ebslload))*x(2); % Edge beam ∠
bending moment in end span Knm
ebr2bmisupport = ((0.105*ebwb)+(0.132*ebsdload)+(0.132*ebslload))*x(2); % Edge beam 
bending moment in first interior support KNm
ebr3bmispan = ((0.046*ebwb)+(0.068*ebsdload)+(0.117*ebslload))*x(2); % Edge beam⊄
bending moment in interior span KNm
ebr4bmosupport = ((0.079*ebwb)+(0.099*ebsdload)+(0.099*ebslload))*x(2); % Edge beam≰
bending moment in other support KNm
ebr1sfes = ((0.395*ebwb)+(0.369*ebsdload)+(0.434*ebslload)); % Edge beam shear 
force in end span Knm
ebr2sfisupport = ((0.605*ebwb)+(0.631*ebsdload)+(0.649*ebslload)); % Edge beam ∠
shear force in first interior support KNm
ebr3sfispan = ((0.526*ebwb)+(0.532*ebsdload)+(0.622*ebslload)); % Edge beam shear
force in interior span KNm
ebr4sfosupport = ((0.5*ebwb)+(0.5*ebsdload)+(0.614*ebslload)); % Edge beam shear 
force in other support KNm
% 2.2.3 FLEXURE DESIGN/CHECK
ebeffectivedepth = mbeffectivedepth; % Edge beam effective depth
ebeffectivebreadth = x(18) + (0.2*0.7*x(2)*1000);
```

## % 2.2.3.1 At END SPAN

```
ebkes = (ebr1bmes*100000)/(ebeffectivebreadth*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivedepth*x 
(3)); % Edge beam end span
ebkdashes = 0.168;
ebleverarmes = 0.5*ebeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*ebkes))^(1/2)); % in mm
ebmaxleverarmes = 0.95*ebeffectivedepth;
if ebleverarmes <= ebmaxleverarmes
    ebzes = ebleverarmes;
else
    ebzes = ebmaxleverarmes;
end
ebrequiredsteeles = (ebr1bmes*100000)/(0.87*x(4)*ebzes); % Main beam tensile steel </pre>
at interior support
ebminimumsteeles = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivebreadth)/x(4);
```

```
interior support
% 2.2.3.2 At 1st INTERIOR SUPPORT
ebkis = (ebr2bmisupport*1000000)/(x(18)*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivedepth*x(3)); % ∠
Edge beam end span
ebkdashis = 0.168;
ebleverarmis = 0.5*ebeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*ebkis))^(1/2)); % in mm
ebmaxleverarmis = 0.95*ebeffectivedepth;
 if ebleverarmis <= ebmaxleverarmis</pre>
    ebzis = ebleverarmis;
 else
    ebzis = ebmaxleverarmis;
 end
ebrequiredsteelis = (ebr2bmisupport*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*ebzis); % Main beam tensile⊻
steel at interior support
ebminimumsteelis = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*ebeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam⊻
minimum tensile steel at interior support
ebprovidedsteelis = x(42) *3.14*x(43) *x(43)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at ∠
interior support
% 2.2.3.3 At Interior SPAN
ebkispan = (ebr3bmispan*1000000)/ 2
(ebeffectivebreadth*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivedepth*x(3)); % Edge beam interior
span
ebkdashispan = 0.168;
ebleverarmispan = 0.5*ebeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*ebkispan))^(1/2)); % in mm
ebmaxleverarmispan = 0.95*ebeffectivedepth;
 if ebleverarmispan <= ebmaxleverarmispan
    ebzispan = ebleverarmispan;
 else
    ebzispan = ebmaxleverarmispan;
 end
ebrequiredsteelispan = (ebr3bmispan*1000000)/(0.87*x(4)*ebzispan); % Main beam ¥
tensile steel at interior span
ebminimumsteelispan = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivebreadth)/x ¥
(4); % Main beam minimum tensile steel at interior span
ebprovidedsteelispan = x(44)*3.14*x(45)*x(45)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel ¥
at interior span
% 2.2.3.4 At OTHER INTERIOR SUPPORT
ebkos = (ebr4bmosupport*1000000)/(x(18)*ebeffectivedepth*ebeffectivedepth*x(3)); % ∠
Edge beam OTHER INTERIOR SUPPORT
ebkdashos = 0.168;
ebleverarmos = 0.5*ebeffectivedepth*(1+(1-(3.53*ebkos))^(1/2)); % in mm
ebmaxleverarmos = 0.95*ebeffectivedepth;
 if ebleverarmos <= ebmaxleverarmos</pre>
```

```
ebzos = ebleverarmos;
else
ebzos = ebmaxleverarmos;
```

end

```
ebrequiredsteelos = (ebr4bmosupport*100000)/(0.87*x(4)*ebzos); % Main beam tensile \boldsymbol{\varkappa} steel at interior support
ebminimumsteelos = (0.26*0.3*x(3)^(2/3)*x(18)*ebeffectivedepth)/x(4); % Main beam \boldsymbol{\varkappa} minimum tensile steel at interior support
ebprovidedsteelos = x(46)*3.14*x(47)*x(47)/4; % Main beam provided tensile steel at \boldsymbol{\varkappa} interior support
```

```
% 2.2.4 SHEAR DESIGN/CHECK
```

```
ebsdrow = ebr2sfisupport/(x(18)*0.87*0.9*ebeffectivedepth/1000*(1-(x(3))/250)*x #
(3)); % Main beam shear design factor(row)
ebsdrowlimit = 0.138;
ebcottheta = 2.5;
ebsdrequiredsteel = (ebr2sfisupport*1000)/(0.87*x(4)*0. #
9*ebeffectivedepth/1000*mbcottheta); % Main beam shear design end support required #
steel
ebsdprovidedsteel = x(48)*3.14*x(49)*x(49)/4; % % Main beam shear design end #
support provided steel
```

```
% 2.2.5 REINFOREMENT REQUIREMETNS/DETAILING
% 2.2.5.1 STEEL CALCULATION
```

```
ebbrespanl = ((x(2)*1000-x(24))+(50*x(41))+(x(24)/2)+(50*x(41)/2)-(2*x(41)))/1000; % ∠
Edge beam bottom reinforcement end span cut length
ebbrespanw = ebbrespanl*2*x(41)*x(41)/162.2; % Weight of end span bottom
reinforcement in Kg
ebbrispanl = ((x(2)*1000-x(24))+(50*x(45))+(x(24)/2)+(50*x(45)/2)-(2*x(45)))/1000;%✓
Edge beam bottom reinforcement interior span cut length
ebbrispanw = ebbrispanl*(x(17)-2)*x(45)*x(45)/162.2; % Weight of interior span ∠
bottom reinforcement in Kg
ebtrisupport1 = (((x(2)*1000)/3)+(50*x(43)))/1000; % Edge beam top reinforcement 
first interior support cut length
ebtrisupportw = ebtrisupport1*2*x(43) *x(43) /162.2; % Weight of first interior ✓
support top reinforcement in Kg
ebtrosupportl = (((0.9*x(24))*2)+x(24))/1000;% Edge beam top other interior support ∠
cut length
ebtrosupportw = ebtrosupportl*(x(17)-1)*x(47)*x(47)/162.2; % Weight of other
interior support top reinforcement in Kg
ebstirrupa = x(18) - (2*bnominalcover) - (2*x(49)/2); % Edge beam stirrup breadth
ebstirrupb = x(23) - (2*bnominalcover) - (2*x(49)/2); % Edge beam stirrup breadth
ebstirrup = ((2*(ebstirrupa+ebstirrupb))+(10*2*x(49))-(6*2*x(49)))/1000; % Edge⊭
beam stirrup length
ebstirrupnumber = ((x(2)*1000-x(18))/(1000/x(48)))-1; % Number of stirrups
ebstirrupweight = ebstirrup*ebstirrupnumber*x(17)*x(49)/162.2; %Total stirrup⊮
weight for entire spans
ebtotalsteelonebeam = \mathbf{k}
ebstirrupweight+ebbrespanw+ebbrispanw+ebtrisupportw+ebtrosupportw; % Total steel⊻
weight for one main beam
ebtotalsteel = ebtotalsteelonebeam*2*6; % Total edge beam steel for entire building
```

#### % 2.2.5.2 Concrete CALCULATION

ebcvolume = x(18) \*x(23) \*x(17) \*x(2) /1000000; % Main beam volue of one total beam in ∠

```
m3
ebcfloorvolume = ebcvolume*2; % Main beam volume on one floor in m3
ebcbuildingvolume = ebcfloorvolume*6; % Main beam volume for whole building in m3
ebcbuildingnetweight = (ebcbuildingvolume*2400)-(ebtotalsteel); % Main beam net #
weight on one floor in m3
ebcbuildingnetvolume = ebcbuildingnetweight/2400; % Edge beam net volume on whole K
builsing in m3
% 2.5.5.3 Formwork CALCULATION
ebfarea = (2*x(23)/1000+x(18)/1000)*x(17)*x(2)*2*6; % Edge beam formwork area of
whole building in m2
ebfweight = ebfarea*(4/1000)*2710; % Edge beam formwork weight of whole building in 
Kq
% 3. COLUMN DESIGN
% 3.1 LOADING
cdf = (1.25*stotalpermanentload)+(1.5*0.6); % Column design load in KN/m2
croofbeammax = (1.1*x(2)*cdf)+mbmax; % Column max interior roof KN/m
croofbeammin = (1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalpermanentload)+mbmax; % Column min interior⊻
roof KN/m
cswperfloor = 1.25*(x(24)/1000)*(x(25)/1000)*25*(3.5-(x(23)/1000)); % Column self⊻
weight per floor
% 3.2 EXTERNAL COLUMN
% 3.2.1 BENDING MOMENT AND AXIAL FORCE ANALYSIS
ecfeb = ebwb; % External column load due to edge beam KN
exroof = (1.25*((x(24)*x(25))+0.15*1)*25*x(2)); % External column roof load due to⊻
self weight of beam and parapet
ecfminload = (1.1*x(2)*1.5*stotalvariableload)+(mbmax/1000000); % External column 4
minimum load
ecfmaxload = 1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalpermanentload; % External column maximum load
ecmend = (ecfminload*x(19)*x(19))/12; % External column end moment minimum
ecmendmax = (ecfmaxload*x(19))/12; % External column end moment maximum
ecmleft = -ecmend+(0.543*ecmend);
ecmright = ecmend+(0.169*ecmend);
ecsright = (ecfminload*x(19)/2)-((ecmright+ecmleft)/x(19)); % External column shear
right
ecmleft1 = -ecmendmax+(0.543*ecmendmax)+((ecmend-ecmendmax)*0.102);
ecmright1 = ecmendmax+(0.169*ecmendmax)+((ecmend-ecmendmax)*0.407);
ecsright1 = (ecmendmax*x(19)/2)-((ecmright1+ecmleft1)/x(19)); % External column 
shear right
ecmendminr = (croofbeammin*x(19)*x(19))/12; % External column end moment minimum "
roof beam
ecmendmaxr = (croofbeammax*x(19)*x(19))/12; % External column end moment maximum 4
roof beam
ecmrlc1 = 0.228*ecmendmaxr; % For load case 1 moment
ecmrlc2 = (0.228*ecmendmaxr)+((ecmendminr-ecmendmaxr)*(-0.051)); % For load case 2¥
```

```
moment
ecmrlc1left = -ecmendmaxr+(0.543*ecmendmaxr); % Left moment load case 1
ecmrlc1right = ecmendmaxr+(0.169*ecmendmaxr); % Right moment load case 1
ecsrlc1 = (croofbeammin*x(19)/2)-((ecmrlc1right+ecmrlc1left)/x(19)); % Shear load
case 1
ecmrlc2left = 0.228*ecmendmaxr+((ecmendminr-ecmendmaxr)*(-0.051)); % Left moment
load case 2
ecmrlc2right = ecmendmaxr+(0.169*ecmendmaxr)+(0.407*(ecmendminr-ecmendmaxr)); % ∠
Right moment load case 2
ecsrlc2 = (croofbeammin*x(19)/2)-((ecmrlc2right+ecmrlc2left)/x(19)); % Shear load
case 2
ecroofbeam = (1.1*x(2)*1.5*0.6)+mbmax; % Column max interior roof imposed load KN/m
ecmroofbeam = (ecroofbeam*x(19)*x(19))/12; % External column end moment due to ¥
imposed load
ecmroofbeamleft = 0.543 * ecmroofbeam;
ecmroofbeamright = ecmroofbeam+(0.169*ecmroofbeam);
ecsroofbeam = (ecroofbeam*x(19)/2)-((ecmroofbeamright-ecmroofbeamleft)/x(19));
ec11r1n = ecsrlc1+ecfeb; % Roof beam
ecl1r1m = ecmrlc1;
ec12r1n = ecsrlc2+ecfeb;
ec12r1m = ecmrlc2;
ec21r1n = ecsroofbeam+exroof;
ec11r2n = cswperfloor; % Column
ec12r2n = cswperfloor;
ecl1r3n = ecl1r2n+ecl1r1n;
ecl1r3m = c1r2ucendsupport;
ec12r3n = ec12r2n+ec12r1n;
ec12r3m = c2r2ucendsupport;
ecl1r4n = c1r1sfbendsupport+ecfeb; % 4th floor beam
ec12r4n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec21r4n = ecsright+ecfeb;
ec22r4n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ecl1r5n = ecl1r4n+ecl1r3n;
ec11r5m = c1r2ucendsupport;
ec12r5n = ec12r4n+ec12r3n;
ec12r5m = c2r2ucendsupport;
ec11r6n = cswperfloor;
ec12r6n = cswperfloor;
ecl1r7n = ecl1r6n+ecl1r5n;
ecl1r7m = ecl1r5m;
ec12r7n = cswperfloor+ec12r5n;
ec12r7m = ec12r5m;
ecl1r8n = c1r1sfbendsupport+ecfeb; % 3th floor beam
ec12r8n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
```

```
ec21r8n = ecsright+ecfeb;
ec22r8n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ec11r9n = ec11r8n+ec11r7n;
ecl1r9m = ecl1r5m;
ec12r9n = ec12r7n+ec12r8n;
ec12r9m = ec12r5m;
ec21r9n = ec21r8n+ec21r4n;
ec22r9n = ec22r8n+ec22r4n;
ecl1r10n = cswperfloor;
ec12r10n = cswperfloor;
ecl1r11n = ecl1r10n+ecl1r9n;
ecl1rllm = ecl1r5m;
ec12r11n = ec12r10n+ec12r9n;
ec12r11m = ec12r5m;
ec11r12n = c1r1sfbendsupport+ecfeb; % 2th floor beam
ec12r12n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec21r12n = ecsright+ecfeb;
ec22r12n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ecl1r13n = ecl1r12n+ecl1r11n;
ec11r13m = ec11r5m;
ec12r13n = ec12r12n+ec12r11n;
ec12r13m = ec12r5m;
ec21r13n = ec21r12n+ec21r9n;
ec22r13n = ec22r12n+ec22r9n;
ec11r14n = ec11r5m;
ec12r14n = ec12r5m;
ec11r15n = ec11r14n+ec11r13n; % 1th floor beam
ec11r15m = ec11r5m;
ec12r15n = ec12r14n + ec12r13n;
ec12r15m = ec12r5m;
ecl1r16n = c1r1sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec12r16n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec21r16n = ecsright+ecfeb;
ec22r16n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ec11r17n = ec11r16n+ec11r15n;
ec11r17m = ec11r5m;
ec12r17n = ec12r16n+ec12r15n;
ec12r17m = ec12r5m;
ec21r17n = ec21r16n+ec21r13n;
ec22r17n = ec22r16n+ec22r13n;
ecl1r18n = ecl1r5m;
ec12r18n = ec12r5m;
```

```
ecl1r19n = ecl1r18n+ecl1r17n; % Ground floor beam
ec11r19m = ec11r5m;
ec12r19n = ec12r18n+ec12r17n;
ec12r19m = ec12r5m;
ec11r20n = c1r1sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec12r20n = c2r2sfbendsupport+ecfeb;
ec21r20n = ecsright+ecfeb;
ec22r20n = ecsright1+ecfeb;
ec11r21n = ec11r20n+ec11r19n; % Basement wall
ecl1r21m = ecl1r5m;
ec12r21n = ec12r20n+ec12r19n;
ec12r21m = ec12r5m;
ec21r21n = ec21r20n+ec21r17n;
ec22r21n = ec22r20n+ec22r17n;
ecmbotlc2 = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from ground to first floor
ecmtoplc2 = -0.5*ecmbotlc2; % Moment at bottom from ground to first floor
ecnedmax = ec12r19n-0.3*ec22r17n; % Ned maximum
ecnedmin = (ec11r17n-(ec21r17n+ec21r1n))/1.25; % Ned minimum
ecnedmintotal = ecnedmax*x(25)/30 ; % Ned minimum total in KNm
% 3.2.2 EFFECTIVE LENGTH AND SLENDERNESS
eclo = 0.75*(3.5-x(23)/1000); % Effective legth
ecm1 = (ecnedmax*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm01i = ecmtoplc2+ecm1; % First order moment with imperfections
ecm02i = ecmbotlc2+ecm1; % First order moment with imperfections
eci = x(25)/(12^{(1/2)}); Radius of gyration
ecslenderness = eclo/eci; % Slenderness ratio
ecn = (ecnedmax) / (x (24) * x (25) * 0.85 * x (3) / 1.5);
ecc = 1.7 - (ecm01i/ecm02i);
ecslendernesslimit = (20*0.7*1.1*ecc)/(ecn^(1/2)); % Slenderness ratio limit
% 3.2.3 DESIGN OF CROSS-SECTION
eced = x(25)-(35+8+20/2); % External column effective depth
ecedratio = eced/x(24); % External column effective depth to height
ecg1nedratio = (ecnedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % ground-first floor axial force ∠
ratio
ecg1medratio = (ecm02i*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % ground-first floor ∠
moment ratio
ecglrs = ((ecglnedratio*ecglmedratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel 
for ground floor to first floor
ecg1ps = x(50)*3.14*x(51)*x(51)/4; % provided steel for ground floor to first floor
ecmbot12 = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from first to second floor
ecnedmax12 = ec12r15n-0.3*ec22r13n; % Ned maximum
ecm12 = (ecnedmax12*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm02i12 = ecmbot12+ecm12; % First order moment with imperfections
ecq1nedratio12 = (ecnedmax12*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % first to second floor ∠
axial force ratio
```

```
ecg1medratio12 = (ecm02i12*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % first to second ∠
floor moment ratio
ec12rs = ((ecg1nedratio12*ecg1medratio12*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required 
steel for first to second floor
ec12ps = x(52) \times 3.14 \times (53) \times x(53)/4; % provided steel for first to second floor
ecmbot23 = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from second to third floor
ecnedmax23 = ec12r11n-0.3*ec22r9n; % Ned maximum
ecm23 = (ecnedmax23*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm02i23 = ecmbot23+ecm23; % First order moment with imperfections
ecg1nedratio23 = (ecnedmax23*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % second to third floor ∠
floor axial force ratio
ecg1medratio23 = (ecm02i23*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % second to third 
floor moment ratio
ec23rs = ((ecg1nedratio23*ecg1medratio23*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required #
steel for second to third floor
ec23ps = x(54) \times (55) \times (55) / 4; % provided steel for second to third floor
ecmbot34 = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from third to fourth floor
ecnedmax34 = ec12r7n-0.3*ec22r4n; % Ned maximum
ecm34 = (ecnedmax34*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm02i34 = ecmbot34+ecm34; % First order moment with imperfections
ecglnedratio34 = (ecnedmax34*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % third to fourth floor \boldsymbol{k}
floor axial force ratio
ecq1medratio34 = (ecm02i34*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % third to fourth 4
floor moment ratio
ec34rs = ((ecg1nedratio34*ecg1medratio34*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required 
steel for third to fourth floor
ec34ps = x(56) \times 3.14 \times (57) \times (57)/4; % provided steel for third to fourth floor
ecmbot4r = ec12r21m; % Moment at bottom from fourth to roof floor
ecnedmax4r = ec12r7n-0.3*ec22r4n; % Ned maximum
ecm4r = (ecnedmax4r*eclo)/400; % First order moment
ecm02i4r = ecmbot4r+ecm4r; % First order moment with imperfections
ecglnedratio4r = (ecnedmax4r*1000) / (x(24) *x(25) *x(3)); % fourth to roof floor axial \boldsymbol{\ell}
force ratio
ecg1medratio4r = (ecm02i4r*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % fourth to roof ∠
floor moment ratio
ec4rrs = ((ecg1nedratio4r*ecg1medratio4r*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required 
steel for fourth to roof floor
ec4rps = x(58) * 3.14 * x(59) * x(59) / 4; % provided steel for fourth to roof floor
% 3.2.3.1 DESIGN OF TIES
ectalsmax = stotalpermanentload+(0.7*stotalvariableload); %External column ties #
accidental load slab max
```

```
ectalsmin = 1.25*stotalvariableload; %External column ties accidental load slab min
ectalbmax = (1.1*x(2)*ectalsmax)+(x(24)*x(25)*25); %External column ties accidental 
load beam max
ectalbmin = (1.1*x(2)*ectalsmin)+(x(24)*x(25)*25); %External column ties accidental 
load beam min
ectaltotal = ((ecfeb/(ectalbmax+ectalbmin))*c2r2sfbendsupport)+(ecfeb/1.25);
ectrr = (ectaltotal*1000)/x(4); % External column ties required reinforcement
```

```
ectpr = x(60) *3.14*x(61) *x(61) /4; % External column ties provided reinforcement
% 3.2.4 REINFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS/DETAILING
ec4rsbl = ((1.5*35*x(59))+75)/1000;% External column fourth-roof floor starter bar⊻
length
ec4rl = (3500+ec4rsbl)/1000;% External column fourth-roof floor bar length
ec4rz1links = 600/150; % Links in zone 1
ec4rz2links = (3500-600)/(1000/x(60)); % Links in zone 2
ec4rlinkl = ((2*((x(24)-35*2)+(x(25)-35*2)))+(2*10*x(61))+(3*2*x(61)))/1000; % Link⊭
Length
ec4rlinkltotal = ec4rlinkl*(ec4rz1links+ec4rz2links);% Link Length total
ec4rsteelw = ec4rl*x(58)*(x(59)*x(59)/162.2)+(ec4rlinkltotal*x(61)*x(61)/162.2)+
(ec4rsbl*x(58)*x(58)/162.2); %Total weight 4-roof floor one column
ec34steelw = ec4rl*x(56)*(x(57)*x(57)/162.2)+(ec4rlinkltotal*x(61)*x(61)/162.2); %
Total weight 3-4 floor one column
ec23steelw = ec4rl*x(54)*(x(55)*x(55)/162.2)+(ec4rlinkltotal*x(61)*x(61)/162.2); %∠
Total weight 2-3 floor one column
ec12steelw = ec4rl*x(52)*(x(53)*x(53)/162.2)+(ec4rlinkltotal*x(61)*x(61)/162.2); %⊭
Total weight 1-2 floor one column
ecq1sbl = ((1.5*35*x(51))+75)/1000;% External column fourth-roof floor starter bar⊻
length
ecg1steelw = ec4rl*x(50)*(x(51)*x(51)/162.2)+(ec4rlinkltotal*x(61)*x(61)/162.2)+
(ecg1sbl*x(50)); %Total weight g-1 floor one column
ecsteeltotalone = ec4rsteelw+ec34steelw+ec23steelw+ec12steelw+ecg1steelw; %Total 
steel in kg for one column whole building
ecsteeltotal = ecsteeltotalone*(((x(17)-1)*2)+((x(20)-1)*2)); %Total steel in kg⊻
for external columns in whole building
ecconcretetotal = (x(24)*x(25)*3.5*5/1000000)*(((x(17)-1)*2)+((x(20)-1)*2));%Total ∠
concrete in m3 for external columns in whole building
ecconcretenet = ((ecconcretetotal*2400)-ecsteeltotal)/2400; % Net concrete in m3 4
for external columns in whole building
ecformwork = (2*((x(24)/1000)+(x(25)/1000)))*(3.5*5)*(((x(17)-1)*2)+((x(20)-1) ∠
*2));% External column formwork m2
ecformworkw = ecformwork*(4/1000)*2710; % External column formwork weight kg
% 3.3 INTERNAL COLUMN
\% 3.3.1 bending moment and axial force analysis
icfminload = 1.1*x(2)*stotalpermanentload; % Internal column minimum load
icmendmin = (icfminload*x(19) *x(19))/12; % Internal column end moment minimum
iclclleft = ecsrlc1; % Internal column load case 1 left
iclclright = (croofbeammax*x(19))-iclclleft; % Internal column load case 1 right
iclclrightis = (croofbeammax*x(19))/2; % Internal column load case 1 left internal 4
support
iclclrn = iclclrightis+iclclright; % Internal column load case 1 axial force
iclc3mendmax = ecmendmaxr; % Load case 3 maximum moment
iclc3mendmin = ecmendminr; % Load case 3 minimum moment
iclc3mleft = (0.228*iclc3mendmin)+(-0.051*(iclc3mendmax-iclc3mendmin)); % Internal
column load case 3 moment left
iclc3mright = iclc3mendmin+(0.169*iclc3mendmin)+((iclc3mendmax-iclc3mendmin)*0.
407); % Internal column load case 3 moment right
```
```
iclc3sleft = ((croofbeammin*x(19))/2)-((iclc3mright-iclc3mleft)/7); % Internal ¥
column load case 3 shear left
iclc3sright = (croofbeammin*x(19))-iclc3sleft; % Internal column load case 3 shear 4
right
iclc3rn = (croofbeammin*x(19)/2)+iclc3sright; % Internal column load case 1 axial 4
force roof
iclc1sleftl2r = ecsroofbeam; % Internal column load case 1 shear left load setting 2
2
iclclsrightl2r = (ecroofbeam*x(19))-iclclsleftl2r; % Internal column load case 14
shear right load setting 2
iclc1l2rn = ((ecroofbeam*x(19))/2)+iclc1sright12r; % Internal column load case 14
axial force roof load setting 2
iclc1sleft12 = ecsright; % Internal column load case 1 shear left load setting 2
iclc1sright12 = (ecfminload*x(19))-iclc1sleft12; % Internal column load case 1 
shear right load setting 2
iclc112n = (ecfminload*x(19)/2)+iclc1sright12;% Internal column load case 1 axial 4
force roof load setting 2
icmendmax = ecmendmaxr; % Internal column end moment maximum
icmendmin = (1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalvariableload*x(19)*x(19))/12; % Internal column⊭
end moment minimum
iclc3mleftl2 = -icmendmin+(0.543*icmendmin)+((icmendmax-icmendmin)*0.102);
iclc3mright12 = icmendmin+(0.169*icmendmin)+((icmendmax-icmendmin)*0.407);
iclc3sleft12 = (((1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalvariableload)*x(19))/2)-((iclc3mright12- ∠
iclc3mleft12)/x(19)); % Internal column load case 1 shear left load setting 2
iclc3sright12 = ((1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalvariableload)*x(19))-iclc3sleft12; % Internal
column load case 1 shear right load setting 2
iclc3l2n = ((1.1*x(2)*1.25*stotalvariableload)*x(19)/2)+iclc3srightl2; % Internal ∠
column load case 1 axial force roof load setting 2
icl1r1n = iclc1rn;
icl1r1m = abs(-0.051*ecmendmaxr);
icl3r1n = iclc3rn;
icl3r1m = icl1r1m+((ecmend-icmendmin)*0.177);
ic21r1n = iclc1l2rn;
icl1r2n = cswperfloor;
ic13r2n = cswperfloor;
icl1r3n = icl1r2n+icl1r1n;
icl1r3m = abs(c1r2ucisleft);
icl3r3n = icl3r2n+icl3r1n;
icl3r3m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r4n = c1r1sfbisleft+c1r1sfbisright;
icl3r4n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r4n = iclc1l2n;
ic23r4n = iclc3l2n;
icl1r5n = icl1r4n+icl1r3n;
icl3r5n = icl3r4n+icl3r3n;
```

```
icl1r6n = cswperfloor;
ic13r6n = cswperfloor;
icl1r7n = icl1r6n+icl1r5n;
icl1r7m = abs(c1r2ucisleft);
ic13r7n = ic13r6n+ic13r5n;
ic13r7m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r8n = c1r1sfbisleft+c1r1sfbisright;
ic13r8n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r8n = iclc1l2n;
ic23r8n = iclc3l2n;
icl1r9n = icl1r8n+icl1r7n;
ic13r9n = ic13r8n+ic13r7n;
ic21r9n = ic21r8n+ic21r4n;
ic23r9n = ic23r8n+ic23r4n;
icl1r10n = cswperfloor;
ic13r10n = cswperfloor;
icl1r11n = icl1r10n+icl1r9n;
icl1r11m = abs(c1r2ucisleft);
ic13r11n = ic13r10n+ic13r9n;
ic13r11m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r12n = clr1sfbisleft+clr1sfbisright;
ic13r12n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r12n = iclc1l2n;
ic23r12n = iclc312n;
ic11r13n = ic11r12n+ic11r11n;
ic13r13n = ic13r12n+ic13r11n;
ic21r13n = ic21r12n+ic21r9n;
ic23r13n = ic23r12n+ic23r9n;
icl1r14n = cswperfloor;
ic13r14n = cswperfloor;
ic11r15n = ic11r14n+ic11r13n;
icl1r15m = abs(c1r2ucisleft);
ic13r15n = ic13r14n+ic13r13n;
ic13r15m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r16n = c1r1sfbisleft+c1r1sfbisright;
ic13r16n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r16n = ic1c112n;
ic23r16n = iclc312n;
ic11r17n = ic11r16n+ic11r15n;
ic13r17n = ic13r16n+ic13r15n;
ic21r17n = ic21r16n+ic21r13n;
```

```
ic23r17n = ic23r16n+ic23r13n;
icl1r18n = cswperfloor;
ic13r18n = cswperfloor;
icl1r19n = icl1r18n+icl1r17n;
icl1r19m = abs(c1r2ucisleft);
ic13r19n = ic13r18n+ic13r17n;
ic13r19m = abs(c3r2ucisleft);
icl1r20n = clr1sfbisleft+clr1sfbisright;
ic13r20n = c3r3sfbisleft+c3r3sfbisright;
ic21r20n = iclc1l2n;
ic23r20n = iclc3l2n;
ic11r21n = ic11r20n+ic11r19n;
ic13r21n = ic13r20n+ic13r19n;
ic21r21n = ic21r20n+ic21r17n;
ic23r21n = ic23r20n+ic23r17n;
icl1r22n = cswperfloor;
ic13r22n = cswperfloor;
ic11r23n = ic11r22n+ic11r21n;
ic13r23n = ic13r22n+ic13r21n;
% 3.3.2 EFFECTIVE LENGTH AND SLENDERNESS
icmtoplc3 = ic13r19m; % Moment at top from basement to ground floor load case 3
icmbotlc3 = -0.5*icmtoplc3; % Moment at bottom from basement to ground floor load #
case 3
icnedmax = ic11r19n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r17n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum
icnedmin = ic13r20n+((ic11r19n-(ic21r17n+ic21r1n))/1.25); % Ned minimum
iclo = 0.75*(3.5-x(23)/1000); % Effective legth
icslenderness = ecslenderness; % Slenderness ratio
icm1 = (icnedmax*iclo)/400; % First order moment
icm01i = icmbotlc3+icm1; % First order moment with imperfections
icm02i = icmtoplc3+icm1; % First order moment with imperfections
icc = 1.7 - (icm01i/icm02i);
icn = (icnedmax) / (x(24) * x(25) * 0.85 * x(3) / 1.5);
icslendernesslimit = (20*0.7*1.1*icc)/(icn^(1/2)); % Slenderness ratio limit
% 3.3.3 DESIGN OF CROSS-SECTION
icbgnedmax = ic11r23n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r21n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum basement-
ground floor
icbgmedmax = icmtoplc3+((icbgnedmax*iclo)/400);
icbgnedratio = (icbgnedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % basement-ground floor axial
force ratio
icbgmedratio = (icbgmedmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % basement-ground
```

```
floor moment ratio
```

```
icbgrs = ((icbgnedratio*icbgmedratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel ¥
```

```
for basement-ground floor
icbgps = x(62)*3.14*x(63)*x(63)/4; % provided steel for basement-ground floor
icglnedmax = icllr19n+icl3r20n-(0.4*(ic21r17n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum ground-
first floor
icg1medmax = icmtoplc3+((icg1nedmax*iclo)/400);
icglnedratio = (icglnedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % ground-first floor axial 
force ratio
icg1medratio = (icg1medmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % ground-first floor ∠
moment ratio
icglrs = ((icglnedratio*icglmedratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel
for ground-first floor
icg1ps = x(64)*3.14*x(65)*x(65)/4; % provided steel for ground-first floor
ic12nedmax = ic11r15n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r13n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum first-⊻
second floor
icl2medmax = icmtoplc3+((icl2nedmax*iclo)/400);
icl2nedratio = (icl2nedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % first-second floor axial ¥
force ratio
ic12medratio = (ic12medmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % first-second floor ∠
moment ratio
ic12rs = ((ic12nedratio*ic12medratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel ∠
for first-second floor
ic12ps = x(66) * 3.14 * x(67) * x(67) / 4; % provided steel for first-second floor
ic23nedmax = ic11r11n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r9n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum second-third 
floor
ic23medmax = icmtoplc3+((ic23nedmax*iclo)/400);
ic23nedratio = (ic23nedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % second-third floor axial 
force ratio
ic23medratio = (ic23medmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % second-third floor ∠
moment ratio
ic23rs = ((ic23nedratio*ic23medratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel ¥
for second-third floor
ic23ps = x(68)*3.14*x(69)*x(69)/4; % provided steel for second-third floor
ic34nedmax = ic11r7n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r4n+ic23r16n)); % Ned maximum third-fourth ∠
floor
ic34medmax = icmtoplc3+((ic34nedmax*iclo)/400);
ic34nedratio = (ic34nedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % third-fourth floor axial
force ratio
ic34medratio = (ic34medmax*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % third-fourth floor
moment ratio
ic34rs = ((ic34nedratio*ic34medratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel ∠
for third-fourth floor
ic34ps = x(70)*3.14*x(71)*x(71)/4; % provided steel for third-fourth floor
ic4rnedmax = ic11r3n+ic13r20n-(0.4*(ic21r1n)); % Ned maximum fourth-roof floor
ic4rmedmax = icmtoplc3+((ic4rnedmax*iclo)/400);
ic4rnedratio = (ic4rnedmax*1000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)); % fourth-roof floor axial ∠
force ratio
ic4rmedratio = (ic4rmedmax*100000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % fourth-roof floor ∠
moment ratio
```

```
ic4rrs = ((ic4rnedratio*ic4rmedratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel
for fourth-roof floor
ic4rps = x(72)*3.14*x(73)*x(73)/4; % provided steel for fourth-roof floor
% 3.3.3.1 DESIGN OF TIES - INTERNAL COLUMN
ictaltotal = (ecfeb/(ectalbmax+ectalbmin))*ic11r4n; % Internal column ties #
accidental load beam min
ictrr = (ictaltotal*1000) /x(4); % Internal column ties required reinforcement
ictpr = x(74)*3.14*x(75)*x(75)/4; % Internal column ties provided reinforcement
% 3.3.4 REINFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS/DETAILING
ic4rsbl = ((1.5*35*x(73))+75)/1000;% Internal column fourth-roof floor starter bar⊻
length
ic4rl = (3500+ic4rsbl)/1000;% Internal column fourth-roof floor bar length
ic4rz1links = 600/150; % Links in zone 1
ic4rz2links = (3500-600)/(1000/x(74)); % Links in zone 2
ic4rlinkl = ((2*((x(24)-35*2)+(x(25)-35*2)))+(2*10*x(75))+(3*2*x(75)))/1000; % Link∠
Length
ic4rlinkltotal = ic4rlinkl*(ic4rz1links+ic4rz2links);% Link Length total
ic4rsteelw = ic4rl*x(72)*(x(73)*x(73)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2)+ ∠
(ic4rsbl*x(72)*x(72)/162.2); %Total weight 4-roof floor one column
ic34steelw = ic4rl*x(70)*(x(71)*x(71)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2); %
Total weight 3-4 floor one column
ic23steelw = ic4rl*x(68)*(x(69)*x(69)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2); %∠
Total weight 2-3 floor one column
ic12steelw = ic4rl*x(66)*(x(67)*x(67)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2); %
Total weight 1-2 floor one column
icq1steelw = ic4rl*x(64)*(x(65)*x(65)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2); %
Total weight g-1 floor one column
icbgsbl = ((1.5*35*x(63))+75)/1000;% Internal column basement-ground floor starter ∠
bar length
icbqsteelw = ic4rl*x(62)*(x(63)*x(63)/162.2)+(ic4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x(75)/162.2)+
(icbgsbl*x(62)); %Total weight basement-ground floor one column
icsteeltotalone = ∠
ic4rsteelw+ic34steelw+ic23steelw+ic12steelw+icg1steelw+icbgsteelw; %Total steel in⊻
kg for one column whole building
icsteeltotal = icsteeltotalone*((x(17)-1)*(x(20)-1)); %Total steel in kg for
internal columns in whole building
icconcretetotal = (x(24) *x(25) *3.5*6/1000000) * ((x(17) -1) * (x(20) -1)); %Total concrete ∠
in m3 for internal columns in whole building
icconcretenet = ((icconcretetotal*2400)-icsteeltotal)/2400; % Net concrete in m3 4
for Internal columns in whole building
icformwork = (2*((x(24)/1000)+(x(25)/1000)))*(3.5*6)*((x(17)-1)*(x(20)-1)); % ✓
Internal column formwork m2
icformworkw = icformwork*(4/1000)*2710; % Internal column formwork weight kg
% 3.4 CORNER COLUMN
% 3.4.1 BENDING MOMENT AND AXIAL FORCE ANALYSIS
```

```
ccslinea = 0.4*x(2)*sdesignload; % Load due to slab on line A
ccblinea = ebwb/x(2); % Load due to beam and waling on line A
ccsline1 = ebsdload+ebslload; % Load due to slab on line 1
ccbline1 = ecfeb; % Load due to beam and waling on line 1
cctotallinea = ccslinea+ccblinea; % Total load on line A
cctotalline1 = ccsline1+ccbline1; % Total load on line 1
ccmz = (cctotallinea/mbtoalmaxload)*c2r2ucendsupport; % Column moment of frame on 
line A
ccbendk = (0.5*mbendk)/(x(2)*1000); % Stiffness of end beam
ccuck = mbicolumn/storeyheight*1000; %STIFFNESS OF UPPER COLUMN
cclck = mbicolumn/storeyheight*1000; %STIFFNESS OF LOWER COLUMN
ccbfem = ((0.104 ccsline1) + (0.083 ccbline1)) / x(2); % Beam fix end moment
ccmy = (ccuck/((2*cclck)+ccbendk))*ccbfem; % Column moment
ccslinear = 0.4*x(2)*ebtarea; % Load due to slab on roof level at line A
ccblinealr = mbtotalminload/1.25; % Load due to beam and waling on roof level at "
line 1
ccblinear = ccblinealr/x(2); % Load due to beam and waling on roof level at line A
cctotallinear = ccslinear+ccblinear; % Total load on line A
cctotalline1r = ccblinea1r; % Total load on line 1
ccned = (((cctotallinear/mbtoalmaxload)*abs(c1r1sfbendsupport))+(0.525 ¥
*cctotalline1r)+(abs(cswperfloor)/1.25))/1000;
ccmi = (ccned*iclo)/(400); % First order moments from imperfections
ccm0z = ccmi+ccmz; % First order moments from imperfections
ccm0y = ccmy; % First order moments from imperfections
% 3.4.2 DESIGN OF CROSS-SECTION
ccnedratio = (ccned*1000) / (x(24) *x(25) *x(3)); % fourth-roof floor axial force ratio
ccmedratio = (ccm0z*1000000)/(x(24)*x(25)*x(25)*x(3)); % fourth-roof floor moment ∠
ratio
ccrs = ((ccnedratio*ccmedratio*10)*(x(24)*x(25)*x(3)))/x(4); % Required steel for
fourth-roof floor
ccps = x(76) * 3.14 * x(77) * x(77) / 4; % provided steel for fourth-roof floor
% 3.4.3 REINFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS/DETAILING
cc4rsbl = ((1.5*35*x(77))+75)/1000; % Corner column fourth-roof floor starter bar⊻
length
cc4rl = (3500+cc4rsbl)/1000;% Corner column fourth-roof floor bar length
cc4rz1links = 600/150; % Links in zone 1
cc4rz2links = (3500-600)/(1000/x(74)); % Links in zone 2
cc4rlinkl = ((2*((x(24)-35*2)+(x(25)-35*2)))+(2*10*x(75))+(3*2*x(75)))/1000; % Link⊭
Length
cc4rlinkltotal = cc4rlinkl*(cc4rz1links+cc4rz2links);% Link Length total
cctotalsteelonew = 5*(cc4rl*x(76)*(x(77)*x(77)/162.2))+5*(cc4rlinkltotal*x(75)*x 4
(75)/162.2)+2*(cc4rsbl*x(77)*x(77)/162.2); %Total weight 4-roof floor o
cctotalsteelbuildingw = 4*cctotalsteelonew; % Total building steel in corner
columns
```

```
ccconcretetotal = (x(24) *x(25) *3.5*5/1000000) *4; %Total concrete in m3 for internal ∠
columns in whole building
ccconcretenet = ((ccconcretetotal*2400)-cctotalsteelbuildingw)/2400; % Net concrete
in m3 for Internal columns in whole building
ccformwork = (2*((x(24)/1000)+(x(25)/1000)))*(3.5*5)*4; % Internal column formwork 
m2
ccformworkw = ccformwork*(4/1000)*2710; % Internal column formwork weight kg
% 4 QUANTITY CALCULATION
bsteel= slabtotalbuildingsteel; % Building slab steel in kg
bbsteel = mbtotalsteel+ebtotalsteel; % Building beams steel in kg
bcsteel = ecsteeltotal+icsteeltotal+cctotalsteelbuildingw; % Building column steel #
in ka
btotalsteel = bsteel+bbsteel+bcsteel; %Building total steel in kg
bsconcrete= sncbuilding; % Building slab concrete in m3
bbconcrete = mbcbuildingnetvolume+ebcbuildingnetvolume; % Building beams concrete K
in m3
bcconcrete = ecconcretenet+icconcretenet+ccconcretenet; % Building column concrete
in m3
btotalconcrete = bsconcrete+bbconcrete; %Building total concrete in m3
bsfa = sfa; % Building slab formwork in m2
bbfa = mbfarea+ebfarea; % Building beams formwork in m2
bcfa = ecformwork+icformwork+ccformwork; % Building column formwork in m2
btotalfa = bsfa+bbfa+bcfa; %Building total formwork in m2
bsfw = sfweight; % Building slab formwork in kg
bbfw = mbfweight+ebfweight; % Building beams formwork in kg
bcfw = ecformworkw+icformworkw+ccformworkw; % Building column formwork in kg
btotalfw = bsfw+bbfw+bcfw; %Building total formwork in kg
Cineq = [30-sa, smpespanrequiredsteel-smpespanprovidedsteel, smpespanminimumsteel- ✓
smpespanrequiredsteel,...
smfesuprequiredsteel-smfesupprovidedsteel, smfesupminimumsteel-smfesuprequiredsteel, ¥
smfesuprequiredsteel-smfesupmaximumsteel, smfespanrequiredsteel- ∠
smfespanprovidedsteel, smfespanminimumsteel-smfespanrequiredsteel, ⊻
smfespanrequiredsteel-smfespanmaximumsteel, ...
smfisupportrequiredsteel-smfisupportprovidedsteel, smfisupportminimumsteel- ¥
smfisupportrequiredsteel, smfisupportrequiredsteel-smfisupportmaximumsteel, ¥
smaispanrequiredsteel-smaispanprovidedsteel,smaispanminimumsteel- 
smaispanrequiredsteel,...
smaispanrequiredsteel-smaispanmaximumsteel, smoisupportrequiredsteel- \checkmark
smoisupportprovidedsteel, smoisupportminimumsteel-smoisupportrequiredsteel, v
smoisupportrequiredsteel-smoisupportmaximumsteel, sminresistanceshear- ∠
sresistanceshear,ssfisupport-sresistanceshear,...
sactualspantodepthratio-sbasicspantodepthratio,baxisdistance-50,mbk-mbkdash, ¥
mbrequiredsteel-mbprovidedsteel, mbminimumsteel-mbrequiredsteel, mbkes-mbkdashes, ¥
mbrequiredsteeles-mbprovidedsteeles, mbminimumsteeles-mbrequiredsteeles, mbkis- ¥
```

```
mbkdashis,...
mbminimumsteelis-mbrequiredsteelis, mbrequiredsteelis-mbprovidedsteelis, mbkespan- ¥
mbkdashespan, mbminimumsteelespan-mbrequiredsteelespan, mbrequiredsteelespan- 🖌
mbprovidedsteelespan, mbsdesroww-mbsdesrowwlimit, mbsdesrequiredsteel- 🖌
mbsdesprovidedsteel, ...
mbsdisleftroww-mbsdisleftrowwlimit,mbsdisleftrequiredsteel-mbsdisleftprovidedsteel, ¥
mbsdisrightroww-mbsdisrightrowwlimit, mbsdisrightrequiredsteel- ¥
mbsdisrightprovidedsteel, mbactualspantodepth-mblimitingratio, ebkes-ebkdashes, ¥
ebminimumsteeles-ebrequiredsteeles, ...
ebrequiredsteeles-ebprovidedsteeles,ebkis-ebkdashis,ebminimumsteelis- 🖌
ebrequiredsteelis,ebrequiredsteelis-ebprovidedsteelis,ebkispan-ebkdashispan, ¥
ebminimumsteelispan-ebrequiredsteelispan,ebrequiredsteelispan-ebprovidedsteelispan, 🖌
ebkos-ebkdashos, ...
ebminimumsteelos-ebrequiredsteelos,ebrequiredsteelos-ebprovidedsteelos,ebsdrow- 🖌
ebsdrowlimit,ebsdrequiredsteel-ebsdprovidedsteel,ecslenderness-ecslendernesslimit, 🖌
ectrr-ectpr,ec4rrs-ec4rps,ec34rs-ec34ps,ec23rs-ec23ps,ec12rs-ec12ps,ecg1rs-∠
ecglps,...
icslenderness-icslendernesslimit,icbgrs-icbgps,icg1rs-icg1ps,ic12rs-ic12ps,ic23rs- 🖌
ic23ps,ic34rs-ic34ps,ic4rrs-ic4rps,ictrr-ictpr,ccrs-ccps,175-x(23),10- 🖌
(100*mbrequiredsteelis/mbdeflectioneffectivebreadth),bxdirection-35,28-bxdirection, ¥
bydirection-23,18-bydirection, ...
ccnedratio-1,ccmedratio-1,sbrnmespan-140,sbrnsispan-250];
```

```
Ceq = [];
```

end